

From: [laurgp@aol.com](mailto:laurgp@aol.com)  
To: [p66-railspur-comments@co.slo.ca.us](mailto:p66-railspur-comments@co.slo.ca.us)  
Date: 11/22/2014 08:58 PM  
Subject: Phillips 66 oil train proposal

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I am writing to you to urge the San Luis Obispo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to reject the Phillips 66 proposed oil train project at the Santa Maria refinery. I live in Lompoc and am very concerned about the potential health hazards associated with this project.

PRS-01

I have heard and read about derailments of oil trains and the devastation they have wrought. I understand that the rail cars are not built to carry the amount of crude oil that they contain and first responders are ill-equipped to protect the surrounding community when an accident occurs.

The toxicity of the air emissions from spilled oil and Canadian tar sands will cause significant air pollution and health issues such as cancer, heart disease, respiratory disease and premature death, especially for young children and the elderly.

PRS-02

This project is much too risky to undertake and I truly hope you will reject the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. Please keep California safe.

PRS-03

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,  
Lauren Pressman  
Lompoc, CA

### Responses to Lauren Pressman Comments

PRS-01	<p>This comment does not identify a specific environmental analysis or CEQA issue relative to the EIR and compliance with CEQA. The commenter's concerns about the safety and environmental impacts of the project are included in the FEIR for the decision-makers' consideration as part of the County's deliberations on the proposed project.</p>
PRS-02	<p>The RDEIR addresses the potential impacts and recommends mitigation measures for the proposed Project consistent with the requirements of CEQA. Section 4.3 (Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases) addresses GHG emissions, criteria air emissions and health risks. The commenter's statement about air issues are included in the FEIR for the decision-makers' consideration as part of the County's deliberations on the proposed project. The EIR concludes that emissions of criteria and toxic pollutants would exceed the SLOCAPCD thresholds and would be a significant impact.</p> <p>The EIR took special account of the impacts to children by assessing toxic pollutant emissions impacts using the revised OEHHA guidelines, which adds additional protections for children.</p>
PRS-03	<p>This comment does not identify a specific environmental analysis or CEQA issue relative to the EIR and compliance with CEQA. The commenter's concerns about hazards are included in the FEIR for the decision-makers' consideration as part of the County's deliberations on the proposed project.</p>