

From: "Bailey, Diane" <dbailey@nrdc.org>
To: "p66-railspur-comments@co.slo.ca.us"
<p66-railspur-comments@co.slo.ca.us>, "mwilson@co.slo.ca.us"
<mwilson@co.slo.ca.us>
Date: 11/24/2014 03:44 PM
Subject: Phillips 66 Rail Spur Extention RDEIR Comments

Dear Mr. Wilson,
Please find attached our comments on the Phillips 66 Rail Spur RDEIR.
Thank you,
Diane Bailey

~~~~~  
Diane Bailey, Senior Scientist  
Natural Resources Defense Council  
111 Sutter Street, 20th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
415.875.6100 o  
650-281-7073 m  
415.875.6161 f  
[dbailey@nrdc.org](mailto:dbailey@nrdc.org)  
~~~~~

Visit our Clean Cargo Center: Community Resources for Reducing Diesel Air
Pollution from the Freight Industry

For the latest Environmental Health resources and news, see:
www.nrdc.org/health/default.asp

PRIVILEGE AND CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

This message is intended only for the addressee and may contain information
privileged confidential, and exempt from disclosure under law. If you are not the
intended recipient, please notify me immediately by email and delete the original
message.

(See attached file: P66 Santa Maria 11 24 14.pdf)



November 24, 2014

San Luis Obispo County
Department of Planning and Building
Murry Wilson
976 Osos Street
San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

RE:Comments on the Revised Draft Environmental Impact Report for the Phillips 66 Company Rail Spur Extension and Crude Unloading Project

Dear Mr. Wilson,

On behalf of the Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC), I am writing to express grave concern over the proposed Phillips 66 Rail Spur Extension and Crude Unloading Project ("Project") and the associated Revised Draft Environmental Impact Report ("RDEIR"). We agree with the Communities for a Better Environment et. al. that the RDEIR suffers from numerous deficiencies that render it inadequate under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and the CEQA Guidelines.

NRDC-01

The RDEIR obscures the inextricable link between the projects at the Phillips 66 Santa Maria and Rodeo facilities. This hides the true scope of the Project and precludes any adequate analysis of significant impacts. Overall, the RDEIR fails to disclose serious local pollution, climate pollution and chemical safety hazards. The County must correct the RDEIR deficiencies by performing adequate environmental review of the Project. We respectfully request that the County reject the RDEIR as an environmental review document, and defer approval of the Project until such time as the RDEIR is revised to comply with CEQA

NRDC-02

Sincerely,

Diane Bailey, Senior Scientist
Natural Resources Defense Council
dbailey@nrdc.org