

From: michele schneiderman <mickeyschneiderman48@gmail.com>
To: p66-railspur-comments@co.slo.ca.us
Date: 11/21/2014 08:54 AM
Subject: Proposed Phillips 66 Rail-Spur

Dear Mr. Murry,

I am writing to express my concerns about the Phillips 66 proposed rail spur. I have lived in Nipomo at Trilogy since 2006. When I moved here, my health was perfect. I have recently been diagnosed with asthma.

I believe that our air quality has contributed to this condition. I fear that with the addition of more pollutants associated with the RailSpur Project at the refinery that would generate additional air pollution, the refinery is putting myself and others at a much higher risk of additional health hazards.

We live in a region where the state health standard for particulate matter is violated over 70 times per year. This a a very high and frightening statistic. I am asking that you seriously consider our health concerns and prevent this travesty from happening.

Very truly yours,

Michele Schneiderman

SCM-01

Responses to Michele Schneiderman Comments

SCM-01	<p>A study performed by the SLOCAPCD, the South County Phase 2 Particulate Study, evaluated whether impacts from off-road vehicle activities at the Oceano Dunes State Vehicle Recreational Area (ODSVRA), the Phillips Refinery coke piles, and adjacent agricultural fields were contributing to the particulate problems on the Nipomo Mesa (SLOC APCD 2010). The Phase 2 portion of the study concluded that off-road vehicle activity in the ODSVRA is a major contributing factor to the PM concentrations observed on the Nipomo Mesa and that neither the petroleum coke piles at the Phillips facility nor agricultural fields or activities in and around the area are a significant source of ambient PM on the Nipomo Mesa. The composition of the particulates is predominately natural crustal particles. The SLOCAPCD has determined that the dune complex along the coast of the Five Cities area is the source of the high particulate matter levels measured at the South Coast stations (SLOCAPCD Annual Emissions Report, 2013). The SMR has a coke dust plan to reduce coke dust and it does involve watering. However, the proposed Project is not anticipated to increase coke handling or contribute to dust particulate levels in the area. Air quality violations on the mesa are primarily associated with natural crustal particulates.</p>
--------	---