

From: Debbie Arnold
Sent: Thursday, May 26, 2016 3:26 PM
To: Ramona Hedges
Subject: FW: OIL TRAIN DISASTER PLANS: A burning need for the truth about oil train fires | The Benicia Independent ~ crude by rail in the news

From: Lorenzo [<mailto:lshinderman@sbcglobal.net>]
Sent: Tuesday, May 24, 2016 2:33 PM
To: darnold@co.slo.ca.us
Subject: OIL TRAIN DISASTER PLANS: A burning need for the truth about oil train fires | The Benicia Independent ~ crude by rail in the news

The other day as I was driving to Paso I saw your sign: tanks, trains and tractors. In various newspapers you were quoted that you had not made up your mind on the Phillips rail project and wanted assurances as to the safety of the project. My interpretation of this sign comes across that you've already staked out a position. I don't doubt for a moment that Phillips has a safety culture. They had better, as its a hard and dangerous business they are in. But accidents happen and most every day there is a train accident. Some are minor and some cataclysmic. So why invite the risk?

The FEIR documented that there is an abundance of local crude oil. Phillips has never said that the jobs are in jeopardy should the project not be approved. So what they want is lower cost crude from the tar sands region of Canada. But should they go with that route, local domestic workers in the extraction industry could be laid off. So if it's a jobs issue; exactly whose jobs are they talking about

And...as to safety, please read the following article. Al Fonzi notwithstanding, this is what fire fighters across the country say about fighting such fires.

If indeed you are for public health and safety I'd leave off the trains and let tanks and tractors remain.

<http://beniciaindependent.com/oil-train-disaster-plans-a-burning-need-for-the-truth-about-oil-train-fires/>

Sent with two tin cans and a string

