

From: Johanna Rubba <[jer727@earthlink.net](mailto:jer727@earthlink.net)>  
To: [P66-railspur-comments@co.slo.ca.us](mailto:P66-railspur-comments@co.slo.ca.us), [mwilson@co.slo.ca.us](mailto:mwilson@co.slo.ca.us)  
Date: 11/24/2014 09:41 AM  
Subject: NO OIL TRAINS, PLEASE

Dear Mr. Wilson,

In case you don't recognize it from my address, I live in Grover Beach, two doors down from the railroad tracks on which these trains would run. There are residential neighborhoods and farms on the east side of the tracks, and nature preserves, the Oceano Lagoon, RV parks and campgrounds, and the beach on the west side.

RUJ-01

This would be a horrible place for a spill, or worse, a conflagration such as we have seen in other places such trains run. A spill could render my neighborhood uninhabitable, and could devastate the sensitive Meadow Creek riparian area and dunes. An explosion or fire would be catastrophic, with a good chance that my home would be destroyed, possibly with me in it.

RUJ-02

And, of course, these risks lie all along the route. Safety is my primary concern, but I also am mystified that the county would support such a plan in this era of climate change, and considering the environmental devastation caused by the mining of this oil. WE NEED TO BE MOVING AWAY FROM FOSSIL FUELS. Phillips 66 would do better by investing in alternate, safer, cleaner energy sources.

RUJ-03

It is your job to serve OUR needs and protect US. Phillips 66 does not need this undertaking. We DO need safety and a thriving environment. I will be monitoring the comments, decisions, and votes of every county official involved in this process, and, if it is approved, you can count on a robust protest to which I will devote every resource I can.

RUJ-04

Do the right thing—say NO to Phillips.

Johanna Rubba  
464 Seabirght Ave.  
Grover Beach, CA 93433  
<[jer727@earthlink.net](mailto:jer727@earthlink.net)>



**Public comment on the Phillips 66 Santa Maria oil terminal proposal**

**Johanna Rubba** to: p66-railspur-comments

Sent by: **Sierra Club** <[information@sierraclub.org](mailto:information@sierraclub.org)>

**Please respond to Johanna Rubba**

**\*See 11/24/2014  
Letter for Coding**

11/25/2014 11:02 AM

Nov 24, 2014

Mr. Murry Wilson

Dear Mr. Wilson,

Dear Supervisors and Planners,

In case you don't recognize it from my address, I live in Grover Beach, two doors down from the railroad tracks on which these trains would run. There are residential neighborhoods and farms on the east side of the tracks, and nature preserves, the Oceano Lagoon, RV parks and campgrounds, and the beach on the west side.

This would be a horrible place for a spill, or worse, a conflagration such as we have seen in other places such trains run. A spill could render my neighborhood uninhabitable, and could devastate the sensitive Meadow Creek riparian area and dunes. An explosion or fire would be catastrophic, with a good chance that my home would be destroyed, possibly with me in it.

And, of course, these risks lie all along the route.

Safety is my primary concern, but I also am mystified that the county would support such a plan in this era of climate change, and considering the environmental devastation caused by the mining of this oil. WE NEED TO BE MOVING AWAY FROM FOSSIL FUELS. Phillips 66 would do better by investing in alternate, safer, cleaner energy sources.

It is your job to serve OUR needs and protect US. Phillips 66 does not need this undertaking. We DO need safety and a thriving environment. I will be monitoring the comments, decisions, and votes of every county official involved in this process, and, if it is approved, you can count on a robust protest to which I will devote every resource I can.

Do the right thing--say NO toPhillips.

Johanna Rubba  
464 Seabirght Ave.  
Grover Beach, CA 93433

Sincerely,

Dr. Johanna Rubba  
464 Seabright Ave  
Grover Beach, CA 93433-2317  
(805) 481-4688

### **Responses to Johanna Rubba Comments**

RUJ-01	This comment does not identify a specific environmental analysis or CEQA issue relative to the EIR and compliance with CEQA. No further response is required.
RUJ-02	This comment does not identify a specific environmental analysis or CEQA issue relative to the EIR and compliance with CEQA. The commenter's concerns about the safety and environmental impacts of the project are included in the FEIR for the decision-makers' consideration as part of the County's deliberations on the proposed project.
RUJ-03	This comment does not identify a specific environmental analysis or CEQA issue relative to the EIR and compliance with CEQA. The commenter's statement about the need to move away from fossil fuels is included in the FEIR for the decision-makers' consideration as part of the County's deliberations on the proposed project.
RUJ-04	This comment does not identify a specific environmental analysis or CEQA issue relative to the EIR and compliance with CEQA. The commenter's concerns about hazards and environmental impacts are included in the FEIR for the decision-makers' consideration as part of the County's deliberations on the proposed project.