

From: dfsweet@aol.com
To: p66-railspur-comments@co.slo.ca.us
Date: 11/25/2014 11:06 AM
Subject: p66 Railspur project

Mr. Murry;

Since the Railspur project was initially proposed, I have made a point of reviewing the EIR and the REIR. My concerns over this project have increased since the REIR has been presented, especially as it relates to the issue of air quality.

Residents of the Mesa are already living in an environment which violates state health standards for particulate matter multiple times per year. Class One Impacts cited in the REIR have increased and proposed mitigation efforts are inadequate and enforcement would be questionable. Until these impacts can be adequately addressed, I strongly urge you to request withdrawal of this plan.

Please understand that my concerns relate to numerous other issues affecting our entire county and the effect on quality of life, safety, and tourism. These are too numerous to elaborate upon in one letter. My hope is that you seriously consider the impact county-wide and again, request withdrawal of this plan.

Sincerely,

Nancy Sweet
1425 Vicki Lane
Nipomo, CA 93444
805 343-6323

SWN-01

Responses to Nancy Sweet Comments

SWN-01	<p>The RDEIR addresses the potential impacts and recommends mitigation measures for the proposed Project consistent with the requirements of CEQA. Section 4.3 (Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases) addresses GHG emissions, criteria air emissions and health risks.</p> <p>The EIR concludes that emissions of criteria, GHG and toxic pollutants would exceed the SLOCAPCD thresholds and would be a significant impact.</p> <p>The commenter's statement about air issues are included in the FEIR for the decision-makers' consideration as part of the County's deliberations on the proposed project.</p>
--------	--