From: Gary Eldredge <greldredge@msn.com>
To: "p66-railspur-comments@co.slo.ca.us"
<p66-railspur-comments@co.slo.ca.us>
Date: 11/24/2014 10:16 AM
Subject: Opposition P66 Rail Spur Project

Mr. Murry Wilson
SLO County Planning Department

As a 7 year Woodlands Village Nipomo resident my wife and I have enjoyed living on the Central Cost. One of the things that attracted us to this region is the peacefulness and emphasis on a healthy lifestyle along a beautiful coastline. We are dismayed to find out this all could change and our lives be put in danger.

The Phillips facility, located less then 4 miles from our home, proposes to start an entirely new way of doing business. The proposal will have a disastrous impact on those of us who live in this county. We oppose granting them the right to introduce a completely new and dangerous way to conduct their operations. ELP-01

The operational activities associated with the rail spur project at the refinery would generate pollutant emissions that exceed SLOAPCD thresholds. Operational pollutant emissions (i.e., NOx, ROC, and DPM) within San Luis Obispo County and outside the county on the mainline could be potentially significant and unavoidable. ELP-02

The project could result in effects that impair agricultural and residential areas along the UPRR mainline in the event of a derailment and or spill. This includes generation of contaminated air emissions, soil and water contamination and increased risk of fire.

The UPRR traverses the Cuesta Grade; passing a few yards from the Cal Poly University, makes several grade crossings in San Luis Obispo, crosses U.S. Highway 1 and Marsh Street, and continues behind French Hospital. That means that the tanker cars present an significant danger within our greater community. ELP-03

The proposed routes for these tanker cars are through the UPRR yards in Roseville Calif. and Coulton Cali This means the tanker cars will come from the north or south along our beautiful California coastline.

We are in opposition of the Phillips Rail Spur and request you also oppose this project and keep our community safe for generations to come.

Regards,
Paula Eldredge

ELP-01	This comment does not identify a specific environmental analysis or CEQA issue relative to the EIR and compliance with CEQA. The commenter's concerns about hazards are included in the FEIR for the decision-makers' consideration as part of the County's deliberations on the proposed project.
ELP-02	The RDEIR addresses the potential impacts and recommends mitigation measures for the proposed Project consistent with the requirements of CEQA. Section 4.0 presents the environmental analysis for the CEQA mandated issue areas; air quality and related health impacts are discussed in Section 4.3 (Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases).
ELP-03	This comment does not identify a specific environmental analysis or CEQA issue relative to the EIR and compliance with CEQA. The commenter's concerns about the potential oil spill, air quality, hazards and impacts of the project are included in the FEIR for the decision-makers' consideration as part of the County's deliberations on the proposed project.