

From: Ken Chaubet <[kbirdyman@hotmail.com](mailto:kbirdyman@hotmail.com)>  
To: <[p66-railspur-comments@co.slo.ca.us](mailto:p66-railspur-comments@co.slo.ca.us)>  
Cc: <[cray@co.slo.ca.us](mailto:cray@co.slo.ca.us)>  
Date: 01/13/2014 05:45 PM  
Subject: Health and Safety concerns

I am a resident of Trilogy at 911 Albert Way, Nipomo Ca 93444. I have read reports of hazards regarding oil rail car shipments to various refineries, my proximity to the Phillips 66 refinery is of great concern I have various health issues Leukemia, heart valve replacement, D.V.T; prostate cancer, shortness of breath just to name a few, what would happen to me if the rail cars caught fire? The air quality could have a serious impact of my health, not to mention the constant 24 hour noise that would be produced by the around the clock operation of the refinery. I urge you not to approve this proposed rail spur. Why can't the refinery continue using the pipeline and if they need more oil to refine let them build another pipe line I know that would increase their cost, but being selfish I feel it would be better for my health.

Thank You

Ken Chaubet

CK-1

From: Ken Chaubet <[kbirdyman@hotmail.com](mailto:kbirdyman@hotmail.com)>  
To: <[p66-railspur-comments@co.slo.ca.us](mailto:p66-railspur-comments@co.slo.ca.us)>  
Date: 01/24/2014 09:12 PM  
Subject: Phillips 66 Rail Spur

As a resident of Trilogy, a stone throw away from the Phillips 66 refinery I am very concerned about the proposed R.R. spur to the refinery. Have you read the Friday Jan. 24th article in the Tribune about the “new Crude-oil train safety rules” I understand that the refinery is going to handle Bakken Crude which is very flammable. I have various health issues; Lukemia, heart valve replacement, D.V.T. prostate cancer, all as a result of Agent Orange exposure in Vietnam. Please I urge you not to approve this rail spur to the Phillips 66 refinery.

Sincerely

Ken Chaubet

CK-2

From: Ken Chaubet <[kbirdyman@hotmail.com](mailto:kbirdyman@hotmail.com)>  
To: <[mwilson@co.slo.ca.us](mailto:mwilson@co.slo.ca.us)>  
Date: 01/24/2014 08:39 PM  
Subject: Phillips 66 rail proposal

Have you read the Friday Jan. 24th article in the SLO Tribune paper regarding “new crude-oil train safety rules”, is Phillips 66 complying to these rules. I am very concerned being a resident of the Trilogy development, and my health condition. Please I urge you to vote no on this rail proposal, the risks are to great.

CK-3