

Applicant and Engineer Information

COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS STORMWATER CONTROL PLAN APPLICATION

| Departme | |
|----------|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| ica Mn | |

| Applicant Name: | Dayt | ime Phone: | | |
|--|----------|---|------------------|----------|
| Mailing Address: | Zip C | ode: | | |
| Email Address: | <u>'</u> | | | Do Not N |
| Engineer Name: | Dayt | ime Phone: | | |
| Mailing Address: | Zip C | ode: | | |
| Email Address: | | | | |
| Project Information | | | | |
| ☐ Preliminary- Subdivision or Land Us | e Permit | ☐ Final- Building | g/Grading Permit | |
| Permit Number(s): | | | | |
| Property APN#: | | | | |
| Project Address: | | | | |
| Existing, Pre-Project Areas: | | | | |
| Total Project Area (acres or square feet): | | | | |
| Total Impervious Area (square feet): | | Total Pervious Area (square feet): | | |
| Proposed, Post- Project Areas: | | 1 | | |
| Total Project Area (acres or square feet): | T | Total Impervious Area (square feet): | | |
| New Impervious Area (square feet): | R | Reduced Impervious Area Credit (square feet): | |): |
| Replaced Impervious Area (square feet): | N | Net Impervious Area*: | | |
| *Net Impervious Area = (New + Replaced Impervious Area) – total pre-project impervious area minus the total post- proje | • | · · | | |
| ite Description | | | | |
| Is the project site within a downtown co | | | | |
| Does the project involve redevelopment of a previously developed site? | | | ☐ Yes ☐ No | |
| Does the project involve redevelopmen | | y developed site? | ☐ Yes ☐ No | |

STORMWATER CONTROL PLAN APPLICATION

Stormwater Performance Requirements

The following table summarizes the mandatory Performance Requirements based on the amount of impervious surface area that is created or replaced. Please review this table to determine which requirements apply to the project.

| | Performance Requirements | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Net Impervious Surface square feet | Performance Requirement #1 | Performance Requirement #2 | Performance Requirement #3 | Performance Requirement #4 |
| 0 - 2,499 | | Complete Stormwater I | PCR Waiver Request Form | |
| 2,500 - 4,999 | ~ | | | |
| 5,000 - 14,999 | ~ | * * | | |
| 15,000 – 22,499 | ~ | ✓ | Y | |
| ≥ 22,500 | ~ | ✓ | ~ | ~ |

^{*} Not applicable for a single-family residence

For additional guidance review the County of San Luis Obispo Low Impact Development (LID) Handbook:

https://www.slocounty.ca.gov/Departments/Planning-Building/Forms-Documents/Stormwater-Forms-and-Documents/San-Luis-Obispo-County-Low-Impact-Development-Hand.aspx

Check the applicable performance requirements and indicate whether the project meets the requirement:

| Performance Requirement #1- Site Design | Requirement met? Yes No | |
|--|-----------------------------|--|
| (Projects that meet Performance Requirement 1 only, complete this SWCP application pages 1-4 and attach any applicable exhibits) | | |
| Performance Requirement #2*- Water Quality Treatment | Requirement met? Yes No | |
| Performance Requirement #3- Runoff Retention | Requirement met? Yes No | |
| Performance Requirement #4- Peak Management | Requirement met? Yes No | |
| Will structural stormwater control measures be used to meet the performance requirements? | | |
| ☐ Yes ☐ No | | |

https://www.slocounty.ca.gov/Departments/Planning-Building/Stormwater/Services/Stormwater-Requirements-for-New-Construction.aspx

^{*}Projects that meet Performance Requirement 2, 3, or 4, must submit Pages 1 and 2 of this application in addition to a complete Stormwater Control Plan using the template provided at:

Performance Requirement #1: Site Design Measures Applicants Can Incorporate to Reduce Stormwater Impacts

Applicants are encouraged to reduce stormwater impacts associated with development and redevelopment by incorporating these measures:

- Protect soils from compaction that will ultimately be used in landscaped areas.
- Amend soils designated to be used in landscaped areas.
- Create sumped landscaping areas over mounded landscaping areas to better retain irrigation and rain water.
- Direct driveway runoff and runoff from roof downspouts at least 10-feet away from foundations and towards landscaped beds and lawns where water can safely soak into the ground.
- Protect existing trees from construction impacts by placing safety fence around the root zone of the tree (minimally the shadow of the tree canopy at high noon) and/or plant new trees.
- Use permeable pavers for walkways, driveway and patios instead of concrete.
- Encourage water retention on site (but away from foundations).
- Install rain cisterns and/or rain barrels to capture and reuse roof rain water.

| Performance Requirement 1: Site Design and Runoff Reduction Summary | | | |
|--|------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| Minimize stormwater runoff by implementing one or more of the following Site Design Measures. Selected Design Measures must be clearly referenced on the project plans. | | | |
| Site Design Measures | Selected? | If Yes, provide Plan Sheet / Detail location | If No, provide an explanation below |
| Roof runoff directed into cisterns or rain barrels for reuse? | ☐ Yes ☐ No | | |
| Roof runoff directed into vegetated areas (safely away from building foundations and footings)? | ☐ Yes ☐ No | | |
| Runoff from sidewalks, walkaways, and/or patios directed onto vegetated areas (safely away from the building foundations and footings)? | ☐ Yes ☐ No | | |
| Runoff from driveways and/or uncovered parking lots onto vegetated areas (safely away from the building foundations and footings)? | ☐ Yes ☐ No | | |
| Are bike lanes, driveways, uncovered parking lots, sidewalks, walkways, and patios constructed with permeable surfaces? | ☐ Yes ☐ No | | |

STORMWATER CONTROL PLAN APPLICATION

Performance Requirement #1: Stormwater Site Design & Runoff Reduction Summary

For each of the following, please describe how this project has complied to the maximum extent practicable with the following site design and runoff reduction strategies (attach additional pages if needed).:

| 1. Limit disturbance of creeks and natural drainage features. | | |
|--|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| num area needed to | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 4. Minimize impervious surfaces by concentrating improvements on the least-sensitive portions of the site, while leaving the remaining land in a natural, undisturbed state. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| ost- | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |



COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS STORMWATER CONTROL PLAN CHECKLISTS

Checklists for Performance Requirements #2, 3, or 4:

Complete and submit the following documentation:

- 1. Stormwater Control Plan Application (Pages 1 & 2 only).
- 2. Stormwater Control Plan, utilizing the County of San Luis Obispo Stormwater Control Plan Template:
 - o Include pertinent Performance Requirement Checklists from Stormwater Control Plan Application.
- 3. Operations and Maintenance Documentation:
 - o Agreement or Covenants, Conditions & Restrictions (CC&Rs) Documentation.
 - o Exhibit A: Legal Description of included parcels.
 - o Exhibit B: Structural Control Measures documentation and site map.
 - o Plans and Manuals for maintenance and operation requirements.

Performance Requirement #2 Water Quality Treatment Checklist

| Project Level Documentation | | | |
|--|--|------------------------------------|--|
| ☐ Net impervious area. | ☐ Certification that onsite water quality treatment measures have been met onsite. | | |
| Drainage Management Area (| DMA) Documentation | | |
| ☐ Unique DMA Number. | ☐ Area of each DMA. | ☐ Pollutants of concern. | |
| ☐ Water Quality treatment appr treatment system.) | roach (Self-treating, Biofiltration, I | LID, or Non-retention based | |
| ☐ Support calculations demons | trating compliance with Treatmer | nt Performance Requirement. | |
| ☐ Reference to Plan Sheet page | where DMA exhibit is provided. | | |
| For DMAs using Low Impact D | Pevelopment Treatment Systen | ns: | |
| ☐ 85 th percentile 24-hour storm | event value, and basis of determ | nination. | |
| For DMAs using Biofiltration S | Systems: | | |
| ☐ Statement indicating why an | LID treatment system was not ap | propriate. | |
| \square Surface loading rate approach, and basis of determination. (0.2 x per hour intensity, or 2 x 85th percentile hourly rainfall intensity) | | | |
| | that the minimum surface reserve urface area time for a depth of 6 i | • | |
| \square Planting medium and plantir | ng depth construction detail (refer | rence to page or detail in plans). | |
| ☐ Planting medium specifications, either: 60%-70% ASTM C33 sand with 30-40% compost or Alternative media with testing documentation demonstrating media can minimally infiltrate at a rate of 5 inches per hour. | | | |
| ☐ Plant selection consistent wit | h LID Handbook guidelines. | | |
| ☐ Subsurface drainage/storage system surface area, minimum o | (gravel) layer with an area equal depth of 12 inches. | to the biofiltration treatment | |
| ☐ Underdrain detail with discha | arge elevation at top of gravel laye | er. | |
| | pecifying no compaction of soils boils if compacted. (Provide referer | | |
| _ | r other barriers may be installed t | | |

Performance Requirement #2 Water Quality Treatment Checklist (Continued)

| For DMAs using Non-Retention Based Treatment Systems: |
|---|
| $\hfill\Box$ Statement indicating why an LID or biofiltration treatment system was not appropriate. |
| ☐ Hydraulic sizing criteria used, and basis of determination: Volume = to 85 th percentile, 24-hour storm or flow basis (2 x 85 th percentile hourly rainfall intensity or 0.2 x inches per hour intensity) |

Performance Requirement #3 Runoff Retention Checklist

| Site Assessment Documentation: Include an exhibit or narrative of the opportunities and constraints to implementing Low Impact Development Stormwater Control based on the following items: | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| ☐ Site topography. | ☐ Hydrologic features such as contiguous natural areas, wetlands, watercourses, seeps, or springs. | | ☐ Depth to seasonal high groundwater. | |
| Locations of potable water wells. | ☐ Depth to impervious (such as bedrock). | vious geology | ☐ Presence of unique or limiting geology. | |
| ☐ Geotechnical hazards. | ☐ Documented so groundwater conta | | ☐ Soil types and hydrologic soil groups. | |
| ☐ Preserved vegetated cover or trees. | ☐ Run-on characteristics (source and estimated stormwater volume discharging to the project area). | | ☐ Existing drainage infrastructure of the site and nearby areas, including municipal storm drains. | |
| ☐ Locations of structures, including flatwork and retaining walls. | ☐ Locations of utilities. | | ☐ Easements and covenants. | |
| ☐ Setbacks. | ☐ Open space requirements. | | \square Other pertinent overlays. | |
| Site Design Documentation Include a narrative, and provide supporting exhibits as necessary, to demonstrate that the project design has implemented the following design strategies (as applicable). | | | | |
| Design Strategy Mea | | Means | of Demonstrating Compliance | |
| Define the development envelope and protected areas, identifying areas that are most suitable for development and areas to be left undisturbed. | | Site Stormwater Assessment Exhibit. | | |
| Conserve natural areas, including existing trees, | | Site Stormwater Assessment Exhibit with native | | |
| other vegetation, and soils. Limit the overall impervious footprint of the project. | | Discussion re | verlain with development footprint. egarding other building ns considered (and ultimately | |

Performance Requirement #3 Runoff Retention Checklist (Continued)

| Site Design Documentation (Continued) Include a narrative, and provide supporting exhibits as n | ecessary to demonstrate that the project design has | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| implemented the following design strategies (as applicab | | | |
| Design Strategy | Means of Demonstrating Compliance | | |
| Construct streets, sidewalks, or parking lot aisles to the minimum widths necessary, provided that public safety or mobility uses are not compromised. Set back development from creeks, wetlands, | Discussion on minimum allowable widths, and rationale for using larger values (if applicable) or confirmation that minimum values were used (where applicable). Discussion on set-back dimensions | | |
| and riparian habitats. | implemented. | | |
| Conform the site layout along natural landforms. | Within the Drainage Management Area (DMA) Exhibit, show topography with existing and planned contours cut and fill lines. Discussion of grading approach. | | |
| Avoid excessive grading and disturbance of vegetation and soils. | Exhibit with native vegetation, overlain with planned disturbed area limits. | | |
| Requirements. If applicable, provide documentation of the volume achieved onsite and the associated off-site compared to the compared to th | | | |
| \square If applicable, provide a statement of intent to | comply with Water Quality Treatment and Runoff | | |
| Retention Performance Requirements through an Alternative Compliance Agreement. Documentation demonstrating percentage of the project's Equivalent Impervious Surface Area dedicated to retention-based Stormwater Control Measures. | | | |
| Indicate the sizing strategy used in each DMA: Hydrologic analysis and sizing methods. Locally/regionally calibrated continuous simulation model that results in equivalent optimization of on-site runoff retention volumes. Hydrologic analysis and sizing methods, equally effective in optimizing onsite retention volumes of the runoff generated by rainfall. | | | |
| ☐ Provide supporting calculations demonstrating | ng compliance with Performance Requirement #3. | | |
| | n technical infeasibility) is included in the design | | |

Performance Requirement #4 Peak Management Checklist

| Project Level Documentation |
|---|
| ☐ Point source discharge locations. |
| ☐ Include hydraulic report demonstrating that post-development stormwater runoff peak flows discharged from the site do no exceed pre-project peak flows for the 2- through 10-year storm events. |
| ☐ Certification statement indicating that the selection, sizing, and design of stormwater control measures meets the applicable Peak Management Requirements. |
| \Box If applicable, provide documentation of the volume of runoff for which compliance cannot be achieved onsite and the associated off-site compliance requirements. |
| \Box If applicable, provide a statement of intent to comply with the Peak Management Performance Requirement through an Alternative Compliance Agreement. |