

Technical Memorandum Name: Effluent Reuse and Disposal Alternatives, April 2008
Commenter: TAC Environmental
Comments Date: June 24, 2008
Responses Date: July 2, 2008

The following comments were submitted in response to the above listed Technical Memorandum (TM). The TM was developed as part of the EIR process for the project, in order to help facilitate and broaden the discussion of project issues important to the community. The responses should be considered preliminary because the EIR process is not complete, and the information necessary to fully respond has not yet been developed. The project team is grateful to those citizens who took the time to review the TM and provide comments at this early stage in the process. The project team will endeavor to fully address the comments and concerns through the on-going project development process.

	Comment	Response
1	There appears to be a number of issues that need to be addressed regarding the potential future development of the Agricultural Exchange option. a) Because a third pipe will be required, additional analysis of installation needs to be completed. This analysis should include a discussion of whether there is adequate space to accommodate this pipe within the existing street right-of-way given the location of existing and proposed underground utilities and the separation required between the various pipes.	The specific piping configuration has not been determined for delivery of treated wastewater for agricultural reuse. All recycled wastewater could be delivered from one main pipe, or if the agricultural reuse area is adjacent to the treatment plant site, a separate pipe may not need to follow street right-of-way.
2	b) Although this may not be the proper place for a detailed discussion, a detailed discussion of the legal impediments associated with Ag Exchange needs to be included in the final product.	Comment noted. The legal and contractual issues will certainly require extensive research as this option is developed.
3	Required setbacks from the blue line streams need to be included in the analysis of the use of the Tonini property for sprayfields.	Comment noted. The biological surveys for the EIR will identify potential impacts and the mitigation required.
4	Broderson has been included as an element of previous projects proposed by the County as well as the LOCSD so the additional third party analysis by NWRI of this disposal option is appropriate.	Comment noted.