

Mr. Murry Wilson  
SLO County Dept. of Planning and Building  
976 Osos Street, Room 200  
San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

November 23, 2014

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I strongly oppose the P66 Rail Terminal Project.

I have several concerns which include the lack of a cumulative impact analysis required by the CA Environmental Quality Act. In addition, The SLO County government Primary Vision..."a responsible caring community safe, resilient and healthy," will not be ensured.

The Primary Mission to ensure that safety and basic human needs are met for the people of SLO is in jeopardy. The noise pollution 24/7 from the planned delivery by rail, unloading, and departing are just a few examples of increased noise. The possibility of spills, explosions and/or derailments along the Cuesta Grade impacts the entire State.

Furthermore, the inadequate fire response team in Santa Barbara only adds to the concerns should any untoward, unpredictable incident related to the P66 Rail Terminal Project occur.

The P66 Rail Terminal Project with 5 trains with 80 tank cars per week seriously negatively impacts a safe pollution free environment for San Luis Obispo County.

Respectfully,



Phyllis M. Connolly, RN, APRN, PhD  
1355 Vicki Lane  
Nipomo, CA 93444  
805-219-0153

COP-01

## Responses to Phyllis Connolly Comments

---

COP-01	<p>The RDEIR conducted a cumulative analysis that is consistent with the requirements of CEQA. The comment on the cumulative analysis is vague and does not provide any specifics, so no further response is required.</p> <p>The remaining portions of the comment do not identify a specific environmental analysis or CEQA issue relative to the EIR and compliance with CEQA. The commenter's concerns about fire response, land use, noise, and hazards are included in the FEIR for the decision-makers' consideration as part of the County's deliberations on the proposed project.</p>
--------	---