

Fw: Cantinas Ranch Foundation Project and County File # DRC 2011-00037

Ramona Hedges
to:
Holly Phipps
07/02/2013 10:19 AM
Show Details

Ramona Hedges, (805) 781-5612
Planning Commission Secretary
Custodian of Records
Records Management Supervisor
rhedges@co.slo.ca.us
<http://www.sloplanning.org>
<http://www.facebook.com/SLOPlanning>
<http://twitter.com/SLOCoPlanning>

----- Forwarded by Ramona Hedges/Planning/COSLO on 07/02/2013 10:19 AM

From: Jeff Greene <jeffgreene40@gmail.com>
To: rhedges@co.slo.ca.us
Cc: Wife Greene <greenegigi@aol.com>, Rachel Kovesdi
<rachel@kirk-consulting.net>
Date: 06/28/2013 06:40 AM
Subject: Cantinas Ranch Foundation Project and County File # DRC 2011-00037

Romana,
My name is Jeff Greene. I reside at 9990 Lynch Canyon Rd. Bradley CA. 93426. I also am an owner in Christmas Cove. My ranch property abuts the above project site in a few areas but one in particular is at the cantinas creek bridge crossing. When the lake fills, the water backs up into my property a significant distance. This allows us to have boat access from the my property to and from the lake. For many years we have enjoyed walking from our house to the water, jumping on our house boat and cruising onto the lake for a day of fun. Then cruise back through the Cantinas, beach the boat on the ranch and walk back to the home. This direct boat access from our property is one of the reasons we purchased this property. Apx.10 days ago through other neighbors I learned of the July 11 hearing. I called the county who referred me to Rachel who represents the above camp. I asked Rachel about the bridge specs as I couldn't find them anywhere on the plans. She informed me the height at one end was 802 and the other 810. This creates a problem for me as my house boat is apx 12 high. Therefore, when the lake is full (800'

elevation) the proposed bridge will block my houseboat water access to and from my ranch. For that reason I would oppose the bridge unless the height is raised to about 815. I plan on talking to the applicant about alternative options. However, because of the short notice it doesn't allow time for this discussion. Possibly the applicant and I can come up with something that works for both. One possible option may be that, I agree to the proposed elevation of the bridge in exchange for a dock space (and access to the same) with year round water. I understand the July 11 hearing is possibly being rescheduled. However I dont have any proof of the same. Maybe this will provide the time needed for this issue to be resolved amicably prior to the next hearing. Because I must have a response in today (if the meeting is not postponed)either in support or in opposition to the bridge as proposed, I am forced to submit the enclosed objection response with the information and agreements I have today.

Thanks You
Jeff Greene