



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
gloria@extragalactic.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 04:07 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities AND PUBLIC LANDS AND OPEN SPACES throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to almost the entire state of California. MORE WILDFIRES FOR CALIFORNIA ARE CERTAIN!

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not prevent fires and explosions. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits vital data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. We know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through forested lands, through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a

groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the CLIMATE IMPACTS of the proposed rail project. Tar sands MEANS more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. CLIMATE IMPACTS ARE BY FAR THE MOST IMPORTANT AND URGENT REASON TO DENY THIS PROJECT PERMANENTLY!

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities, our forests and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
amberry@ucdavis.edu to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 03:34 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Alison M. Berry



Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
janet.weil13@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 03:04 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
christinaheon@gmail.com to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/24/2014 02:30 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
joanheb@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/24/2014 01:59 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
marianberges@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 01:47 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kellyhammargren@comcast.net to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/24/2014 02:01 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
greenbee79@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 01:31 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
claracarlos@att.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 01:26 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
shrzi@mac.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 01:25 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
spenger.david@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 01:25 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lprochello@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/24/2014 01:21 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
grinninggreen@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 01:16 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
syuen@psg.ucsf.edu to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 01:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kumasong@excite.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 12:53 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
clista@sonic.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 12:53 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
stevedowning@cox.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:07 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
shsharealike@saber.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:07 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mtboots@msn.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:07 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
carolejg@mac.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:07 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jeff_arko@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:07 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

Tar Sands Crude is not safe for urban rail corridors. Period. An alternate means of delivery must be evaluated and selected moving the transmission of such highly volatile materials away from urban centers.

I am writing to express my objection to and deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta

watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, -Jeff



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
alamillo.hope@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:07 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Hope Alamillo



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
arianabrandao@live.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:07 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Ariana Brandao



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rzygirl65@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
gjmcm48@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
janetstro@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
james@devin.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
siskinb@aol.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
billf@westsiderc.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
morgainele@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
muzungu_x@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
theryanb@icloud.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ptepz@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
thomasclark92@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
konawoman1@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kristilnamarie@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
studyhebrew@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mbordenave5467@att.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mswyers@earthlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
russellrio@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
westonedman@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
gldlight@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dearfuzzy@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
usarummy@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rechog@earthlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
newscoobysnax@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
fherrera@mcgarchitecture.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
efc@interorealestate.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Eric



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ellenrocs@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Ginnybe@pacbell.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I just learned about the Phillips 66 oil train proposal. This concerns me a great deal. I live by Lake Nacimiento and enjoy the beautiful country that surrounds me. The thought of highly explosive crude oil traveling through the area is frightening. Fire is a real concern of all my neighbors. Even with wet years the summer is a dangerous time for us. I can't imagine a train load of crude oil crashing and exploding. What an environmental disaster.

Do not allow the Santa Maria refinery the ability to receive oil trains carrying highly explosive crude. I agree with the letter from Forest Ethics (see below).

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill

near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
albert-chiu@att.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sheilagholsen@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
pat_m@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rachie720@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ms.marsha-v-l@pacbell.net to: p66-railsbur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jean@craigandjean.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
theamelacrawford@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
candace8027@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ritamary@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:07 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

From: "balTHOMore@gmail.com" <balTHOMore@gmail.com>
To: p66-railspur-comments@co.slo.ca.us
Date: 11/20/2014 03:24 PM
Subject: Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal!

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for

children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

lindahk@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

As a resident of San Jose, I am writing to express deep concern and dismay about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk, including the nearly one million of us who live in San Jose. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. We do not want a repeat of the Quebec explosion that incinerated 47 innocent civilians--imagine how many would be killed were a train to derail and explode in the heart of San Jose?!

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community, poisoning the air that my children breathe. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate

disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

From: "tdanfield@bigfoot.com" <tdanfield@bigfoot.com>
To: p66-railspur-comments@co.slo.ca.us
Date: 11/18/2014 08:50 PM
Subject: The Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

The proposed upgrades to the Santa Maria Phillips 66 refinery will create an increase in oil car laden trains that pose a huge risk to the communities affected AND to the environment. The proposal is DEFINITELY NOT worth the inherent risks.

As a Los Osos resident, I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community.

Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

From: "happeevegan@gmail.com" <happeevegan@gmail.com>
To: p66-railspur-comments@co.slo.ca.us
Date: 11/14/2014 03:07 PM
Subject: REJECT THE Phillips 66 OIL TRAIN PROPOSAL-IMMEDIATELY

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express DEEP CONCERN about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. THIS PROJECT PRESENTS SIGNIFICANT & UNACCEPTABLE RISKS TO OUR COMMUNITIES ACROSS CA.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for

children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

From: Chris Lish <lishchris@yahoo.com>
To: "p66-railspur-comments@co.slo.ca.us"
<p66-railspur-comments@co.slo.ca.us>
Date: 11/24/2014 05:07 PM
Subject: Protect Our Towns by Rejecting the Phillips 66 oil train
proposal -- Phillips 66 Company Rail Spur Extension Project
Revised Draft Environmental Impact Report

Sunday, November 23, 2014

Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Department of Planning and Building
976 Osos St., Rm. 200
San Luis Obispo, CA 93408-2040

Subject: Protect Our Towns by Rejecting the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
-- Phillips 66 Company Rail Spur Extension Project Revised Draft Environmental
Impact Report

Dear Murry Wilson,

I am writing to express my deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant, unavoidable, unnecessary, and unacceptable risks to our communities across California and our climate. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine our state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate change, and these trains will put our communities throughout California directly in harm's way. I strongly urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to deny the proposed oil-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery.

"Our duty to the whole, including to the unborn generations, bids us to restrain an unprincipled present-day minority from wasting the heritage of these unborn generations. The movement for the conservation of wildlife and the larger movement for the conservation of all our natural resources are essentially democratic in spirit, purpose and method."
-- Theodore Roosevelt

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards won't protect the public. The recirculated draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) dangerously misinforms first responders because it doesn't adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster. The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

“As we peer into society’s future, we—you and I, and our government—must avoid the impulse to live only for today, plundering for our own ease and convenience the precious resources of tomorrow. We cannot mortgage the material assets of our grandchildren without risking the loss also of their political and spiritual heritage. We want democracy to survive for all generations to come, not to become the insolvent phantom of tomorrow.”

-- Dwight D. Eisenhower

Moreover, the EIR’s worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. I hope this is an error, because most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, sensitive ecosystems, homes and other private property, and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

“These temple destroyers, devotees of ravaging commercialism, seem to have a perfect contempt for nature, and, instead of lifting their eyes to the God of the Mountains, lift them to the almighty dollar.”

-- John Muir

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community.

Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution along the rail route, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks—particularly for children and the elderly—of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

“The ultimate test of a moral society is the kind of world that it leaves to its children.”

-- Dietrich Bonhoeffer

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

“Every man who appreciates the majesty and beauty of the wilderness and of wild life, should strike hands with the farsighted men who wish to preserve our material resources, in the effort to keep our forests and our game beasts, game-birds, and game-fish—indeed, all the living

creatures of prairie and woodland and seashore—from wanton destruction. Above all, we should realize that the effort toward this end is essentially a democratic movement.”

-- Theodore Roosevelt

Fourth, the planning department must examine the cumulative impacts of the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

“Then I say the Earth belongs to each generation during its course, fully and in its own right, no generation can contract debts greater than may be paid during the course of its own existence.”

-- Thomas Jefferson

Fifth, the final EIR must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. This project simply incompatible with California’s plans to be a climate leader.

“Do not suffer your good nature, when application is made, to say ‘Yes’ when you should say ‘No’. Remember, it is a public not a private cause that is to be injured or benefited by your choice.”

-- George Washington

Phillips 66's expansion plans for the Santa Maria and Rodeo refineries represents a catastrophic threat to communities and major population centers in the oil train blast zone, will increase toxic air pollution in refinery communities, and will substantially increase global warming pollution, exacerbating the threat of crippling drought and massive wildfires that is already affecting so much of California. Californians are depending on our public officials to stop dangerous fossil fuel expansion that poses so many threats to our health, safety and future in California.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

“A thing is right when it tends to preserve the integrity, stability, and beauty of the biotic community. It is wrong when it tends otherwise.”

-- Aldo Leopold

Thank you for your consideration of my comments. Please do NOT add my name to your mailing list. I will learn about future developments on this issue from other sources.

Sincerely,
Christopher Lish
Olema, CA



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
weidenc@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 04:00 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
gloria@extragalactic.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 04:07 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities AND PUBLIC LANDS AND OPEN SPACES throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to almost the entire state of California. MORE WILDFIRES FOR CALIFORNIA ARE CERTAIN!

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not prevent fires and explosions. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits vital data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. We know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through forested lands, through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a

groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the CLIMATE IMPACTS of the proposed rail project. Tar sands MEANS more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. CLIMATE IMPACTS ARE BY FAR THE MOST IMPORTANT AND URGENT REASON TO DENY THIS PROJECT PERMANENTLY!

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities, our forests and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
isedh@softcom.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

You have a unique opportunity to stop these trains on their tracks.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
philmaeoerty@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sqrllady@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
natepundt@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
chuckiccusa@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
gurdin@hotmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
killself5150@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, John Pasqua



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kjdevine99@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
tscott@rialto.k12.ca.us to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rafeldon@pacbell.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cbergdolt@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
xylus77@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kylebracken@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jolinastar@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
oaranda@ccoc.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
chollisfranklyn@yahoo.com to: p66-railsbur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cross0828@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
wildart@dslextreme.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will NOT protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, ALREADY AT A LOW POINT property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its TRUE impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death. THIS COUNTRY DOES NOT NEED MORE SIGNIFICANT AIR POLLUTION.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near EACH of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer

could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. NO ONE WE HAVE SPOKEN WITH WANTS ANY TAR SANDS COMING TO THE COUNTRY, LET ALONE TO CALIFORNIA. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the MOST TOXIC crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. WE NEED RENEWABLES NOT THE MOST TOXIC OIL AVAILABLE. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. WE ARE A LEADER IN ADDRESSING CLIMATE CHANGE. SAY NO TO TAR SANDS OIL.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary RISKS for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
fretlessjd@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
tcecdonna@juno.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
vonnie@theprrt.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

samantha.johnson92@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
nitababe44@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kenterway@msn.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
markfiore50@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
buildfiction@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
hodgess@sutterhealth.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
moorishyodel@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sacredheart9395@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
abbiebates@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
chetarachy@rumbox.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours
chet



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
candy8027@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
hclough@pbcintl.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jamie_may91@icloud.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lmadamsb@hotmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
benruwe@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kristinwomack@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
thea_peri_karotos@msn.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jonig1203@earthlink.net to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
putitupmlk@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ruthiesakheim@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ronw@imageiv.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
forestrecon@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dgisaac@pacbell.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
karakukovich@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
djgrothey@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
maisme@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
michaelrotcher@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lesleyastansfield@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sasutliff@aol.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
hwhitson@choralarchive.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
starpath@pacbell.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bhermannsf@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
fine.lines@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

jazzimpressionschico@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cassandrabelden@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
erin@pajaro.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bpinkham3@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
stephen.rebello2020@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
anarchosf1968@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cotter.maura10@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
contre2@juno.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kuonzaa@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jannioakley@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

We need clean energy and clean energy jobs. Fossil fuels make us sick and kill us -- sometimes quickly with explosions and fires and sometimes it takes longer such as with cancer. Oil and gas are filthy and unhealthy.
Clean energy JOBS now

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
oaklandaverde@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
shisojenn@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jdidom@pacbell.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ms_estella@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
c.wish@att.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
tanya_b40@hotmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
uare100@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Catherine Casey (a freight train goes right by my house in San Jose, Ca)



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
LizAmsden@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
robink48@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lucky789@hotmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cretserc@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
aschelms@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ann_bethune@hotmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sciencewonder7@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

whitney.dwyer@yahoo.com to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
hannahdstein@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Hannah Stein



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
philsim75@aol.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
pittsburgliving@outlook.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Lyana Monterrey



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
csdifonso@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
corinnelouisedesign@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rachiminA@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, elana levy



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mmaino543@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
john@vicondoa.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, John Vicondoa



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
alderdown@airpost.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mariamhennessy@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
donna@outsidenow.org to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
starkjim@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
patrickkennedy 369@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sorenriise@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

The route of the train takes it through heavily populated areas of San Jose. San Jose is heavily reliant on ground water for the city and the risk for any accident to permanently contaminate the ground water supply is simply too high for this to be allowed.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery.

The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Soren Riise



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sarahjmccoy@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
biodieselcoop@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
theplantman@mac.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
frances_brk@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
twosocksmusic@netscape.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sher@omsoft.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
patchscabbagevalaam@hotmail.com
To: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer

could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
tanya_b40@comcast.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
telvari9@care2.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kmt02@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rodneyr88@outlook.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

In order to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery I write to you. The Phillips 66 project puts communities, water-ways, farmlands and wildlife habitat throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer

could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ravendavisking@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
peterprops@juno.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
xho_xho@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ronaldddevries@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dsunlin@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
maragabriel@me.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
blackrosetudios@me.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
blueayre@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dindamcp4@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
c.larz@larzequipment.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jvelvick@msn.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rhodes4764@att.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Pam Rhodes



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
blindeyeimij@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cmaxmin@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kkblueroose@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ap3dguy@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Abram Perlstein



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dallen4191@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jeb@me.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Jeb Eddy, Palo Alto



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
anamasondhi@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sv_webslave@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
archaikclothing@yahoo.com to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Shawnloos@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Shawn Loos



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
trobenson@surewest.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sara@saralovell.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dlevoy329@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing from downtown San Jose to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery which puts my community at risk. This project also presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California. California needs to transition away from fossil fuels and we should be leading that drive, not rolling out more trains carrying tar sands oil.

In downtown San Jose, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster. Our community is already stressed with a low budget for first responders. The last thing our first responders need is an increased risk for accidents and spills.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta

watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Deborah Levoy



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cgisystem@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Matt Smith



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
pigmentfarmer@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
forest1950@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

littlelorib@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
phoenixsings@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 07:37 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
pwjohn@ucsc.edu to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 07:35 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mr.choppers@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 07:35 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
2xleslie@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 07:30 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mariamana@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 07:30 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
backpackerscott@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 07:30 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, farmer scott



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
poet707@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 07:27 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
zbox@dcn.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 07:24 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Please! Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
aguyfoster@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 07:20 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
leahmazel@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 07:20 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
boren39@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 07:05 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sarabrandon3@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 07:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
amyturnbull@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/24/2014 04:46 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
loislow@earthlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 01:27 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cybunny@coho.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 12:26 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

Please take the time to register my deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
annette_hackett@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, annettehackett



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
a.wall@estreet.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mdba5@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kumasong@excite.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jamila@sonic.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
fionanolan@rocketmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
caclwax@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ssisler@cerebralpro.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cchoff@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject Phillips 66 Oil Train Proposal
mbartleman@cox.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo Decision-Makers:

I am concerned about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
headhunt@stellareng.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
biologica@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jane@viewstudio.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mandm2872@earthlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
alicat@mcn.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
arm_1@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sgberg@pacbell.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ross@forestethics.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
hanumandru@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

At what point do we place our basic human needs above the short sighted financial gains of the oil, gas and coal industries??? I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A

derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
susanmwr@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bb.cypress@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
edbobbaylor@verizon.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
apntrc@msn.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
shellenium3@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ereisman@ca.rr.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

dan.mccoy@westonsolutions.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
msweinberger@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
starkjim@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
erikschnabel@hotmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dale@daleriehart.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jslegal@humboldt.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bsmithfmy@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
gherardi2@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rgondell@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
samussr337@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
marcekeever@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
hagmeier60@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
elcapa@verizon.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
shadeofgreen27@cox.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dkallen@telus.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dshad44@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
tcecdonna@juno.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cd33333@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
donnalpoppe@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
hummingdeer@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sorelli364@dslextreme.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
holliqua@hotmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
pontiff@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cmouse1945@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Carla Davis



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
susansporter@hotmail.com to: p66-railsbur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
loret44@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
martin7ahorwitz@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
donna_watson2000@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
simonebutler7@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
katyblue@cal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rbc0549@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
hymines@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tarsands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jostoich@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
adknick@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
zafu@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
shelby@smallspoonful.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
hwoo@prodigy.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
swechs@sonic.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
debrarvaughn@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
teacherlisanne@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

IN Appreciation,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
oaranda@ccoc.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
m_a_checa@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
susan1@beeman.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lametreza@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
captain_nerful@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jmcolumbia@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sistajeans2@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery, which actually puts communities throughout California at extreme risk.

Emergency responders are not prepared for the potential derailment of these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards do not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

Its analysis of potential accidents and spills is terribly flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, but omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. Now we know that more crude oil spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades, and this recent data, including shocking accident data from Canada, shows a pattern of greatly increased crude by rail incidents. The increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and the extremely unsafe tank cars should provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the many rail lines that would serve this project.

The EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons or approximately six tank cars of crude, but we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars, so a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude which could devastate local economies, along with scarce water resources, and pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project must not be approved without analyzing (and mitigating) its true impacts.

Toxic air emissions resulting from this project pose an unacceptable risk due to volatile toxic chemicals leaking out of tank cars into the air, poisoning communities along rail routes as Phillips 66 even admits its proposed oil train facility could create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The increased health risks of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death, particularly for children and the elderly, are unacceptable.

The EIR must fully analyze the real potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast, with each oil train carrying more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During this time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create these awful contamination risks for the rest of the state.

I believe the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. Phillips 66 intends to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California: the proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked

by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, and Phillips 66 proposes to modify these facilities to refine Canadian tar sands, the most toxic crude oil on Earth! The transporting and refining of these tar sands will create even more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. I believe San Luis Obispo should absolutely not approve this project in isolation.

Finally, Phillips 66 must disclose the related information about the fuel quality for decision makers to fully understand the safety and climate impacts of the proposed rail project: tar sands means more carbon pollution! At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil; and bringing tar sands to California will reverse the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

In conclusion, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
parvatiprem@earthlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jillblaisdell @earthlink .net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mjvande@pacbell.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
chuckiccusa@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
fuzzycoat@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
flyfisherclyde@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, clyde weston



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
youreyesonlymjm@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,
Stop the exploding trains.

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
annette_hackett@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, annettehackett



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
a.wall@estreet.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mdba5@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kumasong@excite.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jamila@sonic.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
fionanolan@rocketmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
caclwax@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ssisler@cerebralpro.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cchoff@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject Phillips 66 Oil Train Proposal
mbartleman@cox.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo Decision-Makers:

I am concerned about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
headhunt@stellareng.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
biologica@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jane@viewstudio.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mandm2872@earthlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
alicat@mcn.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
arm_1@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sgberg@pacbell.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ross@forestethics.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
hanumandru@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

At what point do we place our basic human needs above the short sighted financial gains of the oil, gas and coal industries??? I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A

derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
susanmwr@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bb.cypress@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
edbobbaylor@verizon.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
apntrc@msn.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
shellenium3@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ereisman@ca.rr.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

dan.mccoy@westonsolutions.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
msweinberger@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
starkjim@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
erikschnabel@hotmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dale@daleriehart.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jslegal@humboldt.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bsmithfmy@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
gherardi2@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rgondell@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
samussr337@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
marcekeever@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
hagmeier60@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
elcapa@verizon.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
shadeofgreen27@cox.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dkallen@telus.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dshad44@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
tcecdonna@juno.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cd33333@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
donnalpoppe@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
hummingdeer@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sorelli364@dslextreme.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
holliqua@hotmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
pontiff@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cmouse1945@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Carla Davis



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
susansporter@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
loret44@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
martin7ahorwitz@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
donna_watson2000@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
simonebutler7@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
katyblue@cal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rbc0549@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
hymines@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tarsands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jostoich@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
adknick@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
zafu@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
shelby@smallspoonful.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
hwoo@prodigy.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
swechs@sonic.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
debrarvaughn@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
teacherlisanne@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

IN Appreciation,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
oaranda@ccoc.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
m_a_checa@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
susan1@beeman.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lametreza@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
captain_nerful@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jmcolumbia@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sistajeans2@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery, which actually puts communities throughout California at extreme risk.

Emergency responders are not prepared for the potential derailment of these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards do not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

Its analysis of potential accidents and spills is terribly flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, but omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. Now we know that more crude oil spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades, and this recent data, including shocking accident data from Canada, shows a pattern of greatly increased crude by rail incidents. The increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and the extremely unsafe tank cars should provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the many rail lines that would serve this project.

The EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons or approximately six tank cars of crude, but we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars, so a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude which could devastate local economies, along with scarce water resources, and pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project must not be approved without analyzing (and mitigating) its true impacts.

Toxic air emissions resulting from this project pose an unacceptable risk due to volatile toxic chemicals leaking out of tank cars into the air, poisoning communities along rail routes as Phillips 66 even admits its proposed oil train facility could create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The increased health risks of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death, particularly for children and the elderly, are unacceptable.

The EIR must fully analyze the real potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast, with each oil train carrying more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During this time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create these awful contamination risks for the rest of the state.

I believe the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. Phillips 66 intends to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California: the proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked

by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, and Phillips 66 proposes to modify these facilities to refine Canadian tar sands, the most toxic crude oil on Earth! The transporting and refining of these tar sands will create even more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. I believe San Luis Obispo should absolutely not approve this project in isolation.

Finally, Phillips 66 must disclose the related information about the fuel quality for decision makers to fully understand the safety and climate impacts of the proposed rail project: tar sands means more carbon pollution! At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil; and bringing tar sands to California will reverse the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

In conclusion, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
parvatiprem@earthlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jillblaisdell @earthlink .net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mjvande@pacbell.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
chuckiccusa@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
fuzzycoat@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
flyfisherclyde@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, clyde weston



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
youreyesonlymjm@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,
Stop the exploding trains.

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mariah_parker@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:07 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
annifani44@aol.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/24/2014 05:07 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mjvande@pacbell.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:07 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
karen_luddite@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:07 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
wyatt_bill@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:07 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jerrealen@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:07 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lindy@edengroup.cc to: p66-railsur-comments

11/24/2014 05:07 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cathyob330@comcast.net to: p66-railsbur-comments

11/24/2014 05:07 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sportly94928@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:07 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
zoegoor@att.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/24/2014 05:07 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
stonechanneler@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:07 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
veerali8@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:07 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
khunken33@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:07 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

This is not where we need to be going. I am writing to express my strong concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

Even here in Marin County, we will be affected as a spill in the SF Bay which these trains run along would impact fragile wetlands in Marin dramatically.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train

carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
michael_karsh@earthlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:06 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cmiller927@cox.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:06 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
da.webs@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:06 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

Though I don't live in San Luis Obispo, oil consumption affects us all. It is our collective responsibility to put the oil industry to bed for the sake of children, for the sake of humanity, for the sake of life. I know this may be a contentious issue and to lead can be challenging yet this is what you are called upon to do and I stand behind you along with billions the world over to support you. Draw upon that energy and that knowing that you choices impact us all.

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cacaogirl303@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:06 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
drorschneider2020@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:06 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
otherfunker@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/24/2014 05:05 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
storgren@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:05 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

Please do NOT allow plain to route highly explosive materials to ship through one of the most heavily populated areas of the country.

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train

carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
FijiLane@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:05 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, John L Gunderson



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jahauf@earthlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:05 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
petyvet@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/24/2014 05:05 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Yvette Tannenbaum



From a former SLO grad - Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

sgordz40@msn.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/24/2014 05:05 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Stephen Gordon



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
fantaky@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/24/2014 05:05 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
johndeforest@earthlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:05 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
apglk@comcast.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/24/2014 05:05 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
willymac4@me.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:05 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mkkok@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:05 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Mary Kay Henderson



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
natashakaluza@hotmail.com to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/24/2014 05:05 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
joshkapp@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:05 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
george_centeno@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:09 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
organicz@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:09 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
nadia31297@yahoo.com to: p66-railsbur-comments

11/24/2014 05:09 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
anna.wu@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:09 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

catherine.seok@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:08 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
palakac@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:08 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mark.balcom@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:08 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

Although I live in Fresno County, a decision you are to make in San Luis Obispo County very much affects my life, health, safety, and family.

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train

carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. The project creates significant, unnecessary and unacceptable risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

tashaisdeb3st@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/24/2014 05:08 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Tasha Wang



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
annieliin@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:08 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
fine.lines@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/24/2014 05:08 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mjackson831@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:08 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



PLEASE Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
tammiameli@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:08 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

As a native Central Coast resident, born and raised in this beautiful community, I would hate to see this area devastated by an oil spill. First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A

derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jpsntru@aol.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/24/2014 05:07 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Tony Lubong



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
taraatkinsbrown@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:07 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California, including my city San Jose, at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer

could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
anamika.1.ghosh@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/24/2014 05:07 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Anamika



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
marz_68@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:07 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
katevrmeer@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:05 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ruthmag@earthlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:05 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
arm_1@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:05 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
caskeyweston@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:05 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
niclasinnott@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/24/2014 05:01 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dbfiddleshop@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 04:55 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
staceymeinzen@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 04:38 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
djohnson82@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/24/2014 04:35 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
gofindnancy@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
david1936@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

In my community, Berkeley, the train tracks go right through town between homes. The noise, the dirt, and the danger is too great for this area.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train

carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
599f7620@opayq.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
susanswanson 1@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kmfukuda@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
abvollmer@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bbennigson@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
richarddimatteo@cox.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dion242@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jrryoliver@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ck55@verizon.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
amontapert@roadrunner.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lukebreit@me.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
leslieis@ymail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
riverjoseph@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
robertscristina@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mattwooley@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jasnagc@yahoo.ca to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
abvollmer@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
john@johnvias.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cag_92122@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
iamsaturnine@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
liaisonsus@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I strongly urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
isedh@softcom.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mmwestcott@mac.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lserotta@rcsis.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

parodux@astound.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
brian@brianboortz.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
gigantesmike@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mwhite@pil.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
psripley42@cruzio.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dostermail@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mustyscupper@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
fatskaplan@hotmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
msarrail@live.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
gurdin@hotmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
pturney@email.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rankobalog@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
scarr77@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and DELIBERATELY OMITTS important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project. As someone who lives close enough to a rail line to be within the blast zone, I am horrified and angered by your complete disregard for life.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death. As usual Phillips (and all Big Oil) does not give a damn about life and health.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train

carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate. For many of us, approval of this project may well be the difference between our living and dying (something about which Phillips does not give a damn). How can your county possibly be complicit with this kind of risk.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mw.shop@verizon.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
abodhilove@msn.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jakejdavis@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
wm-maya@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
beinjoy@earthlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
harriem247@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
namitadalal@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sliger9@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rangerdave@myvw.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
feonawillow@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
twoperegrines@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
wageslave76@hotmail.com to: p66-railsbur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
theduggans@castles.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mariealyse@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mcdmedia@earthlink.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
roslandm@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
corinnevha@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
reneel@dslextreme.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, renee lusian



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
deborahgrogan@yahoo.com to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
nprt2@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
tifuandjo@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
fiandaca@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sulac9@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
beejanm@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lishchris@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
silvarado448-info@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
skazz999w@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Rafa_cuadras@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
throne4alu@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jdsactown@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
earthlingwiley 2000@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rustyenne1@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I lived in California for 30 years, 20 of it in Hanford. Coming to the Central California Coast was the best part of those years. San Simeon Creek campground is still my favorite vacation place ever! So I feel that I have a right to comment, even though I have since moved to Washington State (to get married). Please don't let anything mess up SLO County. The coast is so beautiful there - don't take a chance on the damage from exploding oil trains.

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, and because this is one of the most beautiful areas on earth, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
justdave50@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
diannamt@c-zone.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bblong@igc.org to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
johnjuly@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
andrewdetroit@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
berkeleyrussell@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
neitzsche2duke@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
frances_brk@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Frances Burke



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kmt02@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
hug_a_pet@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cfhutton@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposa
jpmccarthy90@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mariamhennessy@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
robbyg_lomi@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
larajdean@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

They've shown w/ the myriad OilSpills elsewhere-They can't or won't properly clean up their mess& We're the ones who \$PAY\$&\$PAY\$while Earth LOSES, loses, LOST!

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta

watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
naweil@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
pepperh191976@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lycastle@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Linda Pankonin



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
artguy22@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
annetteeland@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lundshep@att.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ecokare2@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Rpfphd@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
K_dessau@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
patakdoc@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kvincen33@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
baviken@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ammasdarling@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mccobb03@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
westonedman@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
scmdret@hotmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mmpsica@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
pwillett@mindspring.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
caryn_cowin@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kjlinarez@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lohara320@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
konawoman1@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
woodland_david@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
tiparillo_2000@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
gramamo@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

brezebra@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
marilyn_ledoux@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mwmeisner@cox.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cruginator@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
hoisingtonweb@yahoo.com to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ronen4@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jellybelly_11@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
LndSchneid@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cmtecca@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
idajane@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
valerieroseis@hotmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
pol1@rosenblums.us to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact the Santa Maria community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. The tracks go through heavily populated cities in the Bay Area, in many cases rolling down city streets.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
evaunit2001@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bahstar1@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kdschmitt3@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
medicilorenzo@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

The Phillips 66 project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude, a ridiculous understatement, since most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions, as volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the

most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
medicilorenzo@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

The Phillips 66 project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude, a ridiculous understatement, since most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions, as volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the

most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
imouse@catlover.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
geminirose78@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ewalden3@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
marshailily35@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
drjodirowe@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
be4672pa@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

As a City of San Jose resident who has owned a home for over 30 years that is between the two rail lines that run through downtown San Jose under consideration as the rail routes, I am one of the millions of people who will be impacted by your decision. Please reject this plan.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
gummies4me@hotmail.com to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
coolhan_99@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ebeattie33@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
nowworldpeace@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, g. Becker



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mizuno53@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
hoisingtonweb@yahoo.com to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sylviadeer@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cemone@reninet.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dfgassman@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
adcsoto@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
anitenzin777@yahoo.com to: p66-railsbur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bjbw@lmi.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

History is watching you!

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
airplane68@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
efrounfelter@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sqrllady@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
restoration100@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ottopalmer@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bstauss@hotmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
getkent@roadrunner.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rodriguez@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, RR



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
glomcc2002@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
whitejeanne 8@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
vermeulen@mindspring.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
francesaubrey@earthlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rashellesnaz@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate. God forbid something catastrophic happen, you would be held accountable for allowing something that goes AGAINST the interests and wishes of your constituents - the people you are obligated to represent.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the dangerous Phillips 66 oil train proposal
grossman_mark@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains have 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
billhilton@mac.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo must not approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal - protect San Jose and it 's families
lzkowalski@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

People of San Jose need protection against this potential threat. With more and more residential housing being built around the downtown San Jose tracks, it becomes more concerning that hazardous materials will be railed through our streets. Please make a point to reach out to current SJ Mayor Reed and Mayor-elect Sam Liccardo before making decisions which affect our homes.

I am expressing deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge you to REJECT the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur.

Regards,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
stouty16@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
billxtar@dslextreme.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
busylady20056@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
alicenewton62@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Please reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Lisaar@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
elliott@kmgchemicals.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ebonesteel@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:07 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Eric Bonesteel



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jacquelinesjoberg@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:07 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ashley@forestethics.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:07 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
crstrom-martin@hotmail.com to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/25/2014 11:07 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dindamcp4@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:07 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jmhsfnceha@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:07 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
intexile@iww.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:07 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ingallssk@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:07 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

mahaner@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:07 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Michelle Haner



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sfsonshine@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:07 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

lisa_wong32@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:07 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
tarawaustin@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:07 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

lindsay.hamilton@live.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:07 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Lindsay Hamilton



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
hoisingtonweb@yahoo.com to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sparkerca@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kmcavert@aol.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jzhao098@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California. Moreover, as a resident in Cupertino, this project will directly affect my local community. I do not believe this project is in the interest of residents in the San Jose area.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train

carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ghenryvball@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

We visit the area to site see, water ski and wine taste and agree with those who are exposed to this on a daily basis.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ab4sam@aol.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lcs89@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
julesdunn@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am completely opposed to the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery, and agree with everything said in this letter!!! The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer

could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
josh500@ymail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kchadwell@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
matt_hairfield@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mboyaje@yaho.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
omperron@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
VvaL1@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
newhope4us5@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cfreitas@wildblue.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rb2000_95019@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Sandy Turner



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
alexjxm@aol.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rongden@pacbell.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers or write this note since citizens are also decision makers in this regard.

JUST WRITE THIS: MAKE IT PERSONAL FOR MORE EFFECT.

COUNTY PLANNING AND BUILDING

DEAR MR. WILSON,

PLEASE REJECT THE PHILLIPS 66 EIR AND PROJECT APPLICATION FOR A SPUR TRACK AT THEIR NIPOMO REFINERY. IT IS INADEQUATE AND WE DO NOT WANT OIL TRAINS RUNNING THROUGH OUR COUNTY.

SINCERELY,

(SIGN, DATE AND PRINT YOUR NAME, ADDRESS, PHONE AND EMAIL)

IF YOU DO NOT HAVE THE TIME TO HAND DELIVER IT TO THE COUNTY YOURSELF BEFORE 4:30PM NEXT MONDAY, DO THIS. CALL ME AT 805-234-6666 AND LET ME KNOW WHERE AND WHEN I CAN PICK UP YOUR NOTE. I WILL PERSONALLY MAKE SURE THAT THE COUNTY RECEIVES IT BEFORE THE CUT-OFF TIME. THE HAND WRITTEN NOTES WILL PROBABLY BE MORE EFFECTIVE THAN EMAILS. BUT, EMAILS ARE BETTER THAN NOTHING. SEND THEM TO mwilson@co.slo.ca.us

THANK YOU,

ROGER LONGDEN

PS: THIS IS PROBABLY THE MOST UNUSUAL REQUEST THAT YOU WILL SEE ALL YEAR. YOU MIGHT ALSO WANT TO THINK ABOUT ATTENDING THE COUNTY PLANNING HEARING ON THIS MATTER NEXT JANUARY. TENTATIVE DATE IS THE 29TH A THURSDAY.

Begin the standard message please

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the

past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Roger Edwin Longden



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

julia.schaletzky@gmx.de to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bchesed@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed. It only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014.

A worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur.

This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
margot.zook@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sdvittorio@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ericajworthington@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
stugross@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Carolyngongguy@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Carolyn Gong Guy



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

laban.patrick@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Patrick Laban



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Victoriabaugh@mac.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
WHTrout@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ethanshen14@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

newvanessa@horseopera.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

johnkevin_1104@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
patknittel@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mattie2007@att.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
btc5472@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Brandon



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jaossai@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jonirussell_58@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
e_n_connie227@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
wingould@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
katbcoll@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
armstrks@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mabeysan@mac.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
laniwooll@hotmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mkwatson@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
savitriermini@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cloudnc@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

The time for CLEAN ENERGY INVESTMENT, not continuing dirty oil that won't even benefit the U.S., and will most likely endanger us. Taking a stand is long overdue.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mgolden@ccspa.info to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
carolyntrovao@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
gapkaya@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Gunda Pramuk



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
schiffer.kathy@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
alvinn@mail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
liz@lizredwing.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
igit@pacific.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
pennie@gatheringtribes.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
stanzler@pacbell.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ldldy@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
pkinney@ix.netcom.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California. I live near San Jose, which may be on the route.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer

could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kathryngl@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kc3ceri@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
swechs@sonic.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination

risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
judy@leapfrogproductions.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
judyphilbin@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rishid97@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

angela.wilkinson4@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ckapelke@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jbe1234@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jbass@muddycat.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cherieerwin@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
wwinemiller@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jangel@earthlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
westhills9@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ani0297@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

runescape3094@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Cyrus Chang



Reject Phillips 66 oil trains
ivars1999@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cbailio@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Imbaumann@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
yoga_abc@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rohanc10@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
karikat@earthlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
hanaleisian@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Hanalei



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
purple5704@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Caroline



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sphyrna52@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bruce@hammondfinehomes.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
canant@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

alyssaakwon@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ymerejeux@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

robsantos@gmail.com to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Te1brown@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Tracey Trimeloni



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
shamencaster@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
hlonde@lmi.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

In addition, the increasing rail traffic of these dangerous trains is causing more and more Amtrak trains to arrive late. What a hideous irony that dirty oil trains negatively impact energy-efficient public transportation. They are an outrage to the planet and to living creatures on the planet on so many levels.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
eyelarocca@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Phillips 66 Rail Terminal Expansion Project
Sarah Del Grande to: p66-railsur-comments@co.slo.ca.us

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

To the Attention of Mr. Murry Wilson
SLO County Planning Department

I'm emailing you to express my strong opposition to the Phillips 66 rail terminal expansion project. I am a teacher in San Leandro, and several of our school campuses are within the blast zone through which the oil trains would travel. Therefore, I'm deeply concerned about the potential dangers associated with this entire project. Additionally, bringing tar sands oil to California will undermine our state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate change, and these trains will put our communities directly in harm's way.

Specifically, our emergency responders are not prepared to deal with potential accidents with these heavy, dangerous trains, and the current safety standards won't protect our people and property along the blast zones. My understanding is that the draft EIR doesn't fully inform our first responders because it inadequately assesses the risks of an oil train disaster in San Leandro. The EIR only evaluates rail-accident rates between 2003 and 2012 and the spill rates between 2005 and 2009, while omitting important data about accident frequency and magnitude in more recent years. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than during the past four decades combined. The EIR must look at recent data, which reflects the increased quantities of crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars.

In my role as a public school teacher on a school campus in San Leandro Unified School District, I am not prepared, nor is our District Emergency Operations Procedure plan prepared, to address this threat to our school communities. School teachers, as public employees, become state mandated emergency workers when disaster strikes. This proposed project threatens school communities along the entire north to south California rail route planned for these oil shipments.

The EIR's worst-case scenario estimates a spill of 180,000 gallons, or roughly six tank cars of crude. This has to be an error because most crude trains have 100 or more tank cars, carrying millions of gallons. Depending upon where an accident occurred, such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, sensitive ecosystems, schools, homes, transit facilities and rail lines, businesses, and our local economy. In Alameda County, this rail route parallels major highways and urban areas in several cities, including (but not limited to) Berkeley, Oakland, San Lorenzo, and Hayward. In San Leandro, these trains would pass within less than a mile from our City Hall, Police Department, two BART Stations, and the tracks pass through the heart of our downtown.

Also, the toxic air emissions that will accompany this project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution along the rail route, with sulfur dioxide and other toxic chemicals leaked that increase risk of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease and premature death.

The EIR has yet to fully analyze the worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream,

reservoir or aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians, an unacceptable risk anytime, made more severe in this time of extreme drought.

The planning department must examine the cumulative impacts of the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project -- not in isolation -- since the proposed terminal in Santa Maria is directly linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify both facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands.

Phillips 66 must disclose crude-quality information so decision-makers fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. At every stage of the mining, transportation and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil -- making this project simply incompatible with California's plans to be a climate leader.

We don't live in a bubble, this proposed project that you are considering in Santa Maria will affect the health and well-being of millions of people along the train routes, as well as the dangers to our school communities, environment, water resources, local economies, and private property.

For all these reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to soundly reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur.

With Respect,
Sarah Del Grande

Sent from my iPad



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
gbtebo@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lottydah2002@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
andyboy264@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
c14@cox.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

lshkouratoff@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

erin.song@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Erin Song



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kool.kat.654@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
richardju97@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ezedmartin@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
debinchapala@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
regiall123@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
herkysgurl@aol.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sanchezintmeddoc@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kung.vivian@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Vivian Kung



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bo30090@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, E. Gierson



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
fdmsprtlvhrs@aol.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dpassmor@mcn.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
muzungu_x@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

indianbs@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Djash Mahuab



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ahocone@mac.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
frix@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

rhonda.doan97@gmail.com to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mayahmohan@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
taraleesadavis@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, our Wildlife, property and our local economy and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California (which I truly hope they won't). The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. Have you ever seen - even in a photograph - the devastation that this method of extracting has done to Canadian lands? If that was only the extraction, I can't imagine what an accident with this crude oil would do - it would be Dantesque!!!

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
a@b.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Chucks



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ktree4@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
glicciardi@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bonnie@estabird.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

macmartinmac@gmail.com to: p66-railsbur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ellen_franzen@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
aaidenhong@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

tammamkhan1234@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

andyboy264@comast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

ahmad.ali.ahmad98@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Ahmad



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
colleenrose@juno.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mylas@yahoo.com to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Istirling4@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jgshurt69@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

This our own BACKYARD. The kids are playing nearby.

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A

derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
redwdeons50@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
gregcpommier@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
artration@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
tamhas@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
becca15x@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
veggie**mom**@hotmail.com to: p66-rails**pur**-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

davidzshen20282@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, David Shen



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
emilie.olson@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
renescuddlycritters @hotmail.com
To: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer

could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jflitcraft@earthlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, John Flitcraft



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
yosiashailu@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
pugsandstuff@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Abby Tan



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
memoriesjc@hotmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
redbeerd@hotmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
linda@lindashea.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lenorie@lightlink.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jacobsantos@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
debbiedittman@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
gopika_hari@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
hiidamian 1@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ceceliamariscal @hushmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Cecelia Mariscal



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
deast7@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

heyhazel@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

jenniferliu 1996@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
duanemarble@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
peckos@me.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
michsoule@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
atticuss@pacbell.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cmcoyner@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
szumpf@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sbuckallen@cox.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kaynh@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
snowiesky@hotmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lauragoodspeed@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
art@altamoore.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lenores03@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
fionapriskich@hotmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jess@creature-teacher.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
tracy@kandtonline.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Tracy Chastain



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rtkollmar@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
xandysmom@aol.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

nellie.likes.apples@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

chahanna07@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

kaofranklin1997@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
andytomsky@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
wwe13775@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
seburt1960@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
moondoggie_pet_sitting@yahoo.com
To: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer

could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
catslady3@verizon.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kpimentel@att.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jhdavis246@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
juliejean77@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
stormbirds@earthlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
brady@got.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
adityaprabhu 1999@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
madelineson@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
carole@locrian.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
clairebixby@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
andrecase@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cyrlebassoon@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

alex.jhcho@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

ribero@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
edyrushtour@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
apollonos@mac.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
aimee_kartzman@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

srahman997@student.fuhsd.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
theeleven111@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
beyllo@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ishansharma1@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
hannahdstein@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Hannah Stein



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
marylia@earthlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ghbedinger@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
stephenjohnso@comcast.net to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Stephen P. Johnson



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jchokshi73@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lynbafour123@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
susanharman1@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
knytt@verizon.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
turtleflower@earthlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

stephmagellan@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
j.burkhart@cox.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

narehm11@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Nareh Megerdichian



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bebar50@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
crickett49@surewest.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
paulberns49@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Paul Bernstein



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
foodtopia@humboldt.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bwren122@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ketch07@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lizzyf16@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our state, communities, and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

swanson_michelle@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery.

These trains are literally a stone's throw from my front door. I am terrified by the thought of potentially exploding train passing in front of my apartment on a daily basis. This is irresponsible and reckless and it cannot be approved! California needs to continue to be a leader in progressive solutions to our climate crisis, not take 50 steps backwards, allowing this disgusting, dangerous cargo to move freely through our state.

We know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. A worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety.

The toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community.

A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians.

At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rbc0549@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
caverjohn@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities and our state's environment at risk. We cannot afford to place water resources or citizen health for the profits of a few.

We are ill equipped and not adequately trained to deal with an emergency the size and scope these trains might give. We know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than the prior four decades rendering the EIR's analysis flawed. Please, look at recent data including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. The data suggest that just as Earthquakes in our beloved state, it is not a matter of if, but when. The rail cars being used are proved old and unsafe.

A worst case scenario as described by the EIR estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, approximately six tank cars of crude. If most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars we are talking millions of gallons of crude, another flaw in the study. Such a spill could devastate scarce water resources, Private and public property, our local economy, threaten public health and safety.

Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes, of which one runs through my town. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. It is unavoidable however, do not allow it. Otherwise, their report cites increased health risks, especially for children and the elderly of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death. These heavy externalities will not be paid for by Phillips 66 but by the citizens of California, in both lives and treasure.

The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. This is indeed critical.

The planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth, Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation being but one link in a chain.

Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

mccracken.maureen@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
eilmassey@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
real_sur_real@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

michellehmackenzie@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

As a lifelong California resident, I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer

could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
hayata5@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rose_5823@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

matsumotomichelle@yahoo.com to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
orderfarie@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mp4ever@mac.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
t.c.patterson@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dd.paradise@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
nohman200@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Nohman



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mydearserena@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lisadfs@att.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rsolange@earthlink.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Idalberg@ecs-sf.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. I have family in Santa Maria, Nipoma, and SLO and I do not want them to be endangered by this horrible pipeline!!!

The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train

carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Jmaury08@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rosedeangelo@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

celticwomanwicklow@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
teddyholly@cox.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:02 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
nancyfaygates@aol.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:02 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ows.pam99@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:02 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lsavage3@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:02 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rainmudtrees@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:02 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ornelas@boydcomm.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:02 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
emw9999@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:02 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jd94510@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:02 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Ms.Marsha-V-L@Pacbell.Net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:02 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
citycountry8@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:02 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
varella@pacbell.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:02 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

jessicakwong97@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:02 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
doshee@indylink.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:02 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ericaleung@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:02 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Erica Leung



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
benburch 1950@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:02 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
telvari9@care2.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:02 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bmittchell94619@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:02 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
adagio@mcn.org to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:02 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
davidbatt69@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/25/2014 11:02 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
curlgirl72@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:02 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Linda Bruce to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:02 AM

Sent by: **Sierra Club** <information@sierraclub.org>

Please respond to Linda Bruce

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Bruce
1426 El Sereno
Yuba City, CA 95993-5608
(310) 592-9418

From: "marylea3@gmail.com" <marylea3@gmail.com>
To: p66-railspur-comments@co.slo.ca.us
Date: 11/17/2014 09:37 AM
Subject: marylea3@gmail.com

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for

children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

marylea3@gmail.com

From: Sarah Williams <smailliwiharas@gmail.com>
To: p66-railspur-comments@co.slo.ca.us
Date: 11/18/2014 07:36 PM
Subject: :(

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. As a student at the University of California, Santa Barbara, and therefore resident of Goleta, the Phillips 66 project puts my community, and many others, at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to Isla Vista, Goleta, Santa Barbara, and communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders [in my community] are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders in Goleta because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster. The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death. Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the

Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil.

A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,
Sarah Williams

From: "ekroboth@gmail.com" <ekroboth@gmail.com>
To: p66-railspur-comments@co.slo.ca.us
Date: 11/19/2014 09:06 AM
Subject: Please Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for

children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

From: "mads@mbjerre.com" <mads@mbjerre.com>
To: p66-railspur-comments@co.slo.ca.us
Date: 11/19/2014 11:08 AM
Subject: mads@mbjerre.com

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for

children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

From: "safutrell@ucdavis.edu" <safutrell@ucdavis.edu>
To: p66-railspur-comments@co.slo.ca.us
Date: 11/19/2014 05:16 PM
Subject: PLEASE Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

Emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Thank you.

Respectfully yours,

From: "jeff@barnboyfurniture.com" <jeff@barnboyfurniture.com>
To: p66-railspur-comments@co.slo.ca.us
Date: 11/19/2014 11:54 PM
Subject: PLEASE PLEASE PLEASE REJECT the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for

children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

From: "nekoui@gmail.com" <nekoui@gmail.com>
To: p66-railspur-comments@co.slo.ca.us
Date: 11/22/2014 06:07 PM
Subject: Don't Mess Up The Bay Area

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for

children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Kamyar.

From: "drjimstewart@gmail.com" <drjimstewart@gmail.com>
To: p66-railspur-comments@co.slo.ca.us
Date: 11/22/2014 08:01 PM
Subject: PROTECT OUR HEALTH-Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for

children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

From: "duclaud@comcast.net" <duclaud@comcast.net>
To: p66-railspur-comments@co.slo.ca.us
Date: 11/23/2014 12:02 AM
Subject: Oppose the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

This message is to express my deep concern about the dangerous proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for

children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

From: "largentof55@sbcglobal.net" <largentof55@sbcglobal.net>
To: p66-railspur-comments@co.slo.ca.us
Date: 11/23/2014 05:36 AM
Subject: Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal, NOW!

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for

children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

From: "linda@pxsarchitecture.com" <linda@pxsarchitecture.com>
To: p66-railspur-comments@co.slo.ca.us
Date: 11/23/2014 01:04 PM
Subject: linda@pxsarchitecture.com

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for

children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

California



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
janexn1013@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 10:29 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
palbers2@earthlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 10:07 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Jose decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, San Jose must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Jose cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Jose County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Patricia Albers



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

lonly@lmi.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 09:38 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

van.a@cox.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 08:59 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

emma.hutchinson@me.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 08:10 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
xela1208@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 07:16 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
wankelgnome@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 03:51 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Mihir



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

hahne@prismnet.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 02:49 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
wqu880mustangs@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 02:26 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
evansphere@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 02:09 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
angelofj@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 12:18 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

kayu.c8@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 11:31 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Kayu Choy



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

samanthacheung418@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 09:09 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Samantha



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
han.johnson12@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/22/2014 08:31 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate. Build a safer transportation method. Like a pipeline!

Respectfully yours, Dharma Naidu



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

isthatreallyweirdkidagain@gmail.com

To: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 08:27 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer

could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
a.a@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 07:01 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jjh.8.rox@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/22/2014 06:05 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Julie Huang



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
arnav.void@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 06:03 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

taroncun@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/22/2014 05:34 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
selenawang2000@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 04:55 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Selena



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
darinngo@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 04:32 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

aishwarya.nair22@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 04:02 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Aishwarya



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kaocam@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 03:50 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sajan98@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 03:36 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

xs.shirley@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 03:33 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Shirley Xu



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

mmichealc@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/22/2014 03:24 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

luchat@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 03:17 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Mangogirl5@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 03:10 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Hana Terfai



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
alltheway190@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 02:57 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

tiffanykanamaru@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 02:56 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ychoi.agnes@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/22/2014 02:38 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
tanay@clipped.me to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 02:27 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
123@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 02:20 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

This is important to us.

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A

derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
akboy08@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 02:19 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
niteesha9@gmail.com to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/22/2014 02:12 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the PhillipI's 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

monica_nissen@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 01:58 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
safi.nazzal@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 01:37 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mingun0108@gmail.com to: p66-railsbur-comments

11/22/2014 01:28 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Mingun



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ankitupadhyay66@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 01:25 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

samir.alam@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 01:13 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
amir.muaz45@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 01:10 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

chslitrumpet@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 01:00 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, fragilebird



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ananyas@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 12:49 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

richard.kanamaru@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 12:49 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Richard Kanamaru



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mahimadutt@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 12:48 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jaeyoung1997@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 12:45 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
edieye1997@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 12:45 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
allencsgo@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 12:41 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Aniruddh



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lylou.tea@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/22/2014 12:36 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
chanwoo3377@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 12:32 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Charlie



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

miamiheat6341@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 12:31 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

areeb.khan616@gmail.com to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/22/2014 12:29 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Areeb



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
avanivaid@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 12:27 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
shelbys_girl09@yahoo.ckm to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/22/2014 12:14 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
donnellyj63@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 11:53 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, John & Sara Donnelly



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cathylorza@mac.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/21/2014 11:24 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

audrey.woaini.pham@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/21/2014 10:57 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Audrey



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dleemagic002@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/21/2014 10:13 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

aznxsteph@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/21/2014 05:17 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
idk901@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/21/2014 04:26 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

eric@philosopherswheel.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/21/2014 02:30 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Jose decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail near San Jose. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

Emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public.

The toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
chenyz55@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/21/2014 11:27 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

hasalotus@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/21/2014 10:52 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
billxtar@dslextreme.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/21/2014 08:33 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

While I do not live close enough to the rail lines affected by these trains I have seen the horrendous damage and loss of life that can occur when, not if there should be a derailment. Local fire fighters are simply not trained or equipped to handle such an accident.

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery.

The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kidjava@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/21/2014 08:23 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
tree.fitzpatrick@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/21/2014 07:43 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
allistarr@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 11:06 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Lcurriedesign@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 10:27 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
itsywc@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 10:24 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

mjschuermann@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 04:24 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster

I am a frequent commuter through San Jose and up the 880 corridor into Oakland and Berkeley. It would be disastrous to have a train spill and catch fire along this heavily traveled area. This proposal should be defeated.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cbcb@bborucki.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/20/2014 12:08 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cara@carajudea.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 08:41 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
robinkibby@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 12:53 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, robin Kibby



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
angie@angiespinelli.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 09:10 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

cppaul@me.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 05:51 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
elah.bird@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 05:13 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
hollyaurora@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 02:50 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sadie.angelina@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 02:42 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
edverk11@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 11:31 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
beauabroughton@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 11:21 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rodneyr88@outlook.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/23/2014 11:17 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rubita7@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 10:41 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bpinkham3@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/23/2014 10:28 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
writetomel75@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 10:13 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
emill@earthlink.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/23/2014 09:45 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
canine@excite.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 09:24 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
anitakline@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 09:17 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
igor@cayoungdems.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/23/2014 09:10 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Igor



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
normandyd@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/23/2014 08:43 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
karie@karie.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 08:38 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
julianna@lmi.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 08:17 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
markpun@mindspring.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 08:09 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
wadrianson@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 08:09 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
howiee@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 08:09 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
hotropic@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/23/2014 07:47 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jdashe@mac.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/23/2014 07:40 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rhazen@webtv.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 07:32 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
h.friedman@att.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 07:04 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
elaine.magree@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 07:00 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mvinson@omsoft.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/23/2014 06:13 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
haney2527@roadrunner.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 06:05 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
wwilson120@aol.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/23/2014 05:59 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
girlindy 14@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 05:59 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
aladdinsmagicjeanne @msn.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 05:54 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
crloudis@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 04:55 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
msrubytoo@comcast.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/23/2014 04:45 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
singingwater@att.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 04:41 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
silvermason@verizon.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 04:33 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
stein@math.ucdavis.edu to: p66-railsur-comments

11/23/2014 04:31 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
coyotebreath09@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 04:19 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Lavonn Ambrecht



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
leeseve@aol.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/23/2014 04:01 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jaimе.currier@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/23/2014 03:53 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jameskr997@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 03:25 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kyra@lmi.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 03:25 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
valken220@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 03:21 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
stevens3@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 03:16 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
vchuckufarley@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 03:16 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, C.H. Voss, California native



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
gordonsmith100@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 03:06 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Gordon Smith



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
g-marvin@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 02:57 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
johnneck@earthlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 02:29 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
psand@earthlink.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/23/2014 02:28 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
eesolar@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 02:21 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sm4258@nyu.edu to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 02:08 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
michaeljack@volcano.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 02:05 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I have deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
blayneyb@att.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 01:42 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
NAJETT@SBCGLOBAL.NET to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 01:30 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, JANET MCCOLL



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
linkingwords@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 01:22 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lili.fulton@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 01:21 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
tonynelson81@googlemail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 01:21 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
chargreaves055@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 01:18 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lois25wood@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 01:01 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

Please reject the proposal of a Phillips 66 oil train that will endanger people in California, including those in San Jose which is near my home.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rmanion136@gmail.com to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/23/2014 12:22 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
emailand@comcast.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/23/2014 12:21 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
carolineyacoub @att.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/23/2014 12:06 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Cdreams99@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 12:02 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jsaak@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 11:54 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
arajhnsn@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 11:33 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
tenbears1@bellsouth.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/23/2014 11:32 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
icarmean2@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 11:26 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
raquel.duke@ymail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 11:17 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mystik@aol.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/23/2014 10:43 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
tia.rosal@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/23/2014 10:26 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
shiratr@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 10:18 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kimtravel3@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 10:11 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cmstudio2@earthlink.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/23/2014 10:09 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ray_Pingle@msn.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 10:09 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
iamtomas@mcn.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 10:07 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sustainablejeff@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/23/2014 10:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
LyndaRavenBrake@msn.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 10:05 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lesliecrenna@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/23/2014 09:50 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
hammondsf@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/23/2014 09:50 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
francesaubrey@earthlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 09:48 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
chirby14@gmail.com to: p66-rails spur-comments

11/23/2014 09:39 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, PLEASE!!!



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bkeller@hmc.edu to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 09:34 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sfhundley@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/23/2014 09:25 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
nicca@igc.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 09:24 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
arfoote@mac.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 09:23 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dfilipelli@mcn.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 09:19 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

The following represents my position in strong opposition to the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur.

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train

carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
poppinjay@earthlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 09:17 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
tki661@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 09:16 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Nicolemilner 333@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 09:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, nicole milner



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
accjdm@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 09:02 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rangerdave@myvw.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 08:59 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
2005nfg@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/23/2014 08:55 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
atmoritz@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/23/2014 08:42 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
justice@mbay.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 08:40 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am the Executive Director of The Fort Ord Environmental Justice Network. We are writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California. One such community is a huge contaminated Military site in Monterey County California. We cannot afford to have more exposures and unsafe transport coming through our communities that will cause harm to multiple families and communities. Our Air is already contaminated with toxins due to Prescribed burns, from the Army. They are using Alumajell, which is a Derivative of napalm. Monterey County also conducts Prescribed burns using diesel fuel and gasoline.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, LeVonne Stone



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
svandermade@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 08:39 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
salex@wavecable.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 08:35 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
wattsvalleypreservation@gmail.com
To: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 08:33 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer

could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
yesmaris@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 08:33 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jennifer.lynch6@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 08:24 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kathimorris@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 08:20 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mmariebb@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 08:10 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
tayloronline 1@mac.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 08:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sharkrja@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/23/2014 08:05 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bennett1280@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/23/2014 08:02 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
banditrabbit@earthlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 07:51 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
aswexler@ucdavis.edu to: p66-railsur-comments

11/23/2014 07:51 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mediasick@juno.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 07:49 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Charles Taylor



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lbfm07@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/23/2014 07:41 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
targeted2011@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 07:28 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
pcmedved@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 07:23 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dgmrc2@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 07:23 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lifeisaschool@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 07:22 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kmdaly@vom.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/23/2014 07:16 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ssyw@verizon.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 07:14 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
gmorts@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 07:00 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
seguegirl@aol.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/23/2014 06:45 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
randi.r2d2@mac.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 06:43 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
RubinArnol@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 06:34 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
madhumathy@mac.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 06:33 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
aharvey@ucsd.edu to: p66-railsur-comments

11/23/2014 06:14 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
gracegrace.allenallen@gmail.com
To: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 05:59 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer

could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Grace Allen



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cannkirkland@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 05:25 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
designata@msn.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 05:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bibliophile 88@mail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 04:57 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
wolf-ohg@web.de to: p66-railsur-comments

11/23/2014 04:52 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
noonanhopelives@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 04:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
toobie0535@live.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 03:14 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
riches2go@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/23/2014 01:54 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ddferg@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 01:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
blackmantis@earthlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 12:15 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
corinna.jevons@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/23/2014 12:12 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
felicitynf@aol.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/22/2014 11:42 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
donjaco@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 11:15 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
leahmazel@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 10:57 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Leah Mazel



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
byeager@fastmail.fm to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 10:52 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
tednjanb@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 10:47 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dalea@sonic.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 10:46 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
simcha3@msn.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 10:39 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dpaskin@att.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 10:39 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

D. Paskin



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Hwstwiss@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railsbur-comments

11/22/2014 10:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Helen Twiss



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
marla1001@msn.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 09:43 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
s_sommer@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 09:41 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
patnod@mac.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 09:36 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jstennick@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 09:30 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
turtleperson@earthlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 09:23 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
moss_m@att.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 09:19 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
madarock@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 09:12 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
wrosenstein@earthlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 09:07 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
boyleworks@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 09:06 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014.

This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades.

The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars.

Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community.

Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery.

The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kimffloyd@fastmail.fm to: p66-railsur-comments

11/22/2014 09:04 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
katja.irvin@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 09:04 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
taopower@charter.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/22/2014 08:59 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ruthiesakheim@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 08:56 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption and the MANDATES of The Climate Solutions Act.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
denisemurphy2@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 08:12 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Denise Murphy



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
landmarkgap@starband.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 07:44 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Idanos@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/22/2014 07:24 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
coastalcarol712@charter.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 07:18 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lpateman@me.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 06:57 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
donnam51@icloud.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 06:53 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cschiafone@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 06:45 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
donnaluna2@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/22/2014 06:35 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kclark@calpoly.edu to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/22/2014 06:31 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Kevin Clark



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
diddamsma@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 06:28 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Racerbrown49@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 06:26 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
nfeinst@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 06:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
patachek3@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 06:04 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed oil-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude-by-rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Alarming, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, which is approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude, a spill that would devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true scope and impacts.

Second, Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death. The toxic air emissions resulting from this project pose an unacceptable risk to public health and significant increases in health care costs.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed, along the length of the rich agricultural fields of the Salinas Valley and along California's treasured central coast. With each oil train carrying more than 3,000,000 gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil, a derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water and food products for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands.

Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
crea_tech@earthlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 05:52 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dlwarshaw@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 05:52 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sramstrom@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 05:41 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
leslieyoung@infinite-monkeys.org
To: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 05:41 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer

could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
shanwafair@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 05:37 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dale@inewgames.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 05:31 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
elbmarin@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 05:25 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cartilchenmusic 391@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 05:20 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Carl Tilchen



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
danpinps@aol.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/22/2014 05:12 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
varmigo@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/22/2014 05:05 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
infodavies@earthlink.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/22/2014 05:03 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lynjudd@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/22/2014 05:00 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing as a San Jose citizen to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lilmisshac@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 04:46 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
megan.celticspirit@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 04:45 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
krquinnell@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 04:38 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
asimms@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 04:37 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
angelica.whitefeather9@gmail.com
To: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 04:30 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer

could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
yankeesmc@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 04:20 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sue@the-allen-family.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 04:19 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
geoff_oso@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 04:16 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
curoi@cruzio.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/22/2014 04:15 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

patricia-alvarez@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 04:04 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
margaretmft@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/22/2014 04:01 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery.

This proposal is literally an accident waiting to happen.

The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta

watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jeantepper@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 03:55 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

For the sake of our communities and our children, please reject the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

Our emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014.

Besides, the EIR's worst-case scenario estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, approximately six tank cars of crude, while most crude trains comprise 100 or more tank cars, which could lead to a spill on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals.

Third, the proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast, carrying explosive, toxic crude oil near rivers, streams, reservoirs, and groundwater aquifers. A spill could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lauren57@roadrunner.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 03:52 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
todd@toddfincannon.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 03:43 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Todd Fincannon



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ecs@live.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/22/2014 03:43 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
thesnurgs@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 03:41 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
fcollier70@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 03:40 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
informativopresente@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 03:35 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lourds51@dcn.org to: p66-railsur-comments

11/22/2014 03:30 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
97agupta@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/22/2014 03:21 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Aman Gupta



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lucienne.ok@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 03:20 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ankhlife@pacbell.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 03:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
masques@mindspring.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 03:07 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
laura@laurazweig.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 03:02 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
heather@heatherhenderson.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 02:44 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

deast7@yahoo.com to p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 02:44 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer

could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sher@omsoft.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 02:42 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
nancyprice39@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 02:41 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kbalcom@earthlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 02:39 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ilith@inbox.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 02:35 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mjasper@accessbee.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 02:28 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
zhadi@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 02:25 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dougmillermusic @comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 02:19 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
wendymgao@icloud.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/22/2014 02:12 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rtkm@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 02:06 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
glennonfx@att.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 02:03 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Inittler@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 01:59 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
pamelamace@hughes.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 01:58 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ardisskillett@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 01:56 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
forrest@volcano.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 01:43 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
wfikern@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/22/2014 01:41 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
celsten@oceantomo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 01:38 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
abc3dtd@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/22/2014 01:23 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
briseboy@msn.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 01:19 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
grahamcrackerzzz@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 01:05 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ljacobi@charter.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 01:03 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dlovell8@att.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 01:00 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mdecroes@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 12:58 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
santahatkid@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 12:56 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
slimpz@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/22/2014 12:56 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
franszoors@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 12:55 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
katdog9@aol.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/22/2014 12:53 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jksanford@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/22/2014 12:53 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kungae@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 12:48 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ldoyle@seti.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 12:46 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kevin.mulvey@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 12:45 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jillpotratz@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 12:43 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
aussiedog.carpenter@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 12:37 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rkliwer3@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 12:32 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
claudiaeads 368@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 12:32 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cscheel@pacbell.net to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/22/2014 12:31 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
tinamarnold@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 12:30 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
susan@cannon-canepa.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 12:29 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lundgren@iris-software.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 12:27 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kwindrum@earthlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 12:26 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mwhsmith@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 12:25 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sammarye@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 12:24 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
gail.rains314@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 11:59 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
k.niles@verizon.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/22/2014 10:23 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
wigboys@wigboys.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 10:12 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
gbhague@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 10:11 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ernestboyd@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 10:10 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
huixli@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/22/2014 09:57 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dodomas@runbox.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 09:44 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jrrioux1@yahoo.ca to: p66-railsur-comments

11/22/2014 09:40 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lorieruskin@mac.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 08:15 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lyndamorris08@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 08:15 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
treegr15@aol.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/22/2014 07:42 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sdscorpio@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/22/2014 07:11 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
effly13@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 07:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Linda718@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 06:42 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
fofried@verizon.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 02:33 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
veraloe@gotsky.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/22/2014 12:07 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
afiann@myway.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/21/2014 09:57 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lawrencerabbott@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/21/2014 08:47 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
digitalink5@comcast.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/21/2014 08:37 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Noah Gresham-Lancaster



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
nikkinashmusic@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/21/2014 07:48 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Janlcg@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/21/2014 06:48 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
richnydz@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/21/2014 06:39 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
squirefox@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/21/2014 05:44 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
supdegrove@charter.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/21/2014 05:41 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
olivialim.us@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/21/2014 05:37 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
goodwinfam@sbcglobal.net to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/21/2014 04:20 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
425carla@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/21/2014 03:14 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
seancarty@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/21/2014 01:52 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Sfem32@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/21/2014 01:37 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
littleorhannie@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/21/2014 12:19 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
janisgem@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/21/2014 12:09 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
hubble3176@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/21/2014 11:57 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
stephen.danner@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/21/2014 11:39 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
keepthebeat@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/21/2014 11:31 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
toddgregorian@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/21/2014 10:28 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ms.dork@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/21/2014 10:14 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
pheonixfirefly@Yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/21/2014 10:07 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities and wildlife across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Stephanie Hancock



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Rkusel@ix.netcom.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/21/2014 09:49 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Ron Kusel



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rhiannonm@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/21/2014 08:51 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Rhiannon Borunda



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
hwilliams@scu.edu to: p66-railspur-comments

11/21/2014 08:46 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mail+foresthics@damccclain.com
To: p66-railspur-comments

11/21/2014 08:45 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer

could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, David A McClain, San Jose Resident



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bwatson@conejousd.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/21/2014 08:40 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
elizabethlanski@gmail.com to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/21/2014 08:30 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
map2199@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/21/2014 08:29 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kathysutherland@pacbell.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/21/2014 07:16 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Kathy Sutherland



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
brmiddleton@ucdavis.edu to: p66-railspur-comments

11/21/2014 06:35 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cfjanuary@att.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/21/2014 04:57 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
drsonneb@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/21/2014 03:32 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
db@x1sf.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/21/2014 03:08 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
freakinaryan 10@Hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/21/2014 01:13 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
meghanandco@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 11:55 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Trena2000@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 11:44 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
junesnyder@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 10:44 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
erikaantillon @earthlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 10:43 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
willteach@att.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 10:34 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
doorways@aloha.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 10:10 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
svarese@ucdavis.edu to: p66-railsur-comments

11/20/2014 10:07 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jfsardegna@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 09:49 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Jill Sardegna



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
abracadabera@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 09:19 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

no.oil.trains.sj@actionsets.com

To: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 08:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer

could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
smmiranda48@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 08:04 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jeannewater@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/20/2014 07:33 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lynda@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/20/2014 07:16 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
olson@thebigbluefish.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 06:43 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ktwb@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 06:26 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern that this problem poses an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sales@gallerycalifornia.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 06:06 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear SLO representatives,

I live in SLO.

I strongly urge you to reject the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery.

This project is dangerous for our community and supports the horrible destruction which is taking place in Canada where the tar sands are mined at great cost to our environment today and our future world climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
chris@fungiart.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 06:01 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear SLO representatives,

My home is at 273 Chorro Street.

I strongly urge you to fully reject the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery.

The production and use of the products involved in this project is bad for the present and even worse for the future. In particular the extraction of petroleum fuels from tar sands must be stopped. This project does not stop this, instead it furthers it.

It is your duty as responsible representatives to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
caroline.pres@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 05:31 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, CAROL PRESS



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
fmdavis6@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 05:29 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Francesca Davis



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
1814reinelt@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 04:47 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
brololly@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 04:36 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
patti.rich@cdph.ca.gov to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 04:25 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rachele.m.long@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 04:00 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
covault.susan53@gmail.cpm to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 03:55 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cup82fran@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 03:53 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kcessex@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/20/2014 03:52 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
luann.wherry@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 03:52 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
chewebb@juno.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 03:50 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dougpeg@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 03:41 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kylegarzia@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 03:34 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
barbpierson@msn.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 03:18 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
patrick.julian@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 02:47 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
chiara@ogan.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/20/2014 02:45 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
wjwagman@dcn.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 12:28 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Bill Wagman



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
enviropup75@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/20/2014 11:45 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
emm263@cornell.edu to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 11:34 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
phlr@sonic.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 11:29 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
karen_luddite@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 11:16 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
john.luebben@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

As someone who lives within blocks of the route that these trains will take, I implore you to deny the refinery expansion

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train

carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jerrygrimes2@gmail.com to: p66-railsbur-comments

11/20/2014 11:01 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
arpantalone@netscape.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 10:48 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
PRSMOM@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 10:44 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
emilykirkland@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 10:37 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Janeba@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 10:05 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
19jack51@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 10:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Jack Sardegna



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
radium@pacbell.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 09:45 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
crickett49@surewest.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/20/2014 09:45 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
badbelly888@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/20/2014 09:44 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
yvette@ssl.berkeley.edu to: p66-railsur-comments

11/20/2014 09:35 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cloudanc@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 09:20 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
concowgirl@live.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 08:55 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
almeidamj@live.com.pt to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 07:20 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
phoenixsings@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 07:05 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
daziemoonstone59@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/20/2014 06:12 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,leslie



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
tideturner62@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/20/2014 04:07 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Mona Martine



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dan@kzfr.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 11:17 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rangerdave@myvw.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 11:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
srgsparky@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 10:39 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
theroadmanlives@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 10:22 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Wolfe "Roadman" Cevorov



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kkerridge@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/19/2014 09:48 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
chris4pax@chico.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 09:38 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jleatherwoman@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 08:50 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
vmmil@charter.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 08:47 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ddorenz@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 08:47 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
teeter@ucdavis.edu to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 08:36 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
carolruth1@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 08:34 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mandyhawes 10@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 07:41 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
karenliseberger@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 06:14 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cathycastro@me.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 06:13 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jenniferlaporta 1@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 05:53 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
melodywalsh@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 05:41 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jancecil8@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 05:36 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
artsytek18@aol.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/19/2014 05:20 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Paula J. Alban



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
abartzmail@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 03:22 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
wjnic@pacbell.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 02:49 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Jan Nicolosi



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kelleyacoughlan@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 02:35 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lubin.michal@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/19/2014 02:23 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Michal



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cms320@mac.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 02:22 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
akumu@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 02:04 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Sixth, my family and community lives near the rail lines that these cars would travel on and I do not want to be exposed to these chemicals and the disaster that is statistically going to occur.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
laurietra@msn.com to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/19/2014 01:56 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
pedrostone@Gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 01:54 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
tia.rosal@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/19/2014 01:52 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
afterbon@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 01:50 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kpfast@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 01:48 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

daniel_sherrell@brown.edu to: p66-railsbur-comments

11/19/2014 01:48 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sweetsurgeon@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 01:31 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jwmcintyre@ucdavis.edu to: p66-railsbur-comments

11/19/2014 01:27 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Justin



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ckravetz@pacbell.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 01:21 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
willpearl1@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 01:20 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
canyonwoodward@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 01:16 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lairdbarbara9@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 01:16 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
clarkstellar@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 01:03 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ann.harding@att.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 01:00 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
siversen@email.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 12:59 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
danjube@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/19/2014 12:59 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Thanks, Daniel



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
karneul@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 12:04 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lkmpub44@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 11:44 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jessiloua@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 11:09 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
calebknichols@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
patriciacdce 1@att.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 11:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sadsalgal@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 11:00 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cjgons@mac.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/19/2014 10:49 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
scvb13@ail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 10:45 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Sandra Catdoza, RN



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
gailt1225@earthlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 10:41 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
esuthe@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 09:36 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
nicole.kemeny@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 09:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, avoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jlkoski1@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 09:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jeanjackman@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 08:40 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

ojanm@mac.com to p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 08:39 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer

could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mp4ever@mac.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 08:29 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mactechs@me.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/19/2014 08:26 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ferdoudi68.mh@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 08:25 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
electricmind@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 08:21 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
maritaann@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 08:09 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jkroll@igc.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 08:05 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kathynolan@att.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 07:53 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mrwrh@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 07:33 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
catecook@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 07:28 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lkchimenti@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 07:14 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
petto@charter.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/19/2014 07:10 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lacircus@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 07:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
srsteinbach 1@mac.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 06:30 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Susan Steinbach



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
amyds@charter.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 05:00 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
annprivateer@att.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 04:51 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ligiaolive9@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 04:41 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Jjneusies2@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 04:37 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
djridm@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 03:35 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
carolmone@me.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 02:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
toobie0535@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 01:18 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
samulgrew@aol.com to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/19/2014 12:58 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
grrlfriday@mac.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 12:12 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
nancyprice39@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/19/2014 12:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
michalcathy@cox.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 11:41 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
daleydf@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 11:21 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mevle@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 10:53 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Richard



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
barndoggy@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 10:50 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am concerned about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mbauman@sonic.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 10:37 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

HEY, DON'T BE IDIOTS...WE ACTUALLY DON'T NEED OIL..WE NEED RENEWABLES...WAKE UP

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train

carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
martinel3@hawkmil.newpaltz.edu
To: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 10:18 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer

could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jane.dave@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 10:14 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. In addition, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis underestimates the amount of a spill to six tank cars of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lindarussell @inbox.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 09:48 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jeantepper@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 09:40 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
reynolandrew@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 09:38 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kirby1234@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 09:30 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
edmiggins@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 09:26 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lbocone@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/18/2014 09:23 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
shsharealike@saber.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 09:14 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
allisonrice75@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 08:59 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Palaszewskifam@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 08:55 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
maya.hardcore@gmail.com to: p66-railsbur-comments

11/18/2014 08:18 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Maya Harcourt



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
juliesbooks@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 08:08 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
knoconnor@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 07:58 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
slzphoto@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 07:58 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
judy_curtis@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 07:30 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
eagleeyeone@charter.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 06:52 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
knbrewer@calpoly.edu to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 06:51 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ryurman@newsguy.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 06:45 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Roxanne582@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 06:38 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lynda.leigh1@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/18/2014 06:32 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
omgirl4@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 06:28 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
glenn.short@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 06:00 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
perafam@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 05:47 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
pskeeter@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 05:42 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
gtowers1944@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 05:34 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
csstaton@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 05:26 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
gavrilah@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 05:23 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
marian.cruz2903@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 05:19 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
egrinber@calpoly.edu to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 05:14 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
richardbrandes@mac.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/18/2014 05:06 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
yms@juno.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 04:56 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
al4ecv@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 04:52 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ljfriedman@ucdavis.edu to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 04:49 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
pmerino@sandiego.gov to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 04:43 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
helen.dow2@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 04:42 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jbrainard@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 04:37 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jancecil8@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 04:34 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
McGee-m@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 04:32 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ediec29@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 04:27 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
crloudis@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 04:25 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lykeomgawth@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 04:21 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Dbmjmay@aol.com to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/18/2014 04:20 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bdarbitto@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 04:16 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
magickhours@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 04:09 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
beverly423@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 04:06 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
joanfr@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 04:06 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cshabrami@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 04:05 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jgibso04@calpoly.edu to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 04:03 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
thepatrickclan@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 03:59 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mcglocklinedu@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 03:55 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
johnkiene@mac.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/18/2014 03:38 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
afong@jps.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 03:37 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bertli@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/18/2014 03:37 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jameskirks@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 03:37 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
philarnot@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 03:31 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
donnawhitmarsh6@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 03:23 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bonsoleil@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 03:02 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dwmillion@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 03:01 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

As a Registered Nurse, mother and grandmother, I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer

could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kbkot@sbcglobal.net to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/18/2014 02:49 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bradley46@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 02:48 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
yvonne@earthlink.net to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/18/2014 02:47 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
hjalimb@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 02:46 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sahampton@msn.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 02:43 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
clobel1@san.rr.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 02:33 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
asal63@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/18/2014 02:29 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ra3ajw@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/18/2014 02:25 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
miriamg@pacific.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/18/2014 02:23 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mara.schechter@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 02:21 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
susanharman1@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 02:20 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
eresnickday@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/18/2014 02:20 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
yasfushimi@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 02:20 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
quetzal555@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 02:18 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
stewarddance@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 02:16 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Lauren



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
tracey.kleber@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 02:14 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
1heathersparks@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 02:04 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
davidakachops@gmail.com to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/18/2014 01:52 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
colville@sonic.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 01:48 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
firebyrd@earthlink.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/18/2014 01:47 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
corinnepallen@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 01:47 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
amraible@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 01:39 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
totto@pacbell.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 01:33 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cantabro@jussemper.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 01:32 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mark.swoiskin@ucsf.edu to: p66-railsur-comments

11/18/2014 01:30 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
paz@cruzio.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 01:24 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
pauldramos@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 01:24 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ckantrim@pacbell.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 01:23 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
zoidbergbot@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 01:17 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ewschulz16@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 01:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
michael.szeto@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 01:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kristi@forestethics.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 01:08 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
nadavajra@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 01:08 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
forevergrimm@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 01:07 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kaymoorsmum@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 01:05 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
camp.marshall@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 01:04 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ryanalf12@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/18/2014 01:03 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
alexawolfonline@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 01:01 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
tyler.w.price@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/18/2014 12:58 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
president315@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 12:53 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
caryn@lmi.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 12:38 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
martin.mackerel@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 12:38 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
gracetiessen@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 12:36 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
asonneman@mac.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 12:33 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
colemaur@netzero.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 12:30 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
slomophotos@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 12:28 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jshaia@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 12:24 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
donna.boland@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 12:24 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
boutella@pacbell.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 12:23 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lisab@humboldt1.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/18/2014 12:23 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
roseanne.hovey@ga.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 12:20 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rudbeck@pacific.edu to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 12:16 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
harveyb@hollywoodcenter.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 12:05 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
c.gomez@lse.ac.uk to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 11:34 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
aixa1@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 11:19 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
paulrea@mountingevidence.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 10:42 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

Allow me to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
seaglass103@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 10:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sickter@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/18/2014 08:57 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
nancyoliver@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/18/2014 08:46 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
1andrewbear@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 08:21 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lgk9732@lausd.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 08:13 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
scoob8178@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 08:01 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
pipsteve@pacific.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 07:49 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
anacpaequipment@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 07:35 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bob71947@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 07:34 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rcuthomas@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/18/2014 02:23 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bgcarr@finestplanet.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/17/2014 11:23 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dbailey@nrdc.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 09:56 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Kate.potter65@gmail.com to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/17/2014 09:55 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
michellehmackenzie@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 09:23 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
edina72@astound.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 08:27 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
davidmichetti@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 08:16 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ann.harding@att.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 08:06 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
reneeresch@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 06:55 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
amgallon@atg1.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 06:16 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Lionhouse75@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 05:55 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
koyote_15@msn.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 05:50 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
icanflutter@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/17/2014 05:30 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Selina L.



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
evansnancy3@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 04:44 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Nancy Evans



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
thuerlemann@att.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 04:42 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jancecil8@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 04:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kpimentel@att.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 03:58 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
syds@hackworth.org to: p66-railsur-comments

11/17/2014 02:31 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cbatloom@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 12:24 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
janeyett@igc.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 11:57 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
amtodd@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 11:51 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ellenzebrowski @att.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/17/2014 11:16 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bbjelane@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 11:15 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mochingon@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 10:49 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lorimichetti@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 10:38 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Aliciag 1005@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 10:36 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Alicia Gilliam



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bpneaves@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/17/2014 10:10 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
carolruth1@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 10:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
tom.maizbender@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 09:59 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bpinkham3@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 09:54 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
goclark@att.net to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/17/2014 09:36 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
suschamberlain@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 09:32 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ray@kronquist.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 09:19 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rogmail@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 09:18 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
johncain5@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/17/2014 09:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California. This train would run less than a mile from my house.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer

could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
carolineyacoub @att.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 09:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
elbee25@zoho.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 08:56 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California, and runs directly through mine and many other neighborhoods. I am in the red zone, along with tens of thousands of others, and that is immediate, well before we get to the climate-disruptive implications.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train

carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jessica.zenk@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/17/2014 08:30 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
alt.caseyc@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 08:17 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
emy338@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 08:16 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mmarta@deltacollege.edu to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 08:14 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cstahl2@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/17/2014 07:57 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about INCREASED crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the PAST FOUR DECADES. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
laura@robicheck.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 07:47 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery.

This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

Emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. But most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude.

Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health.

In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
seaglass103@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 07:33 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
chamerstad@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 07:27 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kuti3058@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 07:12 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sanjosejulia@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/17/2014 06:10 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
crubin@ail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/17/2014 06:07 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Cathy Rubin



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
drpins@sti.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/16/2014 11:57 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
philsim75@aol.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/16/2014 11:14 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
trunkraj@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/16/2014 10:45 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
glennalynn@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/16/2014 10:39 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dhalgrn@pacbell.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/16/2014 10:17 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
annies@kbcx.ne to: p66-railspur-comments

11/16/2014 09:32 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
pattothsmith@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/16/2014 08:56 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Ldunnett@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/16/2014 08:55 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mason.collins@outlook.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/16/2014 08:53 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bstebbins 14@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/16/2014 08:16 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ericw790@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/16/2014 07:57 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
gowithdog@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/16/2014 06:25 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Suzanne Morrone



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Lisahs100@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/16/2014 06:07 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jlevers105@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/16/2014 05:47 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
glenn.short@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/16/2014 04:35 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dancingdawg@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/16/2014 03:51 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ellinv@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/16/2014 03:21 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Ellin Payne



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
aedurfee@msn.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/16/2014 03:12 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jack.eidt@wilderutopia.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/16/2014 02:59 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
maria@bornski.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/16/2014 02:50 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kintrublu@cox.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/16/2014 02:34 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lisa.reinertson@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/16/2014 02:30 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
robert.n.smith2@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/16/2014 02:30 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
irenenken@pacbell.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/16/2014 02:26 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dlevoy329@comcast.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/16/2014 12:24 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to you from downtown San Jose, where I live, to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Deborah



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
singerde@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/16/2014 11:41 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lpenrose@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/16/2014 09:51 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
barbara@diederichs.me to: p66-railspur-comments

11/16/2014 09:11 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
robertsmegan@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/16/2014 08:21 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

brenda@eastbaymeditation.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/16/2014 08:14 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
margretschaefer@mac.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 11:53 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
aschemkes01@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 11:34 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
christysrogers@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 10:57 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
olivialim.us@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 10:24 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents unacceptable risks to our communities.

Emergency responders are not prepared for these dangerous trains and current standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons. That's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more cars. A worst case spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and local economy, and would pose a significant threat to the public. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic emissions from this project pose an unacceptable risk that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including sulfur dioxide and carcinogens. The report cites increased risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate water for millions. During extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria

is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic oil on Earth: tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine efforts to address climate change.

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lishevil@aol.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/15/2014 09:54 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mgasser@cruzio.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 09:35 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada, which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Cbowden@calnurses.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 07:53 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lolotusi@cruzio.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 07:17 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mermaidangel2@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 06:25 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
randolph@healingmindn.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 05:35 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mjordan00@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 05:35 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Margaret Jordan



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mibuchanan@goldrush.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 05:14 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
susan4@jps.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 05:03 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sgrillom@comcast.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/15/2014 04:37 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cbtaggart@earthlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 04:20 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jeanclark1@suddenlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 03:56 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Meilinghee@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 03:22 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Jordan284242@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 03:20 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Jordan



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ovepelman@cpp.edu to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 03:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Jennsfah@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 03:09 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rahicks@charter.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 03:09 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mem1@csupomona.edu to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 03:06 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jnack@igc.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 03:05 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Josemedina.la@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 03:04 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
apsonn@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 03:03 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Salcedo_anthony_gera@student.smc.edu
To: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 03:00 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer

could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Emilywilkinson 9@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 02:55 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
tori.sepulveda@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 02:55 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Shioali@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 02:51 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
iamstec@cox.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 02:18 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
diane95969@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 02:01 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jed.holtzman@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 01:39 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
necrohead56@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 01:12 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
idacesl@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 01:09 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bogin@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 11:56 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
esuthe@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 11:50 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
steve.willett@initcomp.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 11:25 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jeripollock@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 10:15 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
juneon170@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 09:48 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lustron1@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 09:41 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cloudanc@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 09:28 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
hobo17pollie@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 09:18 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
xaverritac@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 08:39 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
KerriAtwood@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/15/2014 08:35 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
abjoe@hitmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 08:32 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
katypolony@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 08:30 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jroach@wesleyan.edu to: p66-railsur-comments

11/15/2014 07:53 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
essay@nwlinc.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 05:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cdshining@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 04:32 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ananda@copper.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 01:31 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
simianchrissy@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 12:38 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
clobel1@san.rr.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 12:37 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kathyfuoco@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/15/2014 12:28 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

ronnorton62@EARTHLINK.NET to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 11:50 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
martin.mackerel@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 11:12 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
donnanorton@earthlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 10:51 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
joansvoice@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 10:49 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sunflowerdeby@gmail.com to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/14/2014 10:44 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
amandabloo@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/14/2014 10:22 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
amyallen@alumni.stanford.edu to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 10:08 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
carolineyacoub @att.net to: p66-railsur-comments

11/14/2014 10:03 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
gfusilier@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 09:39 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
groshjr@earthlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 09:23 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
travstition@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 09:23 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
donalpoppe@aol.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/14/2014 08:48 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
reaztlan@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 08:15 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
raldach@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 08:13 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mactechs@me.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/14/2014 08:07 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
anniegarrison@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 07:39 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ellenseeling@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 07:27 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
arcassady65@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 07:23 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
genapenning@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 07:14 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bevmex@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 07:03 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
margaretfisher5@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 06:39 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Becca.Rast@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 06:34 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
clairepot37@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 06:26 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
constance@cbdfarm.org to: p66-railsur-comments

11/14/2014 05:54 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
velvetpaw11@ca.rr.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 05:52 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
eazenker@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 05:51 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
gale.debi@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 05:51 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Debi Gale



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kian.alavi+forestethics@gmail.com
To: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 05:46 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer

could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Kian Alavi



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rsmyer@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 05:43 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
socialchange 12@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 05:29 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
izziedryer@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/14/2014 04:53 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Izzie Dryer



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cmjr@berkeley.edu to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 04:53 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
caroline.r.stanton@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 04:52 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kwbotanicals@kwbotanicals.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 04:25 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sweetsurgeon@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 04:03 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
charflan@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 03:56 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
joanakirchhoff@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 03:50 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
maribel.a.mercado@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 03:47 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
feb2639@webtv.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 03:26 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
hollywoodnt@sprintmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 03:13 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
aprylinsmca@peoplepc.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 03:05 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
biogeographer@mac.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 03:01 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
eresnickday@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/14/2014 02:59 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
theryanb@icloud.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/14/2014 02:58 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
gaileierweiss@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 02:55 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bb.bella007@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 02:54 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
fgracie@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 02:44 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mokujo@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 02:23 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



REJECT the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kath51@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 02:00 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
pamela.hall@sbbmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 01:42 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kate@katestanley.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 01:37 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Kate Stanley



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
JSerrante@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/14/2014 01:34 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kshortt@san.rr.com to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/14/2014 01:32 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jamesdowney@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 08:22 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
m.cornforth85@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 08:21 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lynnemccull@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 08:15 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lcom4th@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 07:35 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sustainablejeff@gmail.com to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/25/2014 07:28 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
marthalovesoso@gmail.com to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/25/2014 05:07 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rgremban@forsites.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 11:33 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, we must reduce consumption of oil and other fossil fuels, and leave 80% of known reserves in the ground in order for the world to remain habitable! Therefore, we do not need to expand oil transport and processing capacity, especially if tar sands oil -- something like three times as carbon intensive -- is to be handled. A decision not to reject this proposal would be inconsistent with California's own carbon reduction goals.

Also, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
laditqueen@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 10:47 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
maxinede@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 10:47 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dbrast@svn.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 09:25 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I'm writing to express worry about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates unavoidable and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rock.lisa@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 08:41 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Lisa Rock



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
emarvinney@ucdavis.edu to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 08:30 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
francesaubrey@earthlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 08:14 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
noreen.morgan54@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 07:34 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
frank.burton.now@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 07:10 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ellenmusic@me.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 07:05 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
pcmedved@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 07:01 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cdiane2006@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 06:55 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bb11lew@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 06:40 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jane.morse@cox.net to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:53 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
tree.fitzpatrick@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:39 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ProVega350@GMail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:25 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mdmew3@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:20 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
denandmo@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
JKF444@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

laura_diep@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Laura Diep



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
beast39@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dapicariello@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
aliciajkern@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
gayna707@gmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,
WTF!!! WhyY would anyone want to bring oil by rail?????
Let's NOT DO THIS!

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer

could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
theruthmailbox@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California and the Bay area where I reside.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer

could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
raleighperkins@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dmccoard@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
soto_cindy@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Cindy Soto



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
krusiniak@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
petersonis@hotmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
osprey_08@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California. I live in Sonoma County, but this would affect air and water quality all around the state. If there are accidents as we know there will be those impacts will be felt throughout the state that we love. This is a crazy, greedy, totally insane idea that must be prevented from being built.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta

watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
patrickkennedy 369@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
hochberg@mcn.org to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Charles Hochberg



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rogund@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sbell1068@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

sarah.gateway@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mmorris@bak.rr.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
darrenlee1998@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
anissaeve@hotmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dancingriver@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dmz3154@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bettymart_98@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Elizabeth Watts



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lorstevxx@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
astralstargazer@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

maria.sam19@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

naomigalvez11@gmail.com to: p66-rails-pur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
carolruth1@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities in the San Francisco Bay area where I live..

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer

could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lew_fulton@hotmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bluebora@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kreedler@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
fosisue@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kschortemeyer 1@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
carol.crabill@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Carol Crabill



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
byhellespont@hotmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
zazi@cruzio.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cvbroome@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
n4netty@hotmail.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dogsipuppies@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mitchellcrow@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
msangelabates@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
certifiedhypnotist@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:11 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
christopherfallon 2000@yahoo.com
To: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:10 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer

could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
clearreflect2@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/24/2014 05:10 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
hbeerose@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:10 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bijmorah@yahoo.com to: p66-railsur-comments

11/24/2014 05:10 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kevinbrittin@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:10 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jesigata@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:10 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
daveide_csuf@hotmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:10 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jotemahem@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:10 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

We all saw what happened in Canada two years ago. 40 people killed and an entire lake ecosystem destroyed. Please don't put us at risk here for the monetary benefit of a few rich folks. If we say no to them, they will find another way to funnel their funds into projects that actually benefit the immediate and global communities.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill

near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jaclyn.huntington@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:10 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jblitwin@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/24/2014 05:10 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. It is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,



Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
agaela@yahoo.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

There needs to be a more thorough studies, and evaluate the tradeoffs. I cannot accept someone else making a decision that impacts my family's safety w/o a full disclosure and vetting process.

Respectfully yours,



Phillips 66 rail terminal expansion project
Ron Carey to: p66-railspur-comments

11/25/2014 11:04 AM

To the Attention of Mr. Murry Wilson

SLO County Planning Department

I'm emailing you to express my strong opposition to the Phillips 66 rail terminal expansion project. I am a resident of San Leandro and live on the periphery of the identified blast zone. I am Board Vice-President of the San Leandro Unified School District, and several of our school campuses are within the blast zone through which the oil trains would travel. Therefore, I'm deeply concerned about the potential dangers associated with this entire project. Additionally, bringing tar sands oil to California will undermine our state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate change, and these trains will put our communities directly in harm's way.

Specifically, our emergency responders are not prepared to deal with potential accidents with these heavy, dangerous trains, and the current safety standards won't protect our people and property along the blast zones. My understanding is that the draft EIR doesn't fully inform our first responders because it inadequately assesses the risks of an oil train disaster in San Leandro. The EIR only evaluates rail-accident rates between 2003 and 2012 and the spill rates between 2005 and 2009, while omitting important data about accident frequency and magnitude in more recent years. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than during the past four decades combined. The EIR must look at recent data, which reflects the increased quantities of crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars.

In my role as a Board Trustee in San Leandro Unified School District, I am not prepared, nor is our District Emergency Operations Procedure plan prepared, to address this threat to our school communities. School teachers, as public employees, become state mandated emergency workers when disaster strikes. This proposed project threatens school communities along the entire north to south California rail route planned for these oil shipments.

The EIR's worst-case scenario estimates a spill of 180,000 gallons, or roughly six tank cars of crude. This has to be an error because most crude trains have 100 or more tank cars, carrying millions of gallons. Depending upon where an accident occurred, such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, sensitive ecosystems, schools, homes, transit facilities and rail lines, businesses, and our local economy. In Alameda County, this rail route parallels major highways

and urban areas in several cities, including (but not limited to) Berkeley, Oakland, San Lorenzo, and Hayward. In San Leandro, these trains would pass within less than a mile from our City Hall, Police Department, two BART Stations, and the tracks pass through the heart of our downtown.

Also, the toxic air emissions that will accompany this project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution along the rail route, with sulfur dioxide and other toxic chemicals leaked that increase risk of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease and premature death.

The EIR has yet to fully analyze the worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir or aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians, an unacceptable risk anytime, made more severe in this time of extreme drought.

The planning department must examine the cumulative impacts of the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project -- not in isolation -- since the proposed terminal in Santa Maria is directly linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify both facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands.

Phillips 66 must disclose crude-quality information so decision-makers fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. At every stage of the mining, transportation and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil -- making this project simply incompatible with California's plans to be a climate leader.

We don't live in a bubble, this proposed project that you are considering in Santa Maria will affect the health and well-being of millions of people along the train routes, as well as the dangers to our school communities, environment, water resources, local economies, and private property.

For all these reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to soundly reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur.

With Respect,

Ron Carey

Board Vice-President, Trustee Area 6

San Leandro Unified School District

14735 Juniper St.

San Leandro, CA 94579



Please reject the Phillips 66 Rail Terminal Expansion Project

Vince Rosato to: p66-railspur-comments@co.slo.ca.us

11/25/2014 11:03 AM

Cc: Maureen Forney, "hderespini@sanleandro.org"

Please respond to Vince Rosato

From: Vince4schools@yahoo.com
To: p66-railspur-comments@co.slo.ca.us
Subject: Phillips 66 Rail Terminal Expansion Project
Date: Sat, 22 Nov 2014 07:36:08 -0800
To the Attention of Mr. Murry Wilson
SLO County Planning Department

Honorable, Mr. Wilson:

I'm emailing you to express my strong opposition to the Phillips 66 rail terminal expansion project. I am a resident and school board Trustee of San Leandro Unified School District, writing as an individual. I live on the periphery of the identified blast zone. Several of our school campuses are within the blast zone through which the oil trains would travel. As the District's liaison to the City of San Leandro's Disaster Preparedness Council, I am deeply concerned about the potential dangers associated with this entire project. Additionally, bringing tar sands oil through California undermines our State's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate change, and these trains will put our communities directly in harm's way.

Our emergency responders have only recently been alerted to the potential accidents for these heavy, very long oil trains, and the current safety standards do not yet protect our people and property along the blast zones. My understanding is that the draft EIR doesn't fully inform our first responders because it inadequately assesses the risks of an oil train disaster in San Leandro. The EIR only evaluates rail-accident rates between 2003 and 2012 and the spill rates between 2005 and 2009, while omitting important data about accident frequency and magnitude in more recent years. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than during the past four decades combined. The EIR must look at recent data, which reflects the increased quantities of crude being transported in older, and subsequently, not as safe, as newer tanker cars.

In my role as a San Leandro Unified School District Governing Board Trustee, our District Emergency Operations Procedure plan is not yet prepared to address the additional threats to our school communities approval of the Phillips 66 Expansion Project would bring. I hope it never has to because the dirtier tar sands oil ought not be railed through our City. As you may already know, school employees, as public employees, become state mandated emergency workers when disaster strikes. This proposed project threatens school communities along the entire north to south California rail route planned for these oil shipments.

The EIR's worst-case scenario estimates a spill of 180,000 gallons, or roughly six tank cars of crude. This has to be an error because most crude trains have 100 or more tank cars, carrying millions of gallons. Depending upon where an accident occurred, such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, sensitive ecosystems, schools, homes, transit facilities and rail lines, businesses, and our local economy. In Alameda County, this rail route parallels major highways and urban areas in several cities, including (but not limited to) Berkeley, Oakland, San Lorenzo, and Hayward. In San Leandro, these trains would pass within less than a mile from our City Hall, Police Department, two BART Stations, and the tracks pass through the

heart of our downtown.

Also, air quality reductions occasioned in tandem with transport and possible accidental spills pose another unacceptable risk to public health. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution along the rail route, with sulfur dioxide and other toxic chemicals leaked that increase risk of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease and premature death.

The EIR has yet to fully analyze the worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians, an unacceptable risk anytime, made more severe in this time of extended drought.

I urge the planning department to examine the cumulative impacts of the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project -- not in isolation -- since the proposed terminal in Santa Maria is directly linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify both facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands.

Phillips 66 must disclose crude-quality information so decision-makers fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. At every stage of the mining, transportation and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil -- making this project simply incompatible with California's plans to be a climate leader.

All of us are certainly connected, and this proposed project you are considering in Santa Maria will affect the health and well-being of millions of people along the train routes. It poses the dangers to our school communities, environment, water resources, local economies, and private property.

For all these reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 Rail Terminal Expansion Project.

Respectfully yours,

Honorable Vince J. Rosato
Trustee, Clerk, San Leandro Unified School District Governing Board
1542 141st Avenue
San Leandro, CA 94578
510-357-1755



Protect California's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

lotusgirl72@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments

11/21/2014 08:30 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery.

These trains are literally a stone's throw from my front door. I am terrified by the thought of potentially exploding train passing in front of my apartment on a daily basis. This is irresponsible and reckless and it cannot be approved! California needs to continue to be a leader in progressive solutions to our climate crisis, not take 50 steps backwards, allowing this disgusting, dangerous cargo to move freely through our state.

We know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. A worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety.

The toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community.

A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians.

At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Katherine Baumgartner