

From: DontFrackCa <dontfrackca@hotmail.com>
To: p66-railspur-comments@co.slo.ca.us
Date: 11/21/2014 09:35 AM
Subject: Philips 66 proposal

To whom it may concern,

I am deeply opposed to the Philips 66 proposal to begin receiving trains of extreme oil to their refinery in San Luis Obispo.

Our railway system was not designed to transport dangerous, and highly explosive oil through cities. This move would put many communities in jeopardy, such as Antioch, Pittsburg, Bay Point, Martinez, Crockett, Rodeo, San Pablo, Richmond, El Cerrito, Albany, Berkeley, Emeryville, Oakland, San Leandro, Hayward, Union City, Fremont, and thousands more at risk for accidents and spills, threatening our air, water, and health, and contributing to climate disruption.

KAD-01

All that one needs to do is to look at Lac Mégantic, in Quebec, to see the devastating effects that only one accident along this proposed system could cause. Far too many people live in California to take these types of risks with the stability of their environment, their businesses, their lives, and their homes.

The acknowledged "significant air pollution" of this proposed Oil Railway will increase the levels of toxic chemicals in the air, causing respiratory illnesses, heart disease, cancer, and premature death. Not only would this be a crime against the citizens of California, but it would deal a harsh blow to the economic stability of our entire region. A population of sick people cannot function effectively, and our healthy, beautiful coast would run the risk of becoming a diseased population.

KAD-02

I implore you to reject this dangerous and reckless proposal. As our representatives, you have a duty to protect the health of our communities and our coastline. Any move towards such a refinery would be endangering the health and safety of our citizens.

KAD-03

Sincerely,

Donna Kaufman
Monterey, CA
dontfrackca@hotmail.com

Responses to Donna Kaufman Comments

KAD-01	This comment does not identify a specific environmental analysis or CEQA issue relative to the EIR and compliance with CEQA. The commenter's concerns about the safety and environmental impacts of the project are included in the FEIR for the decision-makers' consideration as part of the County's deliberations on the proposed project.
KAD-02	The RDEIR addresses the potential impacts and recommends mitigation measures for the proposed Project consistent with the requirements of CEQA. Section 4.3 (Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases) addresses GHG emissions, criteria air emissions and health risks. The commenter's statement about air issues are included in the FEIR for the decision-makers' consideration as part of the County's deliberations on the proposed project.
KAD-03	This comment does not identify a specific environmental analysis or CEQA issue relative to the EIR and compliance with CEQA. The commenter's concerns about health, safety and coastal resources are included in the FEIR for the decision-makers' consideration as part of the County's deliberations on the proposed project.