From: "veuzent@comcast.net" <veuzent@comcast.net>
To: p66-railspur-comments@co.slo.ca.us
Date: 11/19/2014 09:14 AM
Subject: Reject the Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Mr. Murry Wilson
San Luis Obispo County Planning Department

Dear San Luis Obispo Decision Makers,

As a regular tourist to your beautiful area, I am greatly concerned about the possibility that Phillips 66 is pressuring you to upgrade their Santa Maria refinery to enable it to receive oil trains carrying noxious tar sands crude. I read this article in today's San Jose Mercury News:

http://www.mercurynews.com/opinion/ci_26956513/oil-trains-san-jose-phillips-66-refinery-expansion

Even if you don't consider the fact that millions of people outside your geographical area would be exposed to hazardous pollution and random accidents or you don't consider that the Native Americans feel so strongly against the Keystone Pipeline (which carries this same oil product) that they are threatening to declare war to fight it (http://www.thepublicopinion.com/news/associated_press/south_dakota/tribal-president-keystone-xl-is-an-act-of-war/article_592d9498-899b-59b4-904c-b2f73015332d.html), you could keep our state and country moving in the right direction of clean energy sources and protecting our beautiful state by voting to NOT allow this new rail spur. EUV-01

Respectfully yours,
Vivian Euzent, Sunnyvale, CA

EUV-01	This comment does not identify a specific environmental analysis or CEQA issue relative to the EIR and compliance with CEQA. The commenter's concerns about hazards, pollution and clean energy are included in the FEIR for the decision-makers' consideration as part of the County's deliberations on the proposed project.