Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
ravi s to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 03:58 PM
Please respond to "ravi s"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

ravi s
Los Angeles, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Isaac Salazar to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 03:38 PM
Please respond to "Isaac Salazar"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Isaac Salazar
Los Angeles, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !

Muriel Mora to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 02:31 PM
Please respond to "Muriel Mora"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Muriel Mora
palo alto, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Ana Molina to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 02:22 PM
Please respond to "Ana Molina"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Ana Molina
Salinas, CA

Please reject refinery expansion

Astrid Topp to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 02:13 PM

Dear Mr. Murry,

I strenuously oppose Phillips 66's plans to expand their refinery in Santa Maria. The myriad dangers this presents to California are staggering, and if you approve the project, the impact of those hair-raising perils will be compounded in our lives for generations to come.

At this pivotal point in our stewardship of our precious environment, it would be inexplicably foolhardy to continue to promote a destructive dependence the upon the toxic systems that have already brought us to the precipice of a heart-wrenching point of no return. This is not necessary. This is not wise. This does not conform to the proud standard that the County of San Luis Obispo and the State of California have carried forward as leaders in forward-thinking decision-making.

The prosperity of many generations now rests upon your shoulders. I urge you to reject approval of the refinery expansion.

Sincerely,
Astrid K. Topp

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Connie Allen Greig to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 02:11 PM
Please respond to "Connie Allen Greig "

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Connie Greig
Mountain View, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Vanessa Warheit to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 02:10 PM
Please respond to "Vanessa Warheit "

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Vanessa Warheit
Palo Alto, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Bill Hilton to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 02:01 PM
Please respond to "Bill Hilton"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Bill Hilton
Sunnyvale, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Tim Murphy to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 01:48 PM
Please respond to "Tim Murphy"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Tim Murphy
Burbank, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Neal Steiner to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 01:46 PM
Please respond to "Neal Steiner"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Neal Steiner
Los Angeles, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !

Narcissa Enzmann to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 01:52 PM
Please respond to "Narcissa Enzmann "

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Narcissa Enzmann
Whittier, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
LAURA LEIPZIG to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 01:02 PM
Please respond to "LAURA LEIPZIG"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

LAURA LEIPZIG
Berkeley, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
David Brotman to: p66-railspur-comments 11/25/2014 11:04 AM
Please respond to "David Brotman "

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

David Brotman
Gold River, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Jeffrey Hurwitz to: p66-railspur-comments 11/25/2014 11:04 AM
Please respond to "Jeffrey Hurwitz "

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Hurwitz
San Francisco, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !

Melinda Hellyer to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 02:38 PM
Please respond to "Melinda Hellyer"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Melinda Hellyer
Santa Barbara, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !

Melinda Hellyer to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 02:38 PM
Please respond to "Melinda Hellyer"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Melinda Hellyer
Santa Barbara, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Charles Wiley to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 12:50 PM
Please respond to "Charles Wiley"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Charles Wiley
North Hollywood, CA

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Cassandra B. Lista to: p66-railspur-comments
Please respond to clista

11/24/2014 12:44 PM

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

Cassandra B. Lista
4120 Whistler Avenue
Santa Rosa, CA 95407

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Dana Ciuro to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 12:43 PM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Dana Ciuro

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dana Ciuro
1446 Windshore Way
Oxnard, CA 93035-1402

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Jim Fleming to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 12:43 PM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Jim Fleming

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jim Fleming
538 Yarrow Dr
Simi Valley, CA 93065-7352
(805) 405-1726

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Terese Stewart to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 12:43 PM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Terese Stewart

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Miss Terese Stewart
7668 El Camino Real
104-708
Carlsbad, CA 92009-7932
(760) 277-3565

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Susanne Berntsson to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 12:43 PM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Susanne Berntsson

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Susanne Berntsson
13577 Nectarine Ave
Corona, CA 92880-8919
(951) 737-7200

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

josh willis to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 12:43 PM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to josh willis

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. josh willis
10384 Caminito Banyon
San Diego, CA 92131-1707
(619) 696-6969

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Tim & Vivian Davis to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 12:43 PM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Tim & Vivian Davis

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Dr. Tim & Vivian Davis
531 Dolphin Dr
Pacifica, CA 94044-1805
(650) 557-5552

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Christine Samusick to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 12:42 PM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Christine Samusick

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Christine Samusick
260 W Harrison Ave
Ventura, CA 93001-1824

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

D J Niccolls to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 12:42 PM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to D J Niccolls

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. D J Niccolls
PO Box 425116
San Francisco, CA 94142-5116
(415) 221-2540

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Lisa Buckingham to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 12:42 PM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Lisa Buckingham

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Miss Lisa Buckingham
5100 Coe Ave Spc 117
Seaside, CA 93955-6832

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

george clig to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 12:42 PM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to george clig

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. george clig
10556 Dale Ave
Oak View, CA 93022-9216

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Eric Steffen to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 12:42 PM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Eric Steffen

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Eric Steffen
1317 Mariposa St
Richmond, CA 94804-4934

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Matt Mccroskey to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 12:42 PM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Matt Mccroskey

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Matt Mccroskey
585 Beresford Ave
Redwood City, CA 94061-4232
(650) 366-4717

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Elliot Goldstein to: p66-railspur-comments
Please respond to egoldstein 310

11/24/2014 12:31 PM

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

Elliot Goldstein
1422 Glenfield Ave
Oakland, CA 94602

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

LAURA LEIPZIG to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 12:29 PM

Please respond to laura

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

LAURA LEIPZIG
1911 SACRAMENTO ST
Berkeley, CA 94702

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Rick ellis to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 12:24 PM
Please respond to "Rick ellis"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Rick ellis
Santa Cruz, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Darynne Jessler to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 12:23 PM
Please respond to "Darynne Jessler "

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Darynne Jessler
valley village, CA

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kkerridge@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 12:22 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !

Marjorie Xavier to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 12:20 PM
Please respond to "Marjorie Xavier"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Marjorie Xavier
Hayward, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
John A Rowell to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 12:16 PM
Please respond to "John A Rowell"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

John Rowell
Exeter, CA

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Jonel Lancaster to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 12:15 PM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Jonel Lancaster

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jonel Lancaster
1304 S Fann St
Anaheim, CA 92804-5511
Do Not Call

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Kristina Wunder to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 12:14 PM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Kristina Wunder

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kristina Wunder
11926 Laurelwood Dr Apt 12
Studio City, CA 91604-3754
(310) 993-0842

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Christina Burton to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 12:14 PM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Christina Burton

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Christina Burton
12624 Remington Rd
Apple Valley, CA 92308-5033
(760) 961-7691

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Anje' Waters to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 12:14 PM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Anje ' Waters

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Anje' Waters
14945 Christmas Tree Ln
Grass Valley, CA 95945-7804
(530) 274-2832

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Blanca Jimenez to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 12:13 PM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Blanca Jimenez

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Blanca Jimenez
6120 Hooper Ave Apt 4
Los Angeles, CA 90001-1273
(323) 588-8222

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Eric Hodges to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 12:13 PM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Eric Hodges

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Eric Hodges
4759 Larkin Rd
Oroville, CA 95965-9250

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Michael Officer to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 12:13 PM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Michael Officer

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Officer
7045 Charmant Dr Apt 141
San Diego, CA 92122-4371
(760) 505-3766

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Michael Cardoza to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 12:12 PM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Michael Cardoza

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Cardoza
5013 O Sullivan Dr
Los Angeles, CA 90032-4022
(323) 221-4516

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Georgia Herbaugh to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 12:12 PM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Georgia Herbaugh

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Georgia Herbaugh
3550 Marshall St
Riverside, CA 92504-3616

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Bethany Schulze to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 12:12 PM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Bethany Schulze

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Bethany Schulze
PO Box 8043
Santa Cruz, CA 95061-8043
(831) 359-3754

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Jennifer O'Neal to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 12:12 PM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Jennifer O'Neal

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jennifer O'Neal
12400 Ventura Blvd # 907
Studio City, CA 91604-2406
(310) 874-7637

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Laurel Powers to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 12:12 PM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Laurel Powers

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Laurel Powers
52 Center Rd
Petaluma, CA 94952-8114
(707) 795-5278

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Charlotte Pirch to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 12:12 PM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Charlotte Pirch

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Charlotte Pirch
9826 Lewis Ave
Fountain Valley, CA 92708-5818
(714) 968-5634

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

C Girvani Leerer to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 12:12 PM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to C Girvani Leerer

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. C Girvani Leerer
2418 Browning St # B
Berkeley, CA 94702-2027

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Jeff Wilson to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 12:12 PM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Jeff Wilson

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jeff Wilson
582 Grove St Apt 8
San Francisco, CA 94102-4242

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Karen Guma to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 12:12 PM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Karen Guma

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Karen Guma
121 White Oak Cir
Petaluma, CA 94952-1934
(707) 781-6991

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
denicola99@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 12:05 PM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Charlotte Cook to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 12:02 PM
Please respond to "Charlotte Cook"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Charlotte Cook
Sacramento, CA

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rockinelectronic @gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:59 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Sismhall1@aol.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:57 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Christine Bassett to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:55 AM
Please respond to cbass 945

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

Christine Bassett

Sebastopol, CA 95472

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Erica Stephan to: p66-railspur-comments
Please respond to ericastephan

11/24/2014 11:55 AM

We should be building infrastructure for the future (renewable energy), not investing in dangerous projects to facilitate ever more expensive fossil extraction.

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

Erica Stephan

Oakland, CA 94608

barbaraholifield1@me.com
barbaraholifield1@me.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:51 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

barbaraholifield1@me.com

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Barbara Holifield to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:51 AM
Please respond to barbaraholifield 1

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

Barbara Holifield
51 Castle Rock Drive
Mill Valley, CA 94941

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Don Arganbright to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:47 AM
Please respond to "Don Arganbright "

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Don Arganbright
lockwood, CA

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ycstah@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:43 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Edward Kansa to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Edward Kansa

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Edward Kansa
5218 Theresa Way
Livermore, CA 94550-2341
(925) 455-1642

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Stuart Greenburg to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Stuart Greenburg

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Stuart Greenburg
25948 Voltaire Pl
Stevenson Ranch, CA 91381-1142
(661) 284-5600

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Elaine Genasci to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Elaine Genasci

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elaine Genasci
462 Chorro St
San Luis Obispo, CA 93405-2320
(805) 545-9385

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Yvonne West to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Yvonne West

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Yvonne West
431 Washburn Dr
Fremont, CA 94536-2851

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Curtis Barlage to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Curtis Barlage

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Curtis Barlage
5523 Monterey Rd.
Los Angeles, CA 90042

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Cristian Bayer to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Cristian Bayer

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Cristian Bayer
340 Schroeder St
Sunnyvale, CA 94085-4333
(650) 454-0679

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Sarah Brinker to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Sarah Brinker

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sarah Brinker
2285 Broadway St Apt 2
San Francisco, CA 94115-1243
(805) 448-1311

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

David Rosenstein to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to David Rosenstein

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Rosenstein
302 Amalfi Dr
Santa Monica, CA 90402-1128
(310) 459-6698

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Will Lowry to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Will Lowry

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Will Lowry
308 Hill St
San Francisco, CA 94114-2917

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Elizabeth Ambrosi to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Elizabeth Ambrosi

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elizabeth Ambrosi
1325 McGregor Ave
Petaluma, CA 94954-3461

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Yuri Camberos to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Yuri Camberos

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Yuri Camberos
PO Box 892931
Temecula, CA 92589-2931
(760) 443-2685

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

B Surber to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to B Surber

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mrs. B Surber
2411 Begonia Pl
Santa Cruz, CA 95062-3114

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Ronald & Donna Thompson to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Ronald & Donna Thompson

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

We are deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. We are concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, We urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a SINGLE project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, we urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ronald & Donna Thompson
320 Napa St
Crescent City, CA 95531-8103
(707) 464-5937

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Linda Straussburg to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Linda Straussburg

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Straussburg
346 Virginia St Apt 5
El Segundo, CA 90245-2980

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

benjamin carpenter to: p66-railspur-comments
Please respond to bcarpenter

11/24/2014 11:40 AM

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

benjamin carpenter
oakland
oakland, CA 94607

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Randy Vampotic to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:37 AM
Please respond to "Randy Vampotic"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Randy Vampotic
Whittier, CA

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dkearns@sfsu.edu to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:35 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

John Easterday to: p66-railspur-comments
Please respond to john .easterday

11/24/2014 11:34 AM

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

John Easterday

San Francisco, CA 94114

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Zoe Goorman to: p66-railspur-comments
Please respond to zoegoor

11/24/2014 11:30 AM

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

Zoe Goorman

Mill Valley, CA 94941

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Helen Cochems to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:25 AM
Please respond to helen

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

Helen Cochems
130 Fountain ave
Pacific Grove, CA 93950

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

coles36151@mypacks.net to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:23 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jesserimler@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:22 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dazehring@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:19 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
the.peter.w@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:19 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Debbie Buckheim to: p66-railspur-comments
Please respond to kdgbuck

11/24/2014 11:14 AM

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

Debbie Buckheim
5555 Valentina Ave.
Atascadero, CA 93422

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Meghan Welsh to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:13 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Meghan Welsh

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Miss Meghan Welsh
5913 Willoughby Ave # 6
Los Angeles, CA 90038-3829

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Neal And Nancy Steiner to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:12 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Neal And Nancy Steiner

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Neal And Nancy Steiner
2706 Castle Heights Pl
Los Angeles, CA 90034-1841
(310) 839-7470

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Norman Nelson to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:12 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Norman Nelson

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Norman Nelson
2613 Willowbrook Ln
Aptos, CA 95003-6020
(831) 477-9272

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Angelica Gomez to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:12 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Angelica Gomez

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Angelica Gomez
1137 W 78th St
Los Angeles, CA 90044-3503

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Sonia Diermayer to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:12 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Sonia Diermayer

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities, including mine! I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sonia Diermayer
12721 Brookpark Rd
Oakland, CA 94619-3136
(510) 336-1102

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Hugh Brady to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:12 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Hugh Brady

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Hugh Brady
Star Route 2663
Point Arena, CA 95468
(707) 882-2302

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Susan Gill to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:12 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Susan Gill

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Gill
37 Ross Ave
San Anselmo, CA 94960-2812
(415) 454-2970

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Thomas Lewis to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:12 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Thomas Lewis

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Thomas Lewis
1726 Hartwright Rd
Vista, CA 92084-7632
(760) 727-4823

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Michelle Mann to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:12 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Michelle Mann

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Michelle Mann
PO Box 29327
Los Angeles, CA 90029-0327

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
whitney.ericson@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:03 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours

Please reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mk@pianospree.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:02 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

This modality of oil conveyance has shown itself to be immature in its development of adequate safety measures. The response to amelioration proposals has been sluggish. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer

could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Daniel Weiser to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 11:00 AM
Please respond to "Daniel Weiser"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Daniel Weiser
Rancho Cucamonga, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !

Mari Rose Rose Taruc to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:58 AM

Please respond to "Mari Rose Rose Taruc "

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Mari Rose Taruc
Oakland, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Glenn Cook to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:52 AM
Please respond to "Glenn Cook"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Glenn Cook
Camarillo, CA

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Susan Sheinfeld to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:48 AM

Please respond to susans .3

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

Susan Sheinfeld

San Francisco, CA 94109

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Marilyn Bauriedel to: p66-railspur-comments
Please respond to Babamarilyn

11/24/2014 10:47 AM

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

Marilyn Bauriedel

Palo Alto, CA 94306

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Tamara Madison to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:46 AM
Please respond to tamaramadison

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

Tamara Madison
5151 Carlsbad Circle
Los Alamitos, CA 90720

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
suevojak@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:44 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Leo Barcenas to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Leo Barcenas

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Leo Barcenas
106 Northlite Cir
Sacramento, CA 95831-2125
(916) 391-1950

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Ashley Lewis to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Ashley Lewis

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ashley Lewis
150 Porteous Ave
Fairfax, CA 94930-2036

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Nina Macdonald to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Nina Macdonald

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nina Macdonald
10 Handel Ct
Irvine, CA 92617-4079

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Glenn Cook to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Glenn Cook

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Glenn Cook
912 Pancho Rd
Camarillo, CA 93012-8596

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Larry Powell to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Larry Powell

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Larry Powell
5216 Raintree Cir
Culver City, CA 90230-4465
(310) 559-1996

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Suellen Rowlison to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Suellen Rowlison

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Suellen Rowlison
1363 Woodland Ave
Chico, CA 95928-5918
(530) 897-0226

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Xavier Erguera to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Xavier Erguera

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Xavier Erguera
59 Buena Vista Ter
San Francisco, CA 94117-4110

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Erin Schally to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Erin Schally

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Erin Schally
1829 6th St
Concord, CA 94519-2629

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Elizabeth Gulick to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Elizabeth Gulick

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California. WE DO NOT WANT IT.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San

Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elizabeth Gulick
7056 Teesdale Ave
North Hollywood, CA 91605-5353
(818) 655-5596

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

April Barcenas to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to April Barcenas

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mrs. April Barcenas
106 Northlite Cir
Sacramento, CA 95831-2125
(916) 391-1950

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Patrick Twomey to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Patrick Twomey

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Patrick Twomey
38 Montell St
Oakland, CA 94611-4924
(510) 339-2753

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Todd Spahr to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Todd Spahr

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Todd Spahr
Argus dr.
Los Angeles, CA 90041-1311
(323) 420-4028

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Rich Panter to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Rich Panter

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rich Panter
293 Calle Del Sol
Bodega Bay, CA 94923-9798
(707) 875-8911

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Sandra Van Horn to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Sandra Van Horn

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sandra Van Horn
3375 Punta Alta Unit A
Laguna Woods, CA 92637-0203

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Jennifer Cuozzo to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Jennifer Cuozzo

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jennifer Cuozzo
1727 Hilliard Ave
Simi Valley, CA 93063-4130

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Gregory Albright to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Gregory Albright

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gregory Albright
24460 Woodshed Way
Wildomar, CA 92595-9104

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Susan Stevick to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Susan Stevick

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Stevick
170 Greenoaks Dr
Atherton, CA 94027-2143
(650) 324-8986

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Laila Sabet to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Laila Sabet

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Laila Sabet
1303 L St
Davis, CA 95616-2135
(806) 928-7915

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Alana McDowell to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Alana McDowell

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Alana McDowell
2442 Lake View Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90039-3315
(323) 633-9008

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Rebecca Harper to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Rebecca Harper

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rebecca Harper
2616 Cordelia Rd
Los Angeles, CA 90049-1220
(310) 476-5852

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Leslie Woo to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Leslie Woo

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Miss Leslie Woo
1968 N Van Ness Ave
Hollywood, CA 90068-3625

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Joyce Hulbert to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Joyce Hulbert

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Joyce Hulbert
1315 Walnut St
Berkeley, CA 94709-1408
(510) 845-0825

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Bradford Ekstrand to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Bradford Ekstrand

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bradford Ekstrand
556 1/4 N Mariposa Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90004-2844
(213) 304-2854

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Cameron Barfield to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:32 AM
Please respond to "Cameron Barfield "

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Cameron Barfield
San Jose, CA

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Genevieve Perdue to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:31 AM

Please respond to Gvperdue

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

Genevieve Perdue

Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
apjackman@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:25 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Michael Cota to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:24 AM

Please respond to mcota 75

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

Michael Cota
1 Lakeside Drive #606
Oakland, CA 94612

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !

Karen Wilson to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:21 AM
Please respond to "Karen Wilson"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Karen Wilson
Vallejo, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
VLouie Louie to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:18 AM
Please respond to "VLouie Louie"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

VLouie Louie
San Francisco, CA

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kairu22@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:17 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Jan Edmunds to: p66-railspur-comments
Please respond to jannieoakley

11/24/2014 10:16 AM

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

NO NO NO more oil. Stop sucking oil! It sickens and kills all life, including human life. STOP IT. CLEAN ENERGY JOBS NOW!!

Jan Edmunds
37 Oak Park Dr.
Alameda, CA 94502

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jannieoakley@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:14 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

No more! Clean energy JOBS NOW! Stop sucking oil.

Respectfully yours,

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

a-whitney@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:14 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Jerry Hughes to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:14 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Jerry Hughes

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jerry Hughes
29 Amber Way
Chico, CA 95926-1701
(530) 343-8376

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Johnny Bloom to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:14 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Johnny Bloom

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Johnny Bloom
411 Wilma Ct
Manteca, CA 95336-3151
(209) 402-1484

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Julie Crew to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:14 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Julie Crew

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Julie Crew
3911 22nd St
San Francisco, CA 94114-3261

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Andrea Patt to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:14 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Andrea Patt

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

Futhermore, the rail lines have not been maintained in this country. My grandfather who was an train engineer all his working life told me that the rails are in such disrepair that disasters are inevitable. This puts all communities along the railways at risk.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in

Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Andrea Patt
2413 Harbor View Dr
Eureka, CA 95503-7116
(707) 445-9358

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Beth Bell to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:13 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Beth Bell

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Dr. Beth Bell
1165 Lisa Ln
Santa Cruz, CA 95062-3505
(831) 464-0589

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Susan Forbes to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:13 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Susan Forbes

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Forbes
2400 Carlmont Dr Apt 303c
Belmont, CA 94002-3226
(650) 464-2233

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Jessica Pinto to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:13 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Jessica Pinto

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jessica Pinto
420 Fawn Dr
San Anselmo, CA 94960-1184

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Pat Gilbert to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:13 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Pat Gilbert

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Pat Gilbert
Scranton Circle
Carmichael, CA 95608
(916) 265-3788

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Julie Heath Elliott to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:13 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Julie Heath Elliott

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Julie Heath Elliott
2749 Stoner Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90064-3620
(310) 575-1078

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Courtney Mann to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:13 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Courtney Mann

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Courtney Mann
13300 Victory Blvd
Van Nuys, CA 91401-1831

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Irene Dolan to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:13 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Irene Dolan

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Irene Dolan
4310 Ensenada Dr
Woodland Hills, CA 91364-5405
(818) 653-8943

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Sam & Janet Harding to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:12 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Sam & Janet Harding

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sam & Janet Harding
22 Doud Dr
Los Altos, CA 94022-2326
(650) 941-8666

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Robert Lawson to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:12 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Robert Lawson

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Lawson
11145 Meadow Glen Way E
Escondido, CA 92026-7008
(858) 603-0614

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Nicole Moore to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:12 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Nicole Moore

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Nicole Moore
623 W Point O Woods Dr
Azusa, CA 91702-1855

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Sunny Wood to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:12 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Sunny Wood

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sunny Wood
1050 Borregas Ave
Sunnyvale, CA 94089-4611
(423) 834-6964

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Tim Nichols to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:12 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Tim Nichols

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tim Nichols
Oakland Hills
Oakland, CA 94605-4626

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Karen Ornelas to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:12 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Karen Ornelas

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Karen Ornelas
764 W 2nd St
San Pedro, CA 90731-2424
(310) 548-8628

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Alice Kelly to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:12 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Alice Kelly

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Alice Kelly
6493 Cooper St
Felton, CA 95018-9409

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Cindy Warnock to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:11 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Cindy Warnock

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Cindy Warnock
9267 Lostwood Ln
Fair Oaks, CA 95628-4120
(916) 987-6480

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Lynne Olivier to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 10:04 AM

Please respond to lynneo 2

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

NO NO NO NO NO! WE ARE NOT HAVING IT! STAND UP FOR WHAT IS RIGHT.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

Lynne Olivier
3700 Garvin Avenue
Richmond, CA 94805

The Phillips 66 oil train proposal

stormdragon71@netscape.net to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:59 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express my concerns about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery.

First and foremost, I am concerned that emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains, and that current safety standards will not adequately protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose a significant risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO should not approve this project. Our water supplies are far to precious to be placed at such risk.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo should not approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

With all this in mind, I respectfully urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to carefully consider these matters before granting approval to the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. Thank you.

Respectfully yours,

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
edina72@astound.net to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:58 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Dorothy Callison to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:57 AM
Please respond to dorothyandpaulca

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

Dorothy Callison
2550 Sycamore Lane #6-G
Davis, CA 95616

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Querido Galdo to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:57 AM
Please respond to "Querido Galdo"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Querido Galdo
Oakland, CA

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dorothyandpaulca @gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:57 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !

Richard Sedivy to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:52 AM
Please respond to "Richard Sedivy "

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Richard Sedivy
Los Angeles, CA

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

j.m.doyle@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:50 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California - including the one I live in - at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

For all the reasons listed below, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill

near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO decision makers must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Respectfully yours,

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Nancy Gates to: p66-railspur-comments
Please respond to nancyfaygates

11/24/2014 09:48 AM

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

Nancy Gates
Golden Hill Ave
Haverhill, MA 01830

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

carylsherpa@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:44 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Erin Pearse to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:43 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Erin Pearse

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Dr. Erin Pearse
1637 9th St
Los Osos, CA 93402-2222

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Jane MacFarlane to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:43 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Jane MacFarlane

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jane MacFarlane
3435 Wilshire Blvd Ste 660
Los Angeles, CA 90010-1907
(213) 387-4287

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

John Brennan to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:43 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to John Brennan

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Dr. John Brennan
190 S Oak Ave
Oakdale, CA 95361-3528
(209) 847-8731

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Sydney Berner to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:43 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Sydney Berner

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sydney Berner
15923 E Ballentine Pl
Covina, CA 91722-3337
(626) 319-6142

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Sharyn Brown to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:43 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Sharyn Brown

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sharyn Brown
2237 Chelmsford Dr
Modesto, CA 95356-2421
(209) 541-6820

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
JeanJackman@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:43 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Tim Zemba to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:43 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Tim Zemba

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tim Zemba
112 N Harper Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90048-3504
(323) 653-6256

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Chris Petrakis to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:43 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Chris Petrakis

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Chris Petrakis
1550 Amherst Ave Apt 102
Los Angeles, CA 90025-3698
(310) 820-8241

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Gil Gaus to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:43 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Gil Gaus

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gil Gaus
PO Box 1252
Kings Beach, CA 96143-1252
(530) 546-5536

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

A. D. Garcia to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:43 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to "A. D. Garcia"

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. A. D. Garcia
PO Box 34115
San Francisco, CA 94134-0115

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Janet Monfredini to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:43 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Janet Monfredini

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

SAFETY OF PEOPLE AND OUR ENVIRONMENT FIRST. YES, FIRST.

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution

for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Janet Monfredini
130 Santa Monica Way
San Francisco, CA 94127-1540

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Mary Spinelli to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:43 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Mary Spinelli

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Spinelli
1171 Ocean Ave Ste 200
Oakland, CA 94608-1147
(510) 731-1194

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Adal Bermann to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Adal Bermann

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Adal Bermann
274 12th Ave Apt 3
San Francisco, CA 94118-2149
(415) 323-8509

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Scott Secrest to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Scott Secrest

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Scott Secrest
231 Corbett Canyon Rd
Arroyo Grande, CA 93420-7106
(805) 235-3031

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Robert Buehler to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Robert Buehler

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Buehler
2075 W El Camino Ave
Sacramento, CA 95833-2903
(916) 467-6139

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Marisa D'Souza to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Marisa D'Souza

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marisa D'Souza
4245 Hazeltine Way
Fairfield, CA 94533-7898
(707) 427-3069

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Robert Petersen to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Robert Petersen

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Petersen
205 15th St
Pacific Grove, CA 93950-3410
(831) 869-0798

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Diana Bohn to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Diana Bohn

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diana Bohn
618 San Luis Rd
Berkeley, CA 94707-1726
(510) 526-0241

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Charles Binckley to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Charles Binckley

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Charles Binckley
127 Cottage Ave Apt C
Point Richmond, CA 94801-3893

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

SofiA ratcovich to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to SofiA ratcovich

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mrs. SofiA ratcovich
1215 N Vista St
West Hollywood, CA 90046-6648
(310) 801-9218

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Pamela Hall to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:41 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Pamela Hall

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Pamela Hall
4736 W 18th St # L
Los Angeles, CA 90019-5742

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Chanda Unmack to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:41 AM
Please respond to "Chanda Unmack"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Chanda Unmack
Santa Clara, CA

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
patricia.lee@sonic.net to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:36 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

katherine vincent to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:36 AM

Please respond to kvincent 333

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

katherine vincent

orinda, CA 94563

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

slhaines@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:35 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

I am deeply deep concerned about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Thank you.

Respectfully yours,

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
trista@forestethics.org to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:33 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I write to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lisakarpinski@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:31 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

David Kunhardt to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:31 AM

Please respond to david

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

David Kunhardt

San Rafael, CA 94915

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Samara333@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:29 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

llyana landes to: p66-railspur-comments
Please respond to landeslly

11/24/2014 09:27 AM

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

llyana landes
62nd St
Oakland, CA 94609

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
landeslly@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:27 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
querido@queridomundo.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:25 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jfeldman@omsoft.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:24 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Dan Suich to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:23 AM
Please respond to dan .suich

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

Dan Suich
6900 Thornhill Drive
Oakland, CA 94611

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Andy Lupenko to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:22 AM

Please respond to fccsd

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

Andy Lupenko
8555 Golden Avenue
Lemon Grove, CA 91945

Reject the WRONG and BAD Phillips 66 oil train proposal

jfroiland@santarosa.edu to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:21 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate. It's a trap!

Respectfully yours,

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jncaminiti@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:18 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

char laughon to: p66-railspur-comments
Please respond to charlaugh

11/24/2014 09:15 AM

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

char laughon
236 fifth st.
montara, CA 94037

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
charlaugh@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:14 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Char Laughon

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Gina Carollo to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:14 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Gina Carollo

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

This is important! Please consider this matter carefully!

Thank You!

Sincerely,

Ms. Gina Carollo
3003 Eagle St
San Diego, CA 92103-5425

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Liz Luk to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:13 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Liz Luk

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Liz Luk
919 Albany St
Los Angeles, CA 90015-1211
(213) 736-8368

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Denise Skeeter to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:13 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Denise Skeeter

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Denise Skeeter
829 Loma Ave
Long Beach, CA 90804-5250
(562) 438-3245

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Karen Bash to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:13 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Karen Bash

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Karen Bash
683 42nd Ave
San Francisco, CA 94121-2532

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Jacquelyn Crane to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:12 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Jacquelyn Crane

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jacquelyn Crane
2171 Sierra Way
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401-4518
(805) 781-9005

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Danica Truchlikova to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:12 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Danica Truchlikova

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Danica Truchlikova
6725 Manor Crst
Oakland, CA 94618-1933
(510) 658-3264

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Jack Majarian to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:12 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Jack Majarian

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jack Majarian
7600 McGroarty St Apt 5
Tujunga, CA 91042-2600

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

John Alongi to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:12 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to John Alongi

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Alongi
2250 King Ct
Unit 7
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401-5501
(805) 457-0778

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Clare Block to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:12 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Clare Block

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Clare Block
11790 Carmel Creek Rd
San Diego, CA 92130-6752

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Annette Roca to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:12 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Annette Roca

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Annette Roca
1784 Oakland Rd Apt 22
San Jose, CA 95131-3574
(408) 573-7457

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Laudine Borges to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:12 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Laudine Borges

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

Health risks associated with crude oil traveling through our country are unacceptable. Ignoring these issues in a rush to make more and more money is unethical. And since being responsible is not an issue that comes naturally to people in the position of benefiting financially, the population must speak up.

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and

Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,
Laudine Borges
1103 Buena Vista #2
Ventura, CA. 93001

Sincerely,

Ms. Laudine Borges
1103 Buena Vista St Apt 2
Ventura, CA 93001-2144

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Colleen Carter to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:12 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Colleen Carter

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Colleen Carter
18139 Erik Ct Unit 258
Canyon Country, CA 91387-4990

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Robert Gustafson to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:11 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Robert Gustafson

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

Approval is pending elsewhere for tank car design modifications which would, when put into place, authorize manufacture and use of tank cars which would greatly reduce pollutive accident probability, and once widely used might make the current proposals for the Santa Maria oil terminal more acceptable. Let's wait and see!

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Gustafson
2204 E 4th St
National City, CA 91950-2053
(619) 863-3028

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Milton Carrigan to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:11 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Milton Carrigan

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Milton Carrigan
2250 King Ct Unit 7
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401-5501
(805) 457-0778

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Linda Ostro to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:08 AM

Please respond to lostro

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

Linda Ostro
2008 Tampa Avenue
Oakland, CA 94611

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rvclin92@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
mreppy@dolphinspirit .org to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
cpetrakis@getty.edu to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:02 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

meeave o'connor to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:01 AM

Please respond to meave 13

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

meeave o'connor
1717 berkeley way
berkeley, CA 94703

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
meave13@earthlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 09:00 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Linda Lipscomb to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:59 AM
Please respond to Linda .lipscomb82

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

Linda Lipscomb

Alameda, CA 94502

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
livingstonjohn @att.net to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:57 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Linda.lipscomb82@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:56 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lcs5779@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:55 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Isabel Detre to: p66-railspur-comments
Please respond to isabeldetre

11/24/2014 08:51 AM

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

Isabel Detre

El Cerrito, CA 94530

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ghwilliams6@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:46 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I and many others are writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
teetle@mac.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:46 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Thomas Patterson to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:44 AM

Please respond to t .c.patterson

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

Thomas Patterson
318 Leland Ave.
Palo Alto, CA 94306

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
suschamberlain@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:43 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Sophia Bryan to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:43 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Sophia Bryan

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. As a member of the community with chronic asthma, I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death. These are unacceptable risks.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil. I grew up in Tehachapi, an area in California known for trains. I know how devastating a regular train derailment can be! Throwing volatile oil into the mix will only worsen clean up and increase the risk for wildfire in already drought stricken California.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo,

CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate. I have lived in and still visit frequently San Luis Obispo. I would hate to see it be negatively affected by this project. The positives of this project do not out weigh the negatives!

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sophia Bryan
510 6th St
Apt 1
Taft, CA 93268-2320

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Melissa Engelbert to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:43 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Melissa Engelbert

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Melissa Engelbert
16610 Von Sosten Rd
Banta, CA 95304-9721
(209) 830-9074

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Linda Poppenheimer to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:43 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Linda Poppenheimer

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Poppenheimer
5060 Pineknolls Dr
Cambria, CA 93428-2811

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Devlon Clouser to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:43 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Devlon Clouser

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Devlon Clouser
10 Madrid Ct
Novato, CA 94949-6367

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Angela Heney to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:43 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Angela Heney

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Angela Heney
160 Florence Ave
Sebastopol, CA 95472-3733
(707) 823-3257

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Jan Enderle to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:43 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Jan Enderle

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jan Enderle
102 Purslane
Pleasant Hill, CA 94523-3100

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Susan Rowe to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:43 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Susan Rowe

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Susan Rowe
28481 Copper Creek Dr
Coarsegold, CA 93614-9611
(559) 658-7343

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Catherine Glahn to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:43 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Catherine Glahn

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Dr. Catherine Glahn
1976 Lexington Ave
San Mateo, CA 94402-4029
(650) 349-6821

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Bruce Embree to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:43 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Bruce Embree

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bruce Embree
1241 Noonan Dr
Sacramento, CA 95822-2569
(916) 446-2269

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Kate Barnes to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Kate Barnes

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kate Barnes
11650 National Blvd Apt 35
Los Angeles, CA 90064-3838

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Rebecca Davis to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Rebecca Davis

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rebecca Davis
1302 Euclid St
Santa Monica, CA 90404-1732
(626) 584-6420

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Annette Raible to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Annette Raible

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Annette Raible
6163 Bodega Ave
Petaluma, CA 94952-9687
(707) 782-0491

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Angela Heney to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Angela Heney

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Angela Heney
160 Florence Ave
Sebastopol, CA 95472-3733
(707) 823-3257

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Michael Hawes to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Michael Hawes

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Hawes
536 Ellen Way
San Luis Obispo, CA 93405-2420
(805) 541-8150

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Mischa Kandinsky to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Mischa Kandinsky

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mischa Kandinsky
102 Comstock Ln
Bonny Doon, CA 95060-9677

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Kathe Gardenias to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Kathe Gardenias

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kathe Gardenias
1955 Citrus Ave
Chico, CA 95926-2578

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

June Milich to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to June Milich

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mrs. June Milich
1084 River Bluff Dr
Oakdale, CA 95361-2660
(209) 847-6823

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Travis King to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Travis King

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Travis King
360 E 2nd St
Los Angeles, CA 90012-4238

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Sanna Thomas to: p66-railspur-comments
Please respond to sanna .r.thomas

11/24/2014 08:42 AM

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

Sanna Thomas
Panoramic Highway
Mill Valley, CA 94941

Protect Our Towns , Reject Oil Train Expansion
BRANDY DONOFRIO to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:41 AM
Please respond to brandydonofrio

Dear San Luis Obispo County Commissioners and Supervisors,

I am writing to strongly urge you to deny the proposed oil-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine our state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate change, and these trains will put our communities directly in harm's way.

I am strongly opposed to this project for several reasons:

1. Emergency responders in my town just aren't prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards won't protect the public. The draft EIR misinforms first responders because it doesn't adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster; the draft only evaluates rail-accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill rates between 2005 and 2009, omitting crucial data about accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than during the past four decades combined. The EIR must look at recent data, which reflects the increased quantities of crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars.

2. The EIR's worst-case scenario estimates a spill of 180,000 gallons, or roughly six tank cars of crude. This has to be an error because most crude trains have 100 or more tank cars, carrying millions of gallons. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, sensitive ecosystems, homes and local economies.

3. The toxic air emissions that will accompany this project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution along the rail route, with sulfur dioxide and other toxic chemicals leaked that increase risk of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease and premature death.

4. The EIR has yet to fully analyze the worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir or aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians, an unacceptable risk in this time of extreme drought.

5. The planning department must examine the cumulative impacts of the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project -- not in isolation -- since the proposed terminal in Santa Maria is directly linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify both facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands.

6. Phillips 66 must disclose crude-quality information so decision-makers fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. At every stage of the mining, transportation and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil -- making this project simply incompatible with California's plans to be a climate leader.

For all these reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission

and Board of Supervisors to soundly reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur.

BRANDY DONOFRIO
323 HEARST AVE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94112
US

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
nancychollander@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:39 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jack.kurzweil@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:35 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
rangerreid32@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:33 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Protect California 's kids and reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

atkinsma7@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:31 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
MarilynGaynes@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:31 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
ldanos@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:27 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
sarahdotcomm@msn.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:26 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

HUNTER WALLOF to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:25 AM

Please respond to huntergatherer 8

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

HUNTER WALLOF
12340 SIR FRANCIS DRAKE
UNIT A
PT. REYES, CA 94956

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Patricia Levi to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:21 AM
Please respond to plevi

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

Patricia Levi

Oakland, CA 94618

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

John Canfield to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:20 AM

Please respond to John

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

John Canfield
1350 hull dr
San Carlos, CA 94070

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Margaret Murray to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:20 AM

Please respond to writewordspress

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

Margaret Murray
995 Nob Hill Ave
Pinole,, CA 94564

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Angela Munoz to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:18 AM

Please respond to aimunoz 13

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

Angela Munoz
268 Euclid Ave. #7
Oakland, CA 94610

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

susan Tatsui -D'Arcy to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:17 AM

Please respond to info

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

susan Tatsui-D'Arcy
PO Box 2988 Santa Cruz ca
Santa Cruz, CA 95063

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Julie Wittet to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:15 AM
Please respond to jwittet .ahs

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

Julie Wittet
1216 Lombardi Lane
Santa Rosa, CA 95407

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Karen Willard to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:14 AM

Please respond to draginlady 5

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

Karen Willard
208 Barros Street
Patterson, CA 95363

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

karen kersten to: p66-railspur-comments
Please respond to kbkersten

11/24/2014 08:14 AM

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Let's put California in the forefront of Action against Climate Change. There other ways, and profitable solutions, we can do this and must do this.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

karen kersten

Oakland, CA 94601

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Lucy Nichols to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:14 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Lucy Nichols

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lucy Nichols
1937 American Way
Ventura, CA 93004-3150
(818) 841-8995

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Eric Sentianin to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:13 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Eric Sentianin

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Eric Sentianin
6840 E 11th St
Long Beach, CA 90815-4934

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Amy Vaughn to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:13 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Amy Vaughn

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Amy Vaughn
PO Box 87
Long Beach, CA 90801-0087

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Julianne Jensen to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:13 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Julianne Jensen

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Julianne Jensen
1411 Eddington Ln
Daly City, CA 94014-3450

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Barbara Bersell to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:13 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Barbara Bersell

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara Bersell
2698 Greenfield Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90064-3128
(310) 470-1670

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

tom coroneos to: p66-railspur-comments
Please respond to tomcoroneos

11/24/2014 08:13 AM

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

tom coroneos

oakland, CA 94606

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Hildy Gal to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:13 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Hildy Gal

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Hildy Gal
1148 Leff St
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401-3752
(805) 466-8666

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
kbkersten@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:13 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Let's put California in the forefront of Action against Climate Change. There other ways, and profitable solutions, we can do this and must do this.

Respectfully yours,

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Cynthia Rathkey to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:13 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Cynthia Rathkey

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cynthia Rathkey
27 Post St
Petaluma, CA 94952-2657
(707) 763-1359

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Joseph Hilla to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:13 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Joseph Hilla

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Joseph Hilla
1904Clinton ave.# A
Alameda, CA 94501-8104
(510) 749-1445

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Michele Daly to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:12 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Michele Daly

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Michele Daly
2006 Arbor Ave
Belmont, CA 94002-1715

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Nurit Baruch to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:12 AM
Please respond to nuritvenus

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

Nurit Baruch
2004 Eddy
SF, CA 94115

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Larry Harris to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:12 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Larry Harris

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Larry Harris
242 W Shoshone St
Ventura, CA 93001-0327
(805) 680-3940

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Terry Ermini to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:11 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Terry Ermini

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

It's time to stop privatizing assets and profits for the few and burden the public sector and the environment with the liabilities and hazardous consequences of their greedy and poorly considered business. People over profit, not profit over people, PLEASE!

Sincerely,

Ms. Terry Ermini
2443 Fair Oaks Blvd # 206
Sacramento, CA 95825-7684
(916) 995-6076

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bbalics@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:11 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
nuritvenus@earthlink.net to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:09 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
arianajl@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:09 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
Marlenedenardo@sbcglobal.net to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:06 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
jessica.wohlander@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:04 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
elisewessels 1@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:01 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
bbteller@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 08:01 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
dcdubs@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 07:58 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
lotusgirl72@gmail.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 07:58 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours, Kat Baumgartner

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
davidd50@netzero.com to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 07:52 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal
claudiawornum@comcast.net to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 07:43 AM

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo decision-makers,

I am writing to express deep concern about the proposed oil by rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. The Phillips 66 project puts communities throughout California at risk. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to our communities across California.

First and foremost, emergency responders are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards will not protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms first responders because it does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster.

The draft EIR's analysis of potential accidents and spills is flawed because it only evaluates rail accident rates from 2003 to 2012 and spill release rates between 2005 and 2009, and omits important data about crude rail accident frequency and magnitude in 2013 and 2014. This is troubling because we know that more crude spilled from trains in 2013 than spilled during the past four decades. The EIR must look at recent data, including accident data from Canada which has also experienced increased crude by rail incidents. This data reflects the increased quantities of dangerous crude being transported in old and unsafe tank cars and will provide a more accurate assessment of accident risk and magnitude along the rail lines that would serve this project.

Moreover, the EIR's worst case scenario spill analysis estimates a spill of approximately 180,000 gallons, that's approximately six tank cars of crude. This must be an error because we know that most crude trains are comprised of 100 or more tank cars. Indeed, a worst case scenario spill would be on the order of millions of gallons of crude. Such a spill could devastate our scarce water resources, property and our local economy, and would pose a significant threat to public health and safety. This project cannot be approved without analyzing and mitigating its true impacts.

Second, the toxic air emissions resulting from this problem pose an unacceptable risk to public health. The Phillips 66 project will create unacceptable levels of toxic air emissions that will impact my community. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create “significant and unavoidable” levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

Third, the EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California’s treasured central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time

of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

Fourth, the planning department must examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. it is clear that Phillips 66 wants to bring toxic Canadian tar sands to California. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow it to refine the most toxic crude oil on Earth: Canadian tar sands. Transporting and refining tar sands will create more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve this project in isolation.

Fifth, Phillips 66 must disclose crude quality information in order for decision makers to fully understand the climate impacts of the proposed rail project. Tar sands means more carbon pollution: At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state’s efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Respectfully yours,

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Marisa Mercado to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 07:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Marisa Mercado

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marisa Mercado
810 Sherman Ct
Marina, CA 93933-5041

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Steven Wicke to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 07:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Steven Wicke

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steven Wicke
14192 Riverton Cir
Westminster, CA 92683-4640

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Bob Leppo to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 07:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Bob Leppo

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bob Leppo
1652 Via Rico
Santa Maria, CA 93454-2609

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Stephen Lubin to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 07:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Stephen Lubin

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Stephen Lubin
10742 Arminta St
Sun Valley, CA 91352-4602
(818) 521-2050

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Joyce Burk to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 07:42 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Joyce Burk

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Joyce Burk
PO Box 106
Barstow, CA 92312-0106
(760) 252-3820

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Charles Almack to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 07:41 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Charles Almack

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Charles Almack
237 Rockwood Ave
Calexico, CA 92231-2704
(619) 203-1471

Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal

Rene Pineda to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 07:41 AM
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>
Please respond to Rene Pineda

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am deeply concerned about the proposed crude-by-rail project at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria Refinery. This project presents significant and unacceptable risks to communities across California.

This proposal would increase the number of crude oil unit trains traveling through numerous California communities and population centers. I am concerned about the toxic air emissions resulting from these shipments, which would pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. In its latest environmental review, Phillips 66 even admitted that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution, including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The report cites increased health risks -- particularly for children and the elderly -- of cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease, and premature death.

It is also clear that communities and emergency responders along the rail route are not prepared for these heavy, dangerous trains and current safety standards are not adequate to protect the public. The recirculated draft EIR dangerously misinforms the public because it uses outdated data and does not adequately assess the risks of an oil train disaster involving an oil train carrying millions of gallons of explosive crude oil.

It's not just communities that will be put at risk. The EIR must also fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery, including the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and California's central coast. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, SLO must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state.

The climate impacts of Canadian tar sands crude must also be taken into account. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Finally, I urge the planning department to examine the Santa Maria and Rodeo proposals as a single project. The proposed oil train terminal in Santa Maria is linked by pipeline to the Phillips 66 refinery in Rodeo, CA. Phillips 66 is proposing to modify these facilities to allow them to refine tar sands, thus creating more toxic air and water pollution for families along the rail line and near the Santa Maria refinery. San Luis Obispo cannot approve the Santa Maria project in isolation.

For all the aforementioned reasons, I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rene Pineda
2000 Ivar Ave Apt 4
Los Angeles, CA 90068-4418
(323) 467-4637

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Fred Frank to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 07:41 AM

Please respond to pff 9

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

Fred Frank
3615 Ardilla Rd
Atascadero, CA 93422

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Lori Greenleaf to: p66-railspur-comments 11/24/2014 07:40 AM

Please respond to lorigreenleaf

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our communities and our climate.

Tar sands means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than other sources of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption.

Say NO to the Phillips 66 oil train proposal.

Lori Greenleaf
273 W BALTIMORE AVE
LARKSPUR, CA 94939

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Tara Duke to: p66-railspur-comments 11/23/2014 01:56 PM
Please respond to "Tara Duke"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Tara Duke
San Diego, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Carrie Cahill Cahill -Asher to: p66-railspur-comments 11/23/2014 01:25 PM
Please respond to "Carrie Cahill Cahill -Asher"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Carrie Cahill-Asher
Rancho Palos Verdes, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Laura Jensen to: p66-railspur-comments 11/23/2014 01:10 PM
Please respond to "Laura Jensen"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Laura Jensen
Modesto, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Aixa Fielder to: p66-railspur-comments 11/23/2014 01:04 PM
Please respond to "Aixa Fielder"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Aixa Fielder
Los Angeles, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Dan Anderson to: p66-railspur-comments 11/23/2014 12:51 PM
Please respond to "Dan Anderson"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Dan Anderson
Roseville, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Harlan Lebo to: p66-railspur-comments 11/23/2014 12:43 PM
Please respond to "Harlan Lebo"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Harlan Lebo
La Mirada, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !

Nancy Blastos to: p66-railspur-comments 11/23/2014 12:33 PM
Please respond to "Nancy Blastos "

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Nancy Blastos
redlands, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Raquel Brac to: p66-railspur-comments 11/23/2014 12:06 PM
Please respond to "Raquel Brac"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Raquel Brac
Redlands, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Alina M to: p66-railspur-comments 11/23/2014 12:05 PM
Please respond to "Alina M"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Alina M
Terra Bella, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !

Jan-Harm Nieland to: p66-railspur-comments 11/23/2014 11:59 AM
Please respond to "Jan-Harm Nieland"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Jan-Harm Nieland
Santa Clara, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Lawrence Gerald Dillard Jr . to: p66-railspur-comments 11/23/2014 11:56 AM
Please respond to "Lawrence Gerald Dillard Jr ."

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Lawrence Dillard
San Francisco, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Marla Jean Esser to: p66-railspur-comments 11/23/2014 11:50 AM
Please respond to "Marla Jean Esser "

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Marla Esser
San Diego, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Diana Dee to: p66-railspur-comments 11/23/2014 11:24 AM
Please respond to "Diana Dee"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Diana Dee
North Hollywood, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Ms Catherine Cofrwin to: p66-railspur-comments 11/23/2014 11:09 AM
Please respond to "Ms Catherine Cofrwin "

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Catherine Cofrwin
Santa Monica, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
P Kaneko to: p66-railspur-comments 11/23/2014 11:06 AM
Please respond to "P Kaneko"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

P Kaneko
Santa Cruz, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Carolyn Pettis to: p66-railspur-comments 11/23/2014 11:04 AM
Please respond to "Carolyn Pettis "

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Pettis
Canyon Country, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Evan Yu to: p66-railspur-comments 11/23/2014 11:03 AM
Please respond to "Evan Yu"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Evan Yu
Irvine, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Elizabeth Waldron to: p66-railspur-comments 11/23/2014 11:01 AM
Please respond to "Elizabeth Waldron "

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Waldron
Berkeley, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Richard Schwager to: p66-railspur-comments 11/23/2014 10:43 AM
Please respond to "Richard Schwager "

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Richard Schwager
Santa Barbara, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
L R to: p66-railspur-comments 11/23/2014 10:39 AM
Please respond to "L R"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

L R
West Hollywood, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Mr Gregory Hall to: p66-railspur-comments 11/23/2014 10:37 AM
Please respond to "Mr Gregory Hall "

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Gregory Hall
San Marcos, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Susan Segal-Wood to: p66-railspur-comments 11/23/2014 10:26 AM
Please respond to "Susan Segal-Wood"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Susan Segal-Wood
Avila Beach, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Marlene Montalvo to: p66-railspur-comments 11/23/2014 10:21 AM
Please respond to "Marlene Montalvo "

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Marlene Montalvo
Novato, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Esther Conrriquez to: p66-railspur-comments 11/23/2014 10:18 AM
Please respond to "Esther Conrriquez "

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Esther Conrriquez
San Diego, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Krista Mahoney to: p66-railspur-comments 11/23/2014 10:15 AM
Please respond to "Krista Mahoney "

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Krista Mahoney
Sacramento, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Susan Segal-Wppd to: p66-railspur-comments 11/23/2014 10:13 AM
Please respond to "Susan Segal-Wppd"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Susan Segal-Wppd
Avila Beach, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Camile Getter to: p66-railspur-comments 11/23/2014 10:04 AM
Please respond to "Camile Getter"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Camile Getter
Sacramento, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Colleen Rodger to: p66-railspur-comments 11/23/2014 09:52 AM
Please respond to "Colleen Rodger"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Colleen Rodger
San Francisco, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Emily Moran to: p66-railspur-comments 11/23/2014 09:45 AM
Please respond to "Emily Moran"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Emily Moran
Merced, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Randall Lee to: p66-railspur-comments 11/23/2014 09:38 AM
Please respond to "Randall Lee"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Randall Lee
Fresno, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Meryl Schrantz to: p66-railspur-comments 11/22/2014 09:48 AM
Please respond to "Meryl Schrantz"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Meryl Schrantz
Huntington Beach, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Julianna Riley to: p66-railspur-comments 11/22/2014 09:47 AM
Please respond to "Julianna Riley "

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Julianna Riley
Emeryville, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Brian Haberly to: p66-railspur-comments 11/22/2014 09:47 AM
Please respond to "Brian Haberly"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Brian Haberly
Santa Clara, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Dale Noonkester to: p66-railspur-comments 11/22/2014 09:47 AM
Please respond to "Dale Noonkester "

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Dale Noonkester
Potrero, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Dave Martin to: p66-railspur-comments 11/22/2014 09:47 AM
Please respond to "Dave Martin"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Dave Martin
Boonville, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !

Barbara Bogard to: p66-railspur-comments 11/22/2014 09:47 AM
Please respond to "Barbara Bogard "

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Barbara Bogard
Mill Valley, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Mitchell Diamond to: p66-railspur-comments 11/22/2014 09:47 AM
Please respond to "Mitchell Diamond "

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Mitchell Diamond
Sunnyvale, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !

Robyn L. Class to: p66-railspur-comments 11/22/2014 09:46 AM
Please respond to "Robyn L. Class"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Robyn Class
Orange, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Daniel Christenson `` to: p66-railspur-comments 11/22/2014 09:46 AM
Please respond to "Daniel Christenson ``"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Daniel Christenson``
Wofford Heights, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Deborah trevithick to: p66-railspur-comments 11/22/2014 09:46 AM
Please respond to "Deborah trevithick "

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Deborah trevithick
Millbrae, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Regina Flores to: p66-railspur-comments 11/22/2014 09:46 AM
Please respond to "Regina Flores"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Regina Flores
Lake Elsinore, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
June Stepansky to: p66-railspur-comments 11/22/2014 09:46 AM
Please respond to "June Stepansky "

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

June Stepansky
Woodland Hills, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Katherine Nolan to: p66-railspur-comments 11/22/2014 09:46 AM
Please respond to "Katherine Nolan "

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Katherine Nolan
Cupertino, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Regina Flores to: p66-railspur-comments 11/22/2014 09:46 AM
Please respond to "Regina Flores"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Regina Flores
Lake Elsinore, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Veronica to: p66-railspur-comments 11/22/2014 09:46 AM
Please respond to "Veronica"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Veronica Constituent
Huntington Beach, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Bill Wells to: p66-railspur-comments 11/22/2014 09:46 AM
Please respond to "Bill Wells"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Bill Wells
Redlands, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !

Michael Sarabia to: p66-railspur-comments 11/22/2014 09:46 AM
Please respond to "Michael Sarabia "

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Michael Sarabia
Stockton, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
G Moore to: p66-railspur-comments 11/22/2014 09:46 AM
Please respond to "G Moore"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

G Moore
Soquel, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Lorne Cheeseman to: p66-railspur-comments 11/22/2014 09:46 AM
Please respond to "Lorne Cheeseman "

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Lorne Cheeseman
Irvine, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Susan Watts to: p66-railspur-comments 11/22/2014 09:46 AM
Please respond to "Susan Watts"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Susan Watts
Riverside, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Kathryn Callaway to: p66-railspur-comments 11/22/2014 09:45 AM
Please respond to "Kathryn Callaway "

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Callaway
Woodacre, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Molly Freed to: p66-railspur-comments 11/22/2014 09:45 AM
Please respond to "Molly Freed"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Molly Freed
San Jose, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !

Patric Kearns to: p66-railspur-comments 11/22/2014 09:45 AM
Please respond to "Patric Kearns "

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Patric Kearns
Sonoma, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Kit Long to: p66-railspur-comments 11/22/2014 09:45 AM
Please respond to "Kit Long"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Kit Long
Napa, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !

Kelsey Baker to: p66-railspur-comments 11/22/2014 09:45 AM

Please respond to "Kelsey Baker"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Kelsey Baker
Novato, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Dennis Kobata to: p66-railspur-comments 11/22/2014 09:45 AM
Please respond to "Dennis Kobata "

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Dennis Kobata
Torrance, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
Theodore C. Snyder to: p66-railspur-comments 11/22/2014 09:45 AM
Please respond to "Theodore C. Snyder"

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Theodore Snyder
Granada Hills, CA

Vote NO on the Keystone XL pipeline !
John Friedrich to: p66-railspur-comments 11/22/2014 09:36 AM
Please respond to "John Friedrich "

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil by rail project at the Santa Maria refinery. This project creates significant, unavoidable, and unnecessary risks for our kids, communities and climate. The final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must disclose the full climate impacts of the proposed rail project, including the likelihood that it will increase the transport and burning of toxic tar sands oil. Tar sands oil means more carbon pollution. At every stage of the mining, transportation, and refining process, Canadian tar sands are more carbon intensive than any other source of oil. Bringing tar sands to California will undermine the state's efforts to be a global leader addressing climate disruption. The toxic air emissions resulting from this proposed project pose an unacceptable risk to public health. Volatile toxic chemicals leak out of tank cars into the air poisoning communities along rail routes. Phillips 66 admits that its proposed oil train facility will create "significant and unavoidable" levels of air pollution,including toxic sulfur dioxide and cancer-causing chemicals. The EIR must fully analyze the potential worst-case scenario of a spill near each of the many watersheds crossed en route to the Santa Maria refinery. The proposed rail route brings oil trains through the San Francisco Bay-Delta watershed and along California's central coast. Each oil train carries more than three million gallons of explosive, toxic crude oil. A derailment near a river, stream, reservoir, or above a groundwater aquifer could contaminate drinking water for millions of Californians. During a time of extreme drought, San Luis Obispo County must not approve this project and create contamination risk for the rest of our state. Please protect California families and children by rejecting Phillips 66's toxic oil train plan. Thank you for consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

John Friedrich
South Lake Tahoe, CA