

**COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
AGENDA ITEM TRANSMITTAL**

(1) DEPARTMENT Probation	(2) MEETING DATE 5/2/2006	(3) CONTACT/PHONE Jim Salio 781-5347
------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	--

(4) SUBJECT Request to approve a renewal contract with Family Care Network, Inc to provide counseling services for juveniles referred from the Probation Department	<i>(Clerk's File)</i>
---	-----------------------

(5) SUMMARY OF REQUEST The Comprehensive Juvenile Justice Multiagency Plan (CMJJP) as approved by the state includes early intervention and prevention services. The contract with Family Care Network, Inc. will meet this plan by providing mental health and counseling services for juveniles and their families with flexible hours including evenings and weekends. The services will include intervention level counseling services and needs assessments. They may also include individual, group and family counseling and education services with a focus on mental health issues. Family Care Network, Inc. will provide to Probation data and outcome information as defined by AB1913 legislation. There will be no impact to the County General Fund as a result of implementing this contract.
--

(6) RECOMMENDED ACTION It is recommended that the Board approve the renewal contract not to exceed \$200,000 with Family Care Network, Inc. to continue to provide counseling services to juveniles and their families participating in the Intensive Community Diversion Program.

(7) FUNDING SOURCE(S) Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act (JJCPA)	(8) CURRENT YEAR COST Not to exceed \$200,000	(9) ANNUAL COST Not to exceed \$200,000	(10) BUDGETED? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> N/A
---	---	---	--

(11) OTHER AGENCY/ADVISORY GROUP INVOLVEMENT (LIST): Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council, County Counsel, Auditor/Controller
--

(12) WILL REQUEST REQUIRE ADDITIONAL STAFF? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, How Many? _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Permanent _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Limited Term _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Contract _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Temporary Help _____

(13) SUPERVISOR DISTRICT(S) <input type="checkbox"/> 1st, <input type="checkbox"/> 2nd, <input type="checkbox"/> 3rd, <input type="checkbox"/> 4th, <input type="checkbox"/> 5th, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All	(14) LOCATION MAP <input type="checkbox"/> Attached <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	(15) Maddy Act Appointments Signed-off by Clerk of the Board <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
--	--	---

(16) AGENDA PLACEMENT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consent <input type="checkbox"/> Hearing (Time Est. _____) <input type="checkbox"/> Presentation <input type="checkbox"/> Board Business (Time Est. _____)	(17) EXECUTED DOCUMENTS <input type="checkbox"/> Resolutions (Orig + 4 copies) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Contracts (Orig + 4 copies) <input type="checkbox"/> Ordinances (Orig + 4 copies) <input type="checkbox"/> N/A
--	---

(18) NEED EXTRA EXECUTED COPIES? <input type="checkbox"/> Number: _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Attached <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	(19) BUDGET ADJUSTMENT REQUIRED? <input type="checkbox"/> Submitted <input type="checkbox"/> 4/5th's Vote Required <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
--	---

(20) OUTLINE AGREEMENT REQUISITION NUMBER (OAR) _____	(21) W-9 <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes	(22) Agenda Item History <input type="checkbox"/> N/A Date <u>9/14/2004</u>
--	--	--

(23) ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE REVIEW This is a renewal contract. Discussions on the terms and conditions of the contract involved an extended time. The Probation Department allowed the contractor to perform contract services to avoid lapses in services being provided to clients. The Probation Department has been advised that contracts, including renewals, are to be brought for approval by the Board prior initiating services.	<i>2/10/06</i>
--	----------------

B-10
5.2.06

PROBATION DEPARTMENT

"Protection, Service & Accountability"

San Luis Obispo County Government Center

2176 Johnson Ave., (805) 781-5300 (Main) ~ 1065 Kansas Ave., (805) 781-5352 (Juvenile Hall)



TO: THE HONORABLE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

FROM: KIMBERLY E. BARRETT, CHIEF PROBATION OFFICER

BY: JAMES SALIO, DIVISION MANAGER

DATE: MAY 2, 2006

SUBJECT: REQUEST TO APPROVE A RENEWAL CONTRACT FOR NOT TO EXCEED \$200,000 WITH THE FAMILY CARE NETWORK, INC. TO CONTINUE SERVICES FOR THE INTENSIVE COMMUNITY DIVERSION (ICD) PROGRAM

Recommendation

It is recommended that the Board of Supervisors approve the renewal contract of not to exceed \$200,000 for Family Care Network, Inc. to continue to provide mental health and counseling services to juveniles and their families participating in the Intensive Community Diversion Program.

Discussion

On April 19, 2005 the Board of Supervisors approved a resolution authorizing the County of San Luis Obispo Probation Department to submit to the California State Board of Corrections an application for approval of the fiscal year 2005/2006 Comprehensive Multi-agency Juvenile Justice Plan (CMJJP) modification. The CMJJP was approved by the Board of Corrections at the end of May 2005.

The approved CMJJP modification includes several early intervention and prevention services. One service specifically identified is the provision of mental health services. Family Care Network, Inc. will provide these services with flexible hours including evenings and weekends. The services will include intervention level counseling services and needs assessments. They may also include individual, group and family counseling and education services with a focus on mental health issues. Family Care Network, Inc. will provide to Probation data and outcome information as defined by AB1913 legislation.

At the conclusion of fiscal year 2004-05, the Probation Department met with Family Care Network, Inc. and determined that there was an ongoing need for these services. At that time, the Probation Department began working with Family Care Network Inc and County Counsel to clearly define funding terms and desired outcome language for the renewal contract. The new language was agreed

B-12
2

upon and incorporated into the contract. The contract is coming to your Board late in the year for the reasons stated above.

Other Agency Involvement

The Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council is comprised of representatives from the following agencies and organizations:

- Public Defender's Office
- Sheriff's Department
- Board of Supervisors
- Department of Social Services
- Criminal Justice Administrator's Association (representing law enforcement and juvenile justice agencies)
- County Office of Education
- Health Agency
- Juvenile Court Judge
- Juvenile Justice Commission
- Children's Services Network
- Administrative Office

County Counsel has reviewed and approved that attached contract for content and form. In addition, the Auditor-Controller will be involved in the payment of invoices; General Services will assist in the contract requirements.

Financial Considerations

The funding cycle of the JJCPA will run from July 1, 2005 until June 30, 2006 and all money must be expended within that funding cycle. The total JJCPA allocation for San Luis Obispo County for fiscal year 2005/06 is \$717,855. There will be no increase in net county cost for implementation of this contract. The contract is funded at \$200,000 in the Board approved 2005/06 Probation Department budget. The contract services provided will not exceed the funded amount at any time. The Probation Department will review the contract not less than quarterly and provide Family Care Network, Inc. with updates as to available funding. There will be no increase to net county costs as a result of the implementation of this contract.

Results

Fiscal year 2001/02 is the benchmark year for ICD outcomes. The following results were reported to the Board of Corrections for fiscal year 2001/02:

- 221 minors completed ICD counseling services
- of these 221 minors

B-172
D

- 46, or 21% were arrested
- 15, or 7% were incarcerated
- 161, or 73% were successful in completing the ICD program

The following results were reported to the Board of Corrections for fiscal year 2002/03:

- 235 minors completed ICD counseling services
 - of these 235 minors
- 50, or 21% were arrested
- 23, or 10% were incarcerated
- 171, or 73% were successful in completing the ICD program

The small increase in incarceration was due to closer case management of minors receiving ICD services. Some minors were incarcerated for their own protection due to runaway behavior and drug use.

In fiscal year 2003/04 the ICD program projected the following results: (as compared to fiscal year 2002/03 outcomes)

- A 10% decrease in the number of participating minors arrested
- A 10% decrease in the number of participating minors incarcerated
- A 10 % increase in the number of participating minors successfully completing the ICD program.

The results for fiscal year 2003/04 were as follows:

- 259 minors participated in ICD counseling program
 - of those 259 minors,
- 16 or 6% were arrested. A decrease of 15%
- 12 or 5% were incarcerated. A decrease of 5%
- 194 minors or 75% completed the program or are still actively enrolled. A increase of 2%

Although our targets were not met for all program outcomes, due to the high needs of the families receiving these services, an improvement was shown in all areas especially juvenile arrest.

In fiscal year 2004/05 the ICD program anticipates the following results: (as compared to fiscal year 2003/04 outcomes)

- A 5% decrease in the number of participating minors arrested
- A 0% increase in the number of participating minors incarcerated
- A 5 % increase in the number of participating minors successfully completing the ICD program.

The results for fiscal year 2004/05 were as follows:

B-12
4

- 280 minors participated in ICD counseling program
 - of those 280 minors,
- 22 minors, or 8.2% were arrested.
- 22 minors, or 8% were incarcerated.
- 219 minors or 78.5% completed the program or are still actively enrolled. A increase of 3.5%

F:\DOCS\IrmaReyes\AB1913\CONF RES BRD LTR 2001-02.wpd

B-12
S