From: Eunice King <mrwcoord@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 9:20 PM
To: Ryan Hostetter; Kate B. Shea; Ramona Hedges
Subject: MRWG - Rebuttal to Phillips Submission of Overriding Considerations
Attachments: MRWG - Rebuttal To P66's Overriding Considerations.pdf

Good Evening Ryan, Kate and Ramona,

Phillips 66 has attempted to make a preemptive strike by drafting its own detailed Statement of Overriding Considerations … attempting to "put words" into the mouths of the Planning Commission's staff and commissioners. Therefore, the Mesa Refinery Watch Group is responding to Phillips' suggested statement.

We believe that none of their considerations are meaningful in "overriding" the project's extreme impacts. In fact, many are misleading, ignoring all of the documented evidence that was presented during the hearings … evidence presented not only by citizens and our group, but by the Planning Commission's staff, consultants and counsel.

Attached is our "Rebuttal of Phillips 66's Suggested Statement of Overriding Considerations", for distribution to your staff, counsel and all commissioners, and to post on the County website. MRWG has provided researched, fact-checked statements that refute every one of Phillips issues for overriding the 10 Class I impacts.

In fact, you'll find newly-calculated facts addressing the economic impacts on pages 7 - 8. This is a summary of the same economic impacts just sent to the Commission by the MRWG Technical Committee and challenges one of the pronouncements of the project, that of financial gain to our area.

The Mesa Refinery Watch Group greatly appreciates the time and effort the Planning Department staff continues to invest into thorough and complete review of this project. Please let us know if you have any questions regarding this submission.

Eunice

--
Eunice King
Mesa Refinery Watch Group Administrator