



Dear Mr. McKenzie,

I am writing in response to the DEIR report for the Excelaron/Mankins oil project.

We are direct next door neighbors to the Mankins property. Our main house is approximately 125' from the Mankins road where travel by the oil trucks, contractors vehicles, employees vehicles, supply trucks, propane trucks, water trucks, gravel trucks and any other vehicles that are needed to get this project started and eventually pulling out the oil sludge that is said to be there.

The other house on our property is resided in by our son, daughter-in-law & their 1 year old son. In the DEIR report, on the overview map and in all the writings of concerns, there is no mention of this house that was legally installed in 2004 with all the necessary permits that was required by the county. Obviously this overview map was taken before we bought this property in 2004 and made all the improvements to our property. Anyway, this additional house is 71' from the Mankins road and NOT within safe and legal noise, pollution, vibration limits of the county according to the DEIR and most of these issues cannot be mitigated according to the DEIR.

Why was this house and the residents not considered in the DEIR report that was presented to the public? Even when there is excessive traffic on this road from the occupants and visitors on the Mankins property there is dust, exhaust, noise & vibrations of these vehicles INSIDE this house.

We are a family in the Huasna Valley of 4 generations and had every intention of living our lives out here. However, we will not continue to live here and pay the county taxes for a project that will make people ill, both physically and mentally, foul the land which we grow our food on, poison our groundwater and infect the silence and nighttime darkness with noise and lighting in this serene valley.

Please do not pass through this project that is full of many inconsistencies and consider the many hidden agendas and the thousands of acres of mineral rights these oil companies are gobbling up. Is this not the same county in which one of it's main cities passed the first non-smoking ordinance in 1990 and then strengthening its' anti-smoking legislation in 2010? Apparently, the health & pollution concerns for the public was made with that decision, so why not consider the same for the people that live in the Huasna Valley?

From,

The Floth Family
6250 Huasna Townsite Rd.
Arroyo Grande