From: "Alice" <alicer1@charter.net>
To: <p66-railspur-comments@co.slo.ca.us>
Date: 11/22/2014 10:55 AM
Subject: Oppose Phillips 66 Rail Spur

Dear Mr. Wilson:

I urge you to oppose the proposed Phillips 66 rail spur project. The California Central Coast is a gem that must not be further harmed by pollution. REI-01

Moving crude by rail is too dangerous. San Luis Obispo county does not have the emergency resources to deal with a disaster, even if it could be contained. Our rail system is old and unstable, built on shifting sand through much of Santa Barbara county and southern SLO county, and is already failing. Remember the recent time rail travel has been closed through Gaviota for track repair? REI-02

There are Class 1 un-mitigatable air pollution violations due to the project. This would be in addition to the air pollution already experienced by the mesa residents including dust hazards and pollution by the existing refinery. REI-03

Expansion of fossil fuel processing is the wrong thing to do. We are lucky enough to have excellent resources for alternative energy in SLO county. We should be creating more jobs by creating infrastructure to utilize them. Burning fossil fuels harms the climate, putting our children at grave risk. We must not proliferate the use of fossil fuels. REI-04

Extraction of fossil fuels harms communities where it occurs, destroying ground water. REI-05

Thank you for taking the time to read and act on this.

Alice Reinheimer
580 Gularte Road
Arroyo Grande, CA 93420

Responses to Alice Reinheimer Comments

REI-01	This comment does not identify a specific environmental analysis or CEQA issue relative to the EIR and compliance with CEQA. The commenter's concerns about environmental pollution are included in the FEIR for the decision-makers' consideration as part of the County's deliberations on the proposed project.
REI-02	This comment does not identify a specific environmental analysis or CEQA issue relative to the EIR and compliance with CEQA. The commenter's concerns about the safety and environmental impacts of the project are included in the FEIR for the decision-makers' consideration as part of the County's deliberations on the proposed project.
REI-03	The RDEIR addresses the potential impacts and recommends mitigation measures for the proposed Project consistent with the requirements of CEQA. Section 4.3 (Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases) addresses GHG emissions, criteria air emissions and health risks. The commenter's statement about air issues are included in the FEIR for the decision-makers' consideration as part of the County's deliberations on the proposed project. The EIR concludes that emissions of criteria and toxic pollutants would exceed the SLOCAPCD thresholds and would be a significant impact.
REI-04	This comment does not identify a specific environmental analysis or CEQA issue relative to the EIR and compliance with CEQA. The commenter's concern about the use of fossil fuel instead of alternative energy is included in the FEIR for the decision-makers' consideration as part of the County's deliberations on the proposed project.
REI-05	The proposed project does not involve the extraction of oil. The comment does not identify a specific environmental analysis or CEQA issue relative to the EIR and compliance with CEQA. The commenter's statement about oil extraction is included in the FEIR for the decision-makers' consideration as part of the County's deliberations on the proposed project.