

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:06 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 229

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Thursday, November 25, 2021 11:06 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 229

RedistrictingID 229
Form inserted 11/25/2021 11:05:12 AM
Form updated 11/25/2021 11:05:12 AM
First Name Hugh W. and Marilyn
Last Name Hamilton
Email [REDACTED]
Phone [REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented
City Paso Robles
Zip 93446
Comment The only map chosen on Nov.19 that fairly represents the county is the Chamber of Commerce version. Please vote for this redistricting map. Listen to your constituents.
Public Records Notice True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:06 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

From: Laurie Pemberton [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, November 25, 2021 10:27 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; +jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us; +co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; +darnold@co.slo.ca.us; +lcompton@co.slo.ca.us
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only the two maps choices.

I would strongly encourage you to adopt the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786.

Many of us in San Luis Obispo County consider the region as "North County", "South County", and San Luis Obispo City. This map treats the County as such and keeps most cities intact in the same district.

Not only do many of us consider the County as North, South, SLO; but we also recognize that there is considerable ag/ranching and rural parts of the region that are critical and must have adequate voice in what happens in government. This map also appears to be sensitive to those aspects as it does not dilute all of the districts with so much "big city" population as to drown out the concerns of the "non-city" areas. This is a main reason why so many of us were drawn and value life in this County.

Thank you for your thoughtful consideration of all County residents in the redistricting process, and for recognizing the importance and benefits of the Richard Patten map Rev_1 ID 74786 to best represent the diverse interests of all constituents.

Laurie Pemberton
Arroyo Grande (but live in the County part!)

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:10 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

-----Original Message-----

From: Tammy DeBenedetti [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 5:28 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps. My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map recognizes that this county is united in tourism and aligns communities to highlight their assets.

Tamera DeBenedetti
Grover Beach. CA

Sent from my iPad

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:10 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

-----Original Message-----

From: Tammy DeBenedetti [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 5:30 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps. My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Oceano and Grover Beach together as they shop in Grover Beach and share sewer, fire protection and school district.

Tamera DeBenedetti
Grover Beach, CA

Sent from my iPad

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:10 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

-----Original Message-----

From: Tammy DeBenedetti [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 5:31 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps. My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map helps have more voices with the Coastal Commission. No one supervisors should represent only the coast as together the supervisors can be more effective.

Tamera DeBenedetti
Grover Beach, CA

Sent from my iPad

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:11 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

-----Original Message-----

From: Tammy DeBenedetti [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 5:32 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; co.slo.ca.us@rpslo.org; Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Public Comment for November 30, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps. My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map helps bring together the north coast and provides a voice to the numerous types of Ag, Ranching and Vineyards together to help protect water rights.

Tamera DeBenedetti
Grover Beach

Sent from my iPad

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:11 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]REDISTRICTING!

From: viki miller [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 9:45 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]REDISTRICTING!

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps.

My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786.

This map keeps San Luis Obispo with Cal Poly, keeps Templeton and Atascadero whole.

The other map is similar to Map A that was already rejected by the November 19 vote.

Viki Miller
Atascadero

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:11 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 230

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 9:52 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 230

RedistrictingID 230
Form inserted 11/26/2021 9:52:09 AM
Form updated 11/26/2021 9:52:09 AM
First Name Virginia
Last Name Roof
Email [REDACTED]
Phone [REDACTED]
Name of Organization
Represented
City Arroyo Grande
Zip 93420

Comment I urge the Board to reject the highly partisan Patten map. I would have preferred Map A, but since there is no other choice now, please choose the SLO Chamber map. We have to reject voter disenfranchisement in our county.

Public Records Notice True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:11 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 231

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 10:16 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 231

RedistrictingID	231
Form inserted	11/26/2021 10:15:38 AM
Form updated	11/26/2021 10:15:38 AM
First Name	Kristi
Last Name	Ross
Email	[REDACTED]
Phone	[REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented	Self
City	Morro Bay
Zip	93442
Comment	Please do not adopt the Patten Map. We need fair representation in our cities.
Public Records Notice	True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:11 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]District Maps

From: Fred Vernacchia [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 10:45 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]District Maps

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Honorable Board:

The Patten map is terrible. It does not provide a fair representation of our County and should be discarded. The map proposed by the SLO Chamber is the best true representation of our County make up and should be adopted.

Respectfully,
Fred Vernacchia

Fred S. Vernacchia, MD
(he/his/him)
Medical Director

[REDACTED]

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:12 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

From: Vicki Tamoush [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 10:55 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Hello,

I am writing to express my support for the redistricting map known as the SLO Chamber map. This map is fair, ensures continuity, respects the communities of interest, minimizes disruptions to the election cycle, and meets statutory requirements. The map known as the Patton map does not fulfill these important criteria.

Thank you,

Vicki Tamoush

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:12 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 232

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 11:09 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 232

RedistrictingID 232
Form inserted 11/26/2021 11:08:28 AM
Form updated 11/26/2021 11:08:28 AM
First Name Jennifer
Last Name Klay
Email [REDACTED]
Phone [REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented
City San Luis Obispo
Zip 93401

Comment I was disappointed that the BoS voted to ignore the public sentiment in favor of keeping the district maps largely unchanged. I respectfully request that the board restore redistricting Plan A, or at the very least I strongly urge the board to reject the Patten map, which will radically alter the representation of our population at the county level over the next decade.

Public Records Notice True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:12 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

From: Suzanne Fritz [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 11:17 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I am writing to express my views on the county redistributing map. The map that is proposed by the Republican majority on the board of supervisors is an obvious abuse of power. The voting process should be a fair representation of the areas in the county and should not be Gerry rigged to favor one party over another. I know that there have been recent and historical restrictions throughout the country that restrict voters rights and suppress certain voters and favor others. This type of activity is dishonest and shameful. If a politician can't win an election without using underhanded methods then he or she dose not deserve to represent their constituents.

San Luis county should serve as an example of fair voting practices and not be just another example of the graft in this country!

Suzanne Fritz
North County Voter

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:12 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 233

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 11:32 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 233

RedistrictingID 233
Form inserted 11/26/2021 11:30:41 AM
Form updated 11/26/2021 11:30:41 AM
First Name Nancy
Last Name Clark
Email [REDACTED]
Phone [REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented
City PISMO BEACH
Zip 93449

Comment Concerning REDISTRICTING MAPS: I am in favor of MAP 2030 because it meets the requirements of the California election code and respects communities of interest. I AM OPPOSED TO THE PATTEN MAP which is clearly an attempt to prevent registered democrats from voting in 2022, and allowing republican voters to vote twice. The Patten map is unconstitutional and violates the state election code and will certainly face costly challenges in court. DO NOT PICK YOUR VOTERS, THE VOTERS PICK YOU.

Public Records Notice True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:13 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Strongly opposed to Redistricting

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

-----Original Message-----

From: Cara Mae [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 11:50 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Strongly opposed to Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear County Supervisors,

I am writing to express that I am vehemently opposed to the idea of redistricting. I am especially concerned with the proposal of including North Coastal communities, namely Cambria where I live, my family has a business and we have owned a home for 25 years, with inland communities like Paso Robles. While the towns are similar in geographical latitude, there are far too many critical ways in which they differ and should remain as separate districts.

For example, "In Cambria, being unincorporated, we are significantly impacted by county Board of Supervisors' decisions, such as land use, road paving priorities, garbage rates and vacation rental growth. It is essential that this coastal corridor 'community of interest' remain in its current District 2 designation and not be redistricted to communities without shared commonalities. Realignment into any urban-based inland district would, in effect, suppress the voice and needs of the coastal unincorporated communities, while damaging an historically defined community of interest. Plan C specifically presents serious dilution of coastal interests and creates a lack of compactness, ignoring two of the five criteria in community-of-interest guidelines."

In my own personal experience there are strong differences in attitudes on many different levels, including political, between the two locations, including the propensity to follow the recent Covid 19 mandates. Each time I venture inland I am shocked by the amount of blatant non-compliance and cavalier attitudes about putting other's lives at risk.

I believe it would be an enormous mistake to combine the two areas and doing so would result in massive problems, of discord, dissatisfaction and strife during a time in history when we are all already experiencing so many personal and societal problems and pressures.

If indeed redistricting is to be implemented, I implore you most desperately to not combine the interior and coastal districts.

All the best,

Cara Koppel

Sent from my iPhone

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:13 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 234

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 11:53 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 234

RedistrictingID 234
Form inserted 11/26/2021 11:53:10 AM
Form updated 11/26/2021 11:53:10 AM
First Name Wendy
Last Name Brown
Email [REDACTED]
Phone [REDACTED]
Name of Organization Self
Represented
City San Luis Obispo
Zip 93401

Comment I'm writing because I'm unable to attend the hearing on 11/20/2021. Please adopt the SLO County 2030 map. It is the fairer of the two before you, and would result in far fewer deferrals and accelerations of the ability to vote than the Patten map. Adopting the 2030 map would also avoid accusations of gerrymandering which might result from the adoption of the Patten map. Please do the right thing. The voters of the County are depending on you.

Public Records Notice True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:13 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

-----Original Message-----

From: Susan Dodd [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 11:56 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

This is to urge the Board of Supervisors to select the option that leaves the districts pretty much as they have been. As a resident of Cayucos, it's important to me to be grouped with Morro Bay, Los Osos and Cambria because as coastal cities have similar issues. We share concerns about tourism Highway One and other issues having overlapping with the Coastal Commission. Thank you for considering this strongly held position.

Susan Dodd
93430

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:13 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 235

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 12:17 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 235

RedistrictingID 235
Form inserted 11/26/2021 12:16:53 PM
Form updated 11/26/2021 12:16:53 PM
First Name Richard
Last Name Mortensen
Email [REDACTED]
Phone [REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented n/a
City San Luis Obispo
Zip 93401
Comment I strongly oppose the partisan "Patten Map" for redrawing district boundaries. This is simply a grab for control of voters rather than allowing voters to choose who represents them.
Public Records Notice True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:14 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: mugs haugen [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 12:28 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please DO NOT approve the Patten Map! It is a disproportional map and will disenfranchise many voters.

Thank you for your consideration,
Mugs Haugen
[REDACTED]

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:14 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 236

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 1:00 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 236

RedistrictingID	236
Form inserted	11/26/2021 12:59:12 PM
Form updated	11/26/2021 12:59:12 PM
First Name	VALERIE
Last Name	Zachary
Email	[REDACTED]
Phone	[REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented	
City	Los Osos
Zip	93402
Comment	Do what's right and fair. Do not use Patten Map. Valerie Zachary Los Osos CA
Public Records Notice	True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:14 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: cd33333@aol.com [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 1:00 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Do what's right and fair. Do not use Patton Map. Use SLO Chamber Map

Valerie Zachary
Los Osos CA

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:14 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Ellen November [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 1:09 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I unequivocally object to the "Patten" Map" that is known to create boundaries that will disenfranchise voters.

--
Ellen November
[REDACTED]

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:15 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: DC O'Brien [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 1:12 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Gerrymandered districting should be made illegal. Americans are sick of being forced to bow under the pressure of political hacks who obstruct rather than enhance their voice.

There are methods even now of making districting entirely fair (A digital map based on numbers of citizens, not on race, gender or political identity) would be a good first step.

As for us, we will be watching who votes for what in the County and voting in the next elections accordingly. Furthermore, we plan to make all the results of SLO redistricting available to all news outlets, to every "follower" on every account, and to area newspapers.

Surely the County will do the right thing, and not attempt to apportion to the minority party the majority of the votes.

Watching.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Michael Stevens
Paso Robles CA

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:15 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 237

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 1:23 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 237

RedistrictingID 237
Form inserted 11/26/2021 1:22:27 PM
Form updated 11/26/2021 1:22:27 PM
First Name Michael
Last Name Stevens
Email [REDACTED]
Phone
Name of Organization Individual
Represented
City Paso Robles
Zip 93446
Comment Gerrymanndering should be illegal. Enhance-don't obstruct-our voice. Methods exist to make districting entirely fair Digital map based on #s of citizens, not race, gender identity is a first step. Hopefully, the County will do the right thing, and not attempt to apportion to the minority party the majority of the votes.
Public Records Notice True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:15 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Patten's map

From: Ginger Lordus [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 1:27 PM
To: Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Bruce Gibson <bgibson@co.slo.ca.us>; Dawn Ortiz-Legg <dortizlegg@co.slo.ca.us>; John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Patten's map

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I have lived, worked, and owned property in SLO county for over 30 years. I was a student and worked as part-time faculty at Cal Poly. I lived in the City of San Luis Obispo. SLO City and Cal Poly should be one district with one supervisor because they are ONE COMMUNITY OF INTEREST.

I also lived in Los Osos and Pismo Beach and have worked in all of the San Luis Obispo Coastal communities as a home health and hospice dietitian. These Coastal Communities are ONE COMMUNITY OF INTEREST.

I also worked in North County as a community dietitian and as a home health and hospice dietitian. NORTH COUNTY IS ONE COMMUNITY OF INTEREST.

I currently live in South County and also worked as a community and clinical dietitian (at Arroyo Grande Hospital). SOUTH COUNTY IS ONE COMMUNITY OF INTEREST.

Clearly, Richard Patten's map represents SLO Counties clear cut obvious COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST.

Please do the right thing for our community and choose Richard Patten's district map.

Ginger Lordus
[REDACTED]

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:15 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Carroll... Loe [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 1:38 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

As a resident of Cambria, I strongly recommend keeping the districts as they are currently. The north coast is an area of common interest and should remain as one. Do not choose the 'patton map' as it will disenfranchise many voters, may be illegal and could be tied up in appeals.

Maryjean Carroll

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:15 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Carroll... Loe [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 1:50 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please do not use the Patten map in the redistricting decision. Map B is the better choice for SLO County.

The areas of like interest i.e. the coastal region needs to stay together as a unit. Also, if the map is changed significantly, it deprives certain voters the ability to vote until 2024 and other voters the ability to vote twice for those supervisors coming up for election. Clearly not fair to the eliminated voters.

The breakdown of the advantages to people of one specific party is in direct violation of the law. The Patten map is clearly partisan to one particular party.

Thank you for being fair and impartial in your decision making.

Nancy Virginia Loe
Cambria

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:15 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 238

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 1:51 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 238

RedistrictingID 238
Form inserted 11/26/2021 1:50:06 PM
Form updated 11/26/2021 1:50:06 PM
First Name Hilary
Last Name Norcross
Email [REDACTED]
Phone [REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented
City Arroyo Grande
Zip 93420

Comment As a resident of district 4, I do not support a change to our current district maps. Keep the maps as is and in the future make a bipartisan committee in charge of redistricting, The public deserves fair elections and the extreme partisan gerrymandering being demonstrated by members of our board of supervisors is pernicious and deeply undemocratic. Vote no change!

Public Records Notice True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:16 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]SLO County Redistricting

From: sdegerer [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 2:06 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]SLO County Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisors,

Of the now two remaining maps to consider for adoption [on November 30th](#), I respectfully request support for the adoption of Richard Patten's Rev. 1 Citizens' Map.

Respectfully,
Sara Semmes
District 2

Sent from my iPad

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:16 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Katherine Frame Coleman [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 2:37 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Hello,

I am writing to express my preference that the SLO Chamber map be adopted by the council. Our county is not served well by the other option, which splits communities of interest for clearly partisan purposes. Please stand for fair democracy and select this map.

Take care,

Katie Coleman

Arroyo Grande

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:16 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Mark Schmitt [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 2:48 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Redistricting Staff,

If State Redistricting rules are to be followed, they should:

- Consider Communities with common socioeconomic interests
- Evenly distribute the population
- Provide for geographic compactness by keeping cities whole
- Establish clear, identifiable boundaries with contiguous communities
- AND, be free from political interests

RICHARD PATTEN'S PROPOSED MAP SATISFIES THESE RULES...I URGE YOU TO ADOPT THIS MAP ON NOVEMBER 30TH.

Thank you,

Mark Schmitt,
Pismo Beach

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:16 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]

From: Mary Baxstresser [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 2:56 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please vote to adopt the **Richard Patten's Rev. 1 Citizens' Map.**

**Thank you,
Mary Baxstresser
Paso Robles**

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:16 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Linda Mayer <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 3:03 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Hello,

I'm writing to express my opposition the Patten Map since I cannot attend the Nov 30 meeting.

I urge you to retain current supervisorial district boundaries which will ensure continuity. It is important to keep existing Communities of Interest intact and meet all statutory requirements. **No drastic changes to district boundaries are warranted.**

The new census data do not justify major changes to the current supervisor district boundaries. Current districts meet all state requirements for population balance, fairness of representation, and compactness.

I would like my voice and concerns to be heard.

Regards,
Linda Mayer
Cambria, CA

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:16 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Public Comment

From: David Bernstein [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 3:47 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Public Comment

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Members of the Board of Supervisors:

Regarding your upcoming decision on choosing a redistricting map, I would submit to you that this important decision is not a question of logic; it is a question of legacy...yours.

Regardless of all the good you have accomplished during your tenure on the Board, if you vote for the Patten map, the only thing you will be remembered for is being a member of the Board that put partisan politics ahead of the wellbeing of the residents of SLO County. If that doesn't give you pause for thought, then there's nothing anyone can do or say that will influence your decision. I truly hope that is not the case.

Do the right thing. Reaffirm that the Board of Supervisors represents the interests of all county residents. Reject the Patten map.

Respectfully,

David Bernstein
Nipomo

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:16 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

-----Original Message-----

From: Sharon Rheingans <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 4:11 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please, let's go with the Chamber of Commerce map.

I thank you.
Sharon L. Rheingans
San Luis Obispo, CA.

Sent from my iPad

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:17 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting - Vote for the SLO County 2030 Map

From: Linda Busek [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 4:40 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting - Vote for the SLO County 2030 Map

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to do the right thing and abstain from gerrymandering SLO county with the redistricting map submitted by Richard Patten.

In fact, the Board should have listened to the paid consultants, Redistricting Partners, (our tax money!) who submitted four options while saying that the county's population has not changed enough to legally require any significant changes to the current supervisor map.

The League of Women Voters weighed in on the Redistricting process, saying that no new lines were needed, but if a change was to be made then Version B corrected the Cal Poly exclusion of the new campus dormitories built since the last map was drawn.

Given the Board selected only two options, the only choice is the SLO County 2030 map. It appears to meet all the criteria stipulated in the CA Election Code and complies with the US and CA Constitutions and the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965.

The SLO County 2030 map respects local communities of interest and the natural boundaries of the coastal mountains. The SLO County 2030 map also reduces the number of the accelerators and deferrals of voters rights. The Patten map egregiously harms thousands of voters by creating orphan districts.

Do the right thing on November 30th and vote for the SLO Chamber 2030 map to be enacted for the next 10 years.

Thank you,
Linda Busek
Arroyo Grande

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:17 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 239

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 4:51 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 239

RedistrictingID 239
Form inserted 11/26/2021 4:50:57 PM
Form updated 11/26/2021 4:50:57 PM
First Name Linda
Last Name Busek
Email [REDACTED]
Phone [REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented
City Arroyo Grande
Zip 93420

Comment I urge the Board of Supervisors to do the right thing and vote for the SLO County 2030 map. It appears to meet all the criteria stipulated in the CA Election Code and complies with the US and CA Constitutions and the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965.

Public Records Notice True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:17 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Adrienne [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 4:59 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

YES to adopting RichardPatten Map A. Hawkinson

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:17 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

From: Peggy Heinrichs [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 5:22 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please use the SLO Chamber Map for redistricting. It will ensure a fair distribution of one vote/one person.

Thank you.

Margaret Heinrichs
Arroyo Grande

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:17 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 241

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 5:36 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 241

RedistrictingID 241
Form inserted 11/26/2021 5:35:21 PM
Form updated 11/26/2021 5:35:21 PM
First Name Marti
Last Name Jorgensen-Lindholm
Email [REDACTED]
Phone [REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented
City San Luis Obispo
Zip 93405
Comment Support the Chamber of Commerce map please. Anything else is not fair to the people you represent. The Patton map disenfranchises so many people, does not represent the County population fairly and is contrived for the benefit of the Republicans as you know. Thank you.
Public Records Notice True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:17 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 240

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 5:28 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 240

RedistrictingID	240
Form inserted	11/26/2021 5:27:21 PM
Form updated	11/26/2021 5:27:21 PM
First Name	Margaret (Peggy)
Last Name	Heinrichs
Email	[REDACTED]
Phone	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
City	Arroyo Grande
Zip	93420
Comment	Please use the SLO Chamber map for redistricting. It will preserve the one person/one vote principle in our county.
Public Records Notice	True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:18 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

From: Patricia Alexander [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 5:54 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

With all due respect, you hired the consulting firm of Redistricting Partners to make an unbiased examination of whether redistricting was needed based on increased population; these people YOU paid said THERE WAS NO NEED.

Do you understand why there is such an outcry of gerrymandering?

1. Redistricting is NOT needed, per your experts.
2. The Patten map puts the most historically democratic voters into one area, leaving less FAIR competition for the Republican Party.
3. The 2030 map respects local communities of interest in the north coastal areas of San Simeon, Cambria, Cayucos, Morro Bay & Los Osos, plus the connection between Nipomo & Oceano.


It also respects the natural boundary of the coastal mountains.
THE PATTEN MAP DOES NOT RESPECT THESE
COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST.

4. The deferrals in the Patten map would create "orphaned" districts in communities where voters would not be able to vote until 2024. Specifically, Los Osos, Morro Bay, and District 2 voters in San Luis Obispo, who are no longer in District 2, and Oceano voters who are no longer in District 4, would not be able to vote for a supervisor in 2022 when those district seats are up for election, leaving these communities with no effective representation on the Board of Supervisors. Meanwhile those communities with accelerated voters would have the opportunity to vote **twice** and have two elected supervisors to represent them. *(Do you hear how crazy that sounds?)*

Can you hear the community objecting to what is perceived as pure manipulation? You CAN bully it through and not listen to the people who live here.

Or you CAN do the right thing. C'mon! The Patten map is too blatant and obvious a manipulation and will only create more disharmony in the community you are supposed to serve.

Sincerely,
Patricia Alexander
PATRICIA ALEXANDER



Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:18 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Against redistricting

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

From: Jessica Frasier [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 8:08 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Against redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To Whom it May Concern,

I am a resident of Cambria and am writing to respectfully ask that you please reject the Patten map which will split Cambria off. This is a disservice to our community. I am emailing to let you know that I am against this redistricting.

Thank you for your consideration.

Kind regards,
Jessica Frasier

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:18 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Maps

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

From: Sue Ward [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 8:10 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Maps

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear County Board of Supervisors:

As a result of the recent November 19th SLO County Board of Supervisors redistricting meeting, there are now two maps to consider for adoption on November 30th. I respectfully request support for the adoption of Richard Patten's Rev. 1 Citizens' Map.

https://www.slocounty.ca.gov/Departments/Administrative-Office/Forms-Documents/Redistricting/Richard-Patten-Rev_1_ID-74786_Detail.pdf

Respectfully,

Sue Ward
Arroyo Grande, CA

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:18 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]District #2

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

From: Marvin Josephson [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 8:26 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]District #2

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

SLO Supervisors,

Please keep the coastal cities of Los Osos, Cayucos, Morro Bay, Cambria, and San Simeon together under one district. They are communities of interest under the state guidelines.

Marvin Josephson
[REDACTED]

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:18 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 242

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 9:00 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 242

RedistrictingID 242
Form inserted 11/26/2021 9:00:07 PM
Form updated 11/26/2021 9:00:07 PM
First Name Karin
Last Name Young
Email [REDACTED]
Phone [REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented
City Arroyo Grande
Zip 93420
Comment I would suggest that our elected Board of Supervisors not participate in the highly unethical practice of gerrymandering, and instead uphold the interests of the constituents they represent. As a recent property owner in Oceano, I see no ethical purpose in "deferring " voting, for Oceano residents. We should keep the map as is.
Public Records Notice True

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:19 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting - no on Patten map.

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

From: maryerico@aol.com [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 11:34 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting - no on Patten map.

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please do not choose the Patten map. It is not good for democracy and will cause havoc in our county. Who would want to split our county and cities in that way? Illogical and damaging.

Thanks for listening.

Mary Ellen Rico
[REDACTED]

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 8:11 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Concerns - Cambria Resident

-----Original Message-----

From: Mari A. Lee, LMFT, CSAT-S [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 4:28 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Concerns - Cambria Resident

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.
To Whom it May Concern,

I am a resident of Cambria and am writing to respectfully ask that you please reject the Patten map which will split Cambria off which is a disservice to our community. The majority of Cambrians are against the Patten map and this redistricting.

Thank you for your consideration.

Kind regards,

Margaret A. Lee

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 8:11 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting proposal Patten is wrong

-----Original Message-----

From: jennifer [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 4:35 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting proposal Patten is wrong

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.
Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a Nipomo resident, I vehemently oppose the Patten proposal for changing the district lines. Nipomo belongs with Oceano and Arroyo Grande, not as part of Santa Maria or any other area. The proposed 2030 map would be acceptable, or no change at all would also be acceptable. I do not believe it is fair for so many voters to be disenfranchised with the changes that the Patten map proposes.

Thank you,
Jennifer Jozwiak

[REDACTED]

Sent from Outlook

<<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Faka.ms%2Fweboutlook&data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C8effa7cf46f64c4f218708d9b2ee4bf9%7C84c3c7747fdf40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637737558810936333%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ikh1aWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C3000&sd=0&sdata=MtjgpE55pACdQ%2FkPFxbOD48qcRFd9LFGF9ujQPjm3%2Bg%3D&reserved=0>>

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 8:11 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Please Reject Patten Map

-----Original Message-----

From: Christina Tobin [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 4:57 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Please Reject Patten Map

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.
To Whom It May Concern,

I am a resident and business owner of Cambria and am writing to respectfully ask that you please reject the Patten map which will split Cambria off. This is a disservice to our community. I am emailing to let you know that I am against this redistricting.

Thank you for your consideration.

In unity,
Christina

--

<<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.sanluisobispo.com%2Fnews%2Flocal%2Fcommunity%2Fcambridia%2Farticle71567262.html&data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7Cda1e0d54db464fe07c1a08d9b2ee5202%7C84c3c7747fdf40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637737558902415443%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljoijoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ikk1haWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C3000&reserved=0>> Christina Tobin - Founder and Chair
<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fen.wikipedia.org%2Fwiki%2FChristina_Tobin&data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7Cda1e0d54db464fe07c1a08d9b2ee5202%7C84c3c7747fdf40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637737558902415443%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljoijoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ikk1haWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C3000&reserved=0> wjbd9xBGmazSQsUjw4XW6OWwVb0zgG3iOhKuEtJKa68%3D&reserved=0>

The Free and Equal Elections Foundation
<<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.freeandequal.org%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7Cda1e0d54db464fe07c1a08d9b2ee5202%7C84c3c7747fdf40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637737558902415443%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljoijoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ikk1haWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C3000&reserved=0>>

C0%7C0%7C637737558902415443%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ikk1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000&data=06JzGEkfXsLf2KwwZOdqQu564y1y1bTR5PtZ%2Bwq904E%3D&reserved=0>
312.320.4101 mobile direct
More Voices, More Choices

<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.freeandequal.org%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7Cda1e0d54db464fe07c1a08d9b2ee5202%7C84c3c7747fdf40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637737558902415443%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ikk1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000&data=06JzGEkfXsLf2KwwZOdqQu564y1y1bTR5PtZ%2Bwq904E%3D&reserved=0>

<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.freeandequal.org%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7Cda1e0d54db464fe07c1a08d9b2ee5202%7C84c3c7747fdf40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637737558902415443%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ikk1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000&data=06JzGEkfXsLf2KwwZOdqQu564y1y1bTR5PtZ%2Bwq904E%3D&reserved=0>

<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.freeandequal.org%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7Cda1e0d54db464fe07c1a08d9b2ee5202%7C84c3c7747fdf40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637737558902415443%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ikk1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000&data=06JzGEkfXsLf2KwwZOdqQu564y1y1bTR5PtZ%2Bwq904E%3D&reserved=0>

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 8:12 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

-----Original Message-----

From: Sumac [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 5:46 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.
To Whom It May Concern:

I support the 2030 Map.

I do not support the Patton Map.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Susan Biesek
[REDACTED]

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:36 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Letter
Attachments: Redistricting letter.docx

From: cayucans@charter.net [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 6:45 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Letter

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please distribute the attached letter to the Supervisors. Thank you
Marie Jaqua

TO: San Luis Obispo County Board of Supervisors

FROM: Marie Jaqua

RE: Redistricting Map

The Patten Map under consideration by the Board, does an extreme disservice to Cayucos, Los Osos, and Morro Bay by splitting them into different Supervisory Districts. These communities are part of the Estero Bay Plan, certified by the Coastal Commission in February, 1988, because of their coastal access, issues, interests, and proximity. The plan states, "The vision described in this plan represents the desires of the affected communities and the plan contains the policies, programs, and standards to help achieve it." This plan, directed at and including these three communities should make it clear that they are considered a group unto themselves. Therefore their interests are best addressed by having a common Supervisor, not a different Supervisors for each area.

I feel redistricting should focus on the interests of communities, not the interests of the Supervisors. There is no reason these 3 towns should be separated into different districts.

Thank you for your consideration.

Marie Jaqua, [REDACTED]

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:12 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

From: David Gaskill <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 11:18 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please adopt the SLO County 2030 Map and not the Patten map.

The SLO County 2030 map appears to meet all the criteria stipulated in the California Election Code and complies with the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, and the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965.

The SLO County 2030 map respects local communities of interest in the north coastal areas of San Simeon, Cambria, Cayucos, Morro Bay and Los Osos as well as the connection between Nipomo and Oceano. It also respects the natural boundary of the coastal mountains. The Patten map does not respect these communities of interest.

Thank you

Anita and David Gaskill
[REDACTED]

Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 7:18 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]redistricting

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

From: judith bernstein [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 7:27 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I have closely examined the two remaining maps currently under consideration by the Board and as a result, I strongly urge you to vote to adopt the 2030 map and reject the Patten map.

The 2030 map meets all the requirements of the California election code and respects the interests of San Simeon, Cambria, Cayucos, Morro Bay and Los Osos. It also recognizes the natural connection of Nipomo and Oceano.

There is simply no good justification for creating the nonsensical boundaries the Patten plan creates and many important reasons not to. PLEASE ADOPT THE 2030 PLAN!!

Judith Bernstein, Arroyo Grande